

**Problem:** 11464 appearing in American Mathematical Monthly Vol. 116, No. 9, Nov. 2009

**Solver:** Joe McKenna

**Address:** 2316 W. Superior St., Chicago, IL 60612

**Email:** joepatmckenna@gmail.com

Proposed by David Beckwith, Sag Harbor, NY. Let  $a(n)$  be the number of ways to place  $n$  identical balls into a sequence of urns  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  in such a way that  $U_1$  receives at least one ball, and while any balls remain, each successive urn receives at least as many balls as in all the previous urns combined. Let  $b(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  into powers of 2, with repeated powers allowed. (Thus,  $a(6) = 6$  because the placements are 114, 123, 15, 24, 33, and 6, while  $b(6) = 6$  because the partitions are 111111, 11112, 1122, 114, 222, and 24.) Prove that  $a(n) = b(n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $i$  and  $k$  be integers with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and define  $\pi_i : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  to be the function that maps a  $k$ -tuple to its  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate. Let  $j$  be an integer with  $0 \leq j \leq k$  and define the vectors  $\mathbf{1}_j, \mathbf{t}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  by

$$\pi_i(\mathbf{1}_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad \pi_i(\mathbf{t}_j) = \begin{cases} 2^{j-i} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We use but omit proof of the identity  $\mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{t}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{t}_{i-1} = \mathbf{t}_i$ . Let  $n$  and  $m$  be positive integers with  $2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m$  and let  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  be the set of vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  that satisfy the three properties

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(\mathbf{a}) &\geq 1 & \pi_1(\mathbf{b}) &\geq 1 \\ \pi_i(\mathbf{a}) &\geq \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1} & \text{and } \pi_i(\mathbf{b}) &\geq 0 \\ \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{1}_k &= n & \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{t}_k &= n \end{aligned}$$

respectively, for  $1 \leq i \leq k \leq m$ . The cardinality of  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are  $a(n)$  and  $b(n)$ , resp. It will suffice to prove  $A_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^k \cong B_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ; we do so by constructing an isomorphism. Let  $f_k : A_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow B_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^k$  be the function defined by  $\pi_i(\mathbf{u}) \mapsto \pi_i(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 \in A_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^k$  and assume  $f_k(\mathbf{u}_1) = f_k(\mathbf{u}_2)$ . By the definition of  $f_k$  we have  $\pi_1(\mathbf{u}_1) = \pi_1(\mathbf{u}_2)$  and  $\pi_i(\mathbf{u}_1) = \pi_i(\mathbf{u}_2)$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1} = \mathbf{u}_2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1}$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2$  and  $f_k$  is one-to-one. Let  $\mathbf{v} \in B_n \cap \mathbb{Z}^k$  and define  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  by  $\pi_i(\mathbf{u}) = \pi_i(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{i-1}$ . First we prove  $\mathbf{u} \in A_n$ . We have

$$\pi_1(\mathbf{u}) = \pi_1(\mathbf{v}) \geq 1$$

by the definition of  $\mathbf{u}$  and the assumption  $\mathbf{v} \in B_n$ , resp. Before proceeding we prove a useful fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{1}_i &= [\pi_1(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_0] + [\pi_2(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_1] + \dots + [\pi_i(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{i-1}] \\ &= \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{1}_i + \mathbf{t}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{t}_{i-1}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_i \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

by the definition of  $\mathbf{u}$ , algebra, and the identity, resp. Continuing, we have

$$\pi_i(\mathbf{u}) = \pi_i(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{i-1} = \pi_i(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1} \geq \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1}$$

by the definition of  $\mathbf{u}$ , (1), and the assumption  $\mathbf{v} \in B_n$ , resp., and

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{1}_k = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_k = n$$

by (1) and the assumption  $\mathbf{v} \in B_n$ , resp. Therefore,  $\mathbf{u} \in A_n$ . Last we prove  $\mathbf{u} \xrightarrow{f_k} \mathbf{v}$  to conclude that  $f_k$  is onto and hence an isomorphism. We have

$$\pi_i(\mathbf{u}) \xrightarrow{f_k} \pi_i(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1} = \pi_i(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{i-1} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{i-1} = \pi_i(\mathbf{v})$$

by the definition of  $f_k$ , the definition of  $\mathbf{u}$ , and (1), resp.