

1. What is the *orthogonal projection* of y on w ?

Answer: It is the scalar multiple of w that is as close as possible to y . In other words, it is the element of $\text{SPAN}(w)$ that is closest to y .

So what does this mean? Well, draw the line through w and the origin, lets call that line $W = \text{SPAN}(w)$. If y is on that line, then the orthogonal projection of y on w is y itself. If y is not on that line, then pick the point on that line that is as close as possible to y , and then that point is the orthogonal projection of y on w (same as: orthogonal projection of y on W).

2. How do you compute the orthogonal projection of vector y on w ?

Answer: Compute these two numbers: $y \cdot w$ and $w \cdot w$. Then take the quotient. Multiply that by w and you get the orthogonal projection of y on w :

$$\text{proj}_w(y) = \frac{y \cdot w}{w \cdot w} w$$

Since this is a scalar (the quotient of those two dot-products) times w , we see that the projection of y on w is always on the line $W = \text{SPAN}(w)$

3. Let W be some subspace of \mathbf{R}^n and let y be some element of \mathbf{R}^n . What is the *orthogonal projection* of y on W ?

Answer: It is the element of W that is as close as possible to y . So if y is in W then the projection of y on W is just y itself. If y is not in W , then pick the point in W that is the closest to y , and then that point is the orthogonal projection of y on W .

4. How do you compute the orthogonal projection of vector y on W ?

Answer: First you need an *orthogonal basis* of W . Suppose that w_1, \dots, w_k is an orthogonal basis of W (how to find an orthogonal basis of W is the subject of items 9,10). Then

$$\text{proj}_W(y) = \text{proj}_{w_1}(y) + \text{proj}_{w_2}(y) + \dots + \text{proj}_{w_k}(y)$$

in other words, the projection of y on W is

$$\text{proj}_W(y) = \frac{y \cdot w_1}{w_1 \cdot w_1} w_1 + \frac{y \cdot w_2}{w_2 \cdot w_2} w_2 + \dots + \frac{y \cdot w_k}{w_k \cdot w_k} w_k$$

This only works if w_1, \dots, w_k is an orthogonal basis of W .

5. What does $u \perp v$ mean?

Answer: $u \perp v$ means that u is orthogonal to v , which in turn means that the dot-product (the inner product) of u and v is zero, so $u \cdot v = 0$.

This happens when $u = 0$, or when $v = 0$, or when u, v are perpendicular (the angle between them is 90°).

6. What's an orthogonal set?

Answer: It's a set where every element is orthogonal to every other element.

How do I check if $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ is an orthogonal set?

Answer: You check that each of them is orthogonal to all the previous ones, so you check that $w_2 \cdot w_1 = 0$, then check that $w_3 \cdot w_1 = 0$ and $w_3 \cdot w_2 = 0$, then check that $w_4 \cdot w_1 = 0$, $w_4 \cdot w_2 = 0$, $w_4 \cdot w_3 = 0$, etc.

7. What's an orthogonal basis of a vector space W ?

Answer: a basis where every element is orthogonal to every other element.

8. If w_1, \dots, w_k are some vectors, what's the quickest way to see if they form an orthogonal basis of W ?

Answer: First of all, they must all be in W . Second, the zero-vector must not be among w_1, \dots, w_k . Furthermore, k , the number of vectors in your set, must be equal to the dimension of V . Finally, check that they form an orthogonal set (see item 6).

Don't I have to check that w_1, \dots, w_k are linearly independent to make sure that I have a basis of W ?

Answer: *an orthogonal set without zero-vectors* is automatically linearly independent.

9. How do I get an *orthogonal basis* of W ?

Answer: first, you need a basis (or a spanning set, that's OK too) for W . Say that u_1, \dots, u_k is a spanning set of W . Now you follow the following process, called the Gram-Schmidt process:

Take $v_1 = u_1$.

Take v_2 to be u_2 MINUS the projection of u_2 on all previous v 's.

Take v_3 to be u_3 MINUS the projection of u_3 on all previous v 's.

Take v_4 to be u_4 MINUS the projection of u_4 on all previous v 's.

etc.

If any of these v 's are zero, then just throw that one away (this only happens if the u 's were linearly dependent).

The remaining v 's (the non-zero v 's) will be an orthogonal basis of W .

10. Can you spell that out in some more detail, how to get an orthogonal basis of W if I have some spanning set u_1, \dots, u_k of W ?

Answer: Follow the previous item, and just plug in the these orthogonal projections. So you get:

$$v_1 = u_1$$

$$v_2 = u_2 - \text{proj}_{v_1}(u_2)$$

$$v_3 = u_3 - \text{proj}_{v_1, v_2}(u_3)$$

$$v_4 = u_4 - \text{proj}_{v_1, v_2, v_3}(u_4), \text{ etc.}$$

If we spell this out with the formula for the orthogonal projection (see

items 2 and 4) then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= u_1 \\ v_2 &= u_2 - \frac{u_2 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 \\ v_3 &= u_3 - \left(\frac{u_3 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 + \frac{u_3 \cdot v_2}{v_2 \cdot v_2} v_2 \right) \\ v_4 &= u_4 - \left(\frac{u_4 \cdot v_1}{v_1 \cdot v_1} v_1 + \frac{u_4 \cdot v_2}{v_2 \cdot v_2} v_2 + \frac{u_4 \cdot v_3}{v_3 \cdot v_3} v_3 \right), \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

In step 3, make sure that you use u_3 and the previous v 's (not the previous u 's). In step 4, use u_4 and the previous v 's (not the previous u 's).

11. Example, let $u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$, $u_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 9 \\ 16 \end{pmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $W = \text{SPAN}(u_1, u_2, u_3)$. Find the orthogonal projection of y on W , i.e. find the vector in W that is as close as possible to y .

Answer: if u_1, u_2, u_3 were an orthogonal set, we could use the formula in item 4 (the w 's in item 4 would then be the u 's here). But, u_1, u_2, u_3 are not orthogonal, for example $u_1 \cdot u_2 \neq 0$. We'll have to fix that with Gram-Schmidt. We take:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= u_1 \\ v_2 &= u_2 - \frac{0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 1}{1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2} u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ v_3 &= u_3 - \left(\frac{0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 1 + 9 \cdot 1 + 16 \cdot 1}{1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2} u_1 + \frac{(-2) \cdot 0 + (-1) \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 4 + 1 \cdot 9 + 2 \cdot 16}{(-2)^2 + (-1)^2 + 0^2 + 1^2 + 2^2} u_2 \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now that we have an *orthogonal basis* v_1, v_2, v_3 of the vector space W , we are ready to compute the orthogonal projection of y on W with the formula from item 4 (the w 's in item 4 are the v 's here).

$\text{proj}_W(y) = \frac{5}{5}v_1 + \frac{5}{10}v_2 + \frac{7}{14}v_3$. If we compute that, we get y itself (this means that y was actually in W , so the vector in W closest to y is then of course y itself). Let's compute $\text{proj}_W(u)$ for another vector, say

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Then } \text{proj}_W(u) = \frac{5}{5}v_1 + \frac{10}{10}v_2 + \frac{-8}{14}v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -15/7 \\ 4/7 \\ 15/7 \\ 18/7 \\ 13/7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Application: if $f(x)$ is a function that takes values $-2, 0, 3, 2, 2$ (the entries of u) at $x = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ then the quadratic function that best approximates this takes has values "the entries of $\text{proj}_W(u)$ " at $x = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.