

MEMOIRS

of the
American Mathematical Society

Number 502

Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society

Number 502 • September 1993

Abelian Coverings of the Complex Projective Plane Branched along Configurations of Real Lines Eriko Hironaka

This work studies abelian branched coverings of smooth complex projective surfaces from the topological viewpoint. Geometric information about the coverings (such as the first Betti numbers of a smooth model or intersections of embedded curves) is related to topological and combinatorial information about the base space and branch locus. Special attention is given to examples in which the base space is the complex projective plane and the branch locus is a configuration of lines.

Abelian Coverings of the Complex Projective Plane Branched along Configurations of Real Lines

Eriko Hironaka

ISBN 0-8218-2564-X



9 780821 825648



September 1993 • Volume 105 • Number 502 (third of 6 numbers) • ISSN 0065-9266

American Mathematical Society

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Hironaka, Eriko, 1962-
Abelian coverings of the complex projective plane branched along configurations of real lines/Eriko Hironaka.
p. cm. - (Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society; no. 502)
Includes bibliographical references.
ISBN 0-8218-2564-X

1. Surfaces, Algebraic. 2. Covering spaces (Topology) 3. Algebraic varieties. I. Title. II. Series.

QA3.A57 no. 502
[QA573]
510 s--dc20
[516.3'52]

93-24886
CIP

Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society

This journal is devoted entirely to research in pure and applied mathematics.

Subscription information. The 1993 subscription begins with Number 482 and consists of six mailings, each containing one or more numbers. Subscription prices for 1993 are \$336 list, \$269 institutional member. A late charge of 10% of the subscription price will be imposed on orders received from nonmembers after January 1 of the subscription year. Subscribers outside the United States and India must pay a postage surcharge of \$25; subscribers in India must pay a postage surcharge of \$43. Expedited delivery to destinations in North America \$30; elsewhere \$92. Each number may be ordered separately; please specify number when ordering an individual number. For prices and titles of recently released numbers, see the New Publications sections of the *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*.

Back number information. For back issues see the *AMS Catalog of Publications*.

Subscriptions and orders should be addressed to the American Mathematical Society, P. O. Box 1571, Annex Station, Providence, RI 02901-1571. All orders must be accompanied by payment. Other correspondence should be addressed to Box 6248, Providence, RI 02940-6248.

Copying and reprinting. Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy a chapter for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgement of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication (including abstracts) is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Requests for such permission should be addressed to the Manager of Editorial Services, American Mathematical Society, P. O. Box 6248, Providence, RI 02940-6248.

The owner consents to copying beyond that permitted by Sections 107 or 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law, provided that a fee of \$1.00 plus \$.25 per page for each copy be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 27 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970. When paying this fee please use the code 0065-9266/93 to refer to this publication. This consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotion purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale.

Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society is published bimonthly (each volume consisting usually of more than one number) by the American Mathematical Society at 201 Charles Street, Providence, RI 02904-2213. Second-class postage paid at Providence, Rhode Island. Postmaster: Send address changes to Memoirs, American Mathematical Society, P. O. Box 6248, Providence, RI 02940-6248.

Copyright © 1993, American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved.
Printed in the United States of America.

This volume was printed directly from author-prepared copy.
The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines established to ensure permanence and durability. ♻

Printed on recycled paper. ♻

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 98 97 96 95 94 93

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
I. Preliminaries	4
I.1 Branched coverings of smooth varieties	5
I.2 The topology of branched coverings	6
I.3 Inertia and stabilizer subgroups	12
I.4 Criterion for smoothness of coverings	18
I.5 First Betti number and Picard number	20
II. Intersections of Curves on Covering Surfaces	23
II.1 Lifting data	23
II.2 Intersection graphs	25
II.3 Intersection formulas for covering surfaces	26
III. Hirzebruch Covering Surfaces	31
III.1 Hirzebruch covering surfaces	31
III.2 Fibrations and monodromy	34
III.3 Fundamental group of the complement of real lines	42
III.4 Lifting data for the branch locus	43
IV. Algorithm for Computing the First Betti Number	50
IV.1 Input	50
IV.2 Step 1: First Betti number of unbranched part	52
IV.3 Step 2: Intersection matrix for curves above branch locus	55
V. Examples	61
V.1 Classification of Hirzebruch coverings	61
V.2 Lines in general position	66
V.3 Fibrations	68
V.4 Coverings birational to the product of two curves	70
V.5 K3 surfaces and elliptic surfaces	71
V.6 Calculations of invariants	72
References	83

ABSTRACT

In this paper abelian branched coverings of smooth complex projective surfaces are studied. Geometric information about the coverings, such as the first Betti number of a smooth model or intersections of embedded curves, are related to topological and combinatorial information about the base space and branch locus. Special attention is given to the family of examples where the base space is the complex projective plane and the branch locus is a configuration of lines defined by equations with real coefficients.

Key words and phrases. branched coverings, algebraic curves and surfaces, complex projective varieties, fundamental groups, Betti numbers, Picard numbers, line configurations.

INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study properties of complex projective surfaces which arise as desingularizations of abelian coverings. These surfaces provide a rich source of examples, and their numerical invariants are a useful tool for studying embeddings of curves in the base space. We focus on the case when the branch locus is a finite union of lines \mathcal{L} in \mathbb{P}^2 and consider, for each integer $n \geq 2$, the branched covering $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ associated to the canonical map

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Minimal desingularizations \hat{X} of X were first studied extensively by Hirzebruch [Hirz]. For a given line configuration \mathcal{L} and integer n , we will call these surfaces *Hirzebruch covering surfaces* associated to \mathcal{L} and n .

Some of the main results in [Hirz] are the following. The minimal desingularization \hat{X} can be obtained by pulling back the covering $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ over the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 along the points of triple and higher intersections of \mathcal{L} . Thus, \hat{X} can itself be realized as an abelian covering of a rational surface, which is not the case for arbitrary coverings of \mathbb{P}^2 . The Kodaira dimension and Chern numbers of \hat{X} are calculated in terms of n and the combinatorics of \mathcal{L} , i.e., in terms of the number of t -tuple intersections on \mathcal{L} for $2 \leq t \leq k$. Aside from the few special cases \hat{X} is shown to be a minimal model of and of general type (Kodaira dimension 2). Applying the Miyaoka-Yau inequality (see [My] and [Y]):

$$c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$$

combinatorial obstructions for line configurations in \mathbb{P}^2 are obtained (see also [BHH]).

The aim of this paper is to develop new techniques for computing the first Betti number of abelian coverings. From this invariant, together with the Chern numbers, one can compute many other geometric surface invariants, such as the topological and geometric genera, all the Betti numbers and Hodge numbers. Unlike the Chern numbers, the first Betti number of branched covering cannot in general be calculated solely in terms of local data, and depends on the isotopy type of the branch locus in the base space. This in turn is affected by global positions of the singularities of the branch locus.

The study of first Betti numbers of coverings began with work of Zariski for cyclic coverings of \mathbb{P}^2 branched along an irreducible curve with nodes and cusps

Received by the editor February 22, 1991

and a line in general position (see [Za1] and [Za2]). He linked this to the study of algebraic families of plane curves and gave formulas for the first Betti number in terms of the positions of singularities. Recent extensions for the case when the branch curve is reducible and has more general singularities can be found in [Lib] and [Vac]. For abelian but noncyclic coverings, other approaches to finding the first Betti number can be found in [Es], [I] and [Zu].

In Zariski and Libgober's works they focus on the topology of the branch locus as exhibited in the fundamental group of its complement in \mathbb{P}^2 . From a presentation of the fundamental group, one can compute the first Betti number of the unbranched part of the covering. In the case of cyclic coverings, it is not difficult to find the difference between the first Betti numbers of the branched and unbranched. We follow this approach for the case of abelian noncyclic coverings. Techniques for computing the fundamental group of complements of plane curves were first developed by Zariski and Van Kampen [K] (see also [C]). Braid theory techniques developed by Moishezon [M] aid in actual calculations. From the presentation one can use Fox calculus to find a presentation matrix for the first homology group of the unbranched part of the covering considered as a module over the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, where G is the Galois group of the covering. The first Betti number of the unbranched coverings can be computed from this matrix.

The main new results of this paper are techniques for finding the difference between the first Betti numbers of the branched and unbranched parts. As was noted by Libgober (see Proposition 1.5.3), this difference equals the nullity of the intersection matrix for curves lying above the branch locus, assuming the branch locus supports an ample divisor. Finding this matrix is facilitated by the fact that the Galois group G acts transitively on fibers and hence on the curves lying above a given curve in the branch locus.

Fix the base space Y and branch locus B . Let X be the branched covering associated to a surjective homomorphism

$$\pi_1(Y - B) \rightarrow G,$$

where G is a finite abelian group and let \hat{X} be a desingularization of X . We study the action of G on curves in X in terms of images of certain generators of $\pi_1(Y - B)$ in G . In this way, we can link properties of X and a desingularization \hat{X} , directly to properties of $\pi_1(Y - B)$.

We review the basic topological properties of branched coverings in Chapter I. Most of the results in this chapter are well known, with the possible exceptions of I.2.1, which gives a homotopy lifting theorem for branched coverings, mimicking that for unbranched coverings, a criterion for smoothness of coverings, given in I.4, and the result about the difference between the first Betti number of a smooth surface and the same smooth surface with a divisor removed.

Using the tools developed in Chapter I we give formulas in Chapter II for intersections of curves on abelian coverings $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is already smooth. Hirzebruch coverings are such examples, since we can take Y to be the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 branched along the points on \mathcal{L} of triple and higher order. The formulas exploit the Galois group action on the curves in X . Most of the work involves developing a language to describe the correspondence between intersections in the base space and that of the coverings.

In Chapter III, we discuss the results of Chapters I and II in the particular context of Hirzebruch covering surfaces. The main ingredients for computing the first Betti number of the coverings are a presentation of the fundamental group and a description of the lifting data in terms of specific generators of the fundamental group.

All of the technical machinery having been developed in the preceding three Chapters, Chapter IV concentrates on stating an algorithm for computing the first Betti number of Hirzebruch covering surfaces. This algorithm is especially conducive to implementing on a computer, which the author has done.

In Chapter V, we conclude by giving examples of Hirzebruch covering surfaces and computations either done by hand or arrived at by computer.

I would like to thank B. Fulton, A. Landman, A. Libgober, C. McMullen and D. Roberts for helpful comments and suggestions for the preparation of this paper. Final editing and some new computations of examples were carried out at the Max-Planck-Institut in Bonn.

1.1 Branched coverings of smooth varieties.

This section gives the algebro-geometric definition of branched coverings and associated definitions and results.

1.1.1 Definition. A *branched covering* $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite surjective morphism between normal varieties. Let G be the group of isomorphisms $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ so that $\rho(\alpha(x)) = \rho(x)$ for all x in X . G is called the *group of covering automorphisms* of the covering. If G acts transitively on all fibers of $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$, then the covering is called *Galois* or *regular*. In this case G is also referred to as the *Galois group* of the covering. An *abelian covering* is a branched covering which is Galois and has abelian Galois group. A branched covering $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *smooth* if X is smooth.

1.1.2 Remark. For any branched covering

$$\rho : X \rightarrow Y$$

there is a finite extension of function fields

$$\rho^* : \mathbb{C}(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$$

given by $\rho^*(f) = f \circ \rho$ for $f \in \mathbb{C}(Y)$.

Conversely, given a smooth variety Y with function field $K = \mathbb{C}(Y)$ and a finite field extension

$$i : K \hookrightarrow L$$

there is a branched covering (unique up to an isomorphism commuting with the covering maps)

$$\rho : X \rightarrow Y$$

so that $\rho^* = i$.

The surface X is the *normalization* (see [Ha], p. 23, for definition) of Y in L and ρ is its projection to Y .

1.1.3 Definition. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be a branched covering and let $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism. This induces an isomorphism $\sigma^* : \mathbb{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\hat{Y})$. Let $\hat{\rho} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ be the branched covering associated to the field extension

$$\mathbb{C}(\hat{Y}) \xrightarrow{(\sigma^{-1})^*} \mathbb{C}(Y) \xrightarrow{\rho^*} \mathbb{C}(X).$$

We call $\hat{\rho} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ the *pullback branched covering* of the branched covering $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ over $\sigma : \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$, since it is the minimal branched covering of \hat{Y} making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & \hat{Y} \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\ X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & Y \end{array}$$

CHAPTER I. PRELIMINARIES

In this chapter we discuss some basic properties of branched coverings that are applied in the later chapters. The main objects we deal with are abelian branched coverings of the complex projective plane and its blowups. Our emphasis is on the topology and combinatorics of these coverings. Because most related expositions on branched coverings are either algebraic and don't directly describe the basic topology, or are purely topological and don't deal specifically with branched coverings of complex varieties, some results which are essentially well known are restated and reproven here.

Section 1.1 contains a definition of branched coverings of smooth varieties and an explanation of how to construct new branched coverings from old ones by blowing up the base space and pulling back. 1.2 deals with basic topological properties of branched coverings, the unbranched part of the covering and fundamental groups. The most important result of this section is Proposition 1.2.11: a homotopy lifting theorem for branched coverings. This result is applied in 1.3 to find crucial combinatorial data about the preimage of the branch locus.

In 1.3 we describe the stabilizer and inertia subgroups of the Galois group associated to subvarieties of the base space of regular coverings. These are the main tools for studying the geometry of the branched covering in terms of the geometry of the base space and branch locus. In 1.4 we give a criterion for the existence of singularities on the branched covering of a smooth surface in terms of the inertia and stabilizer subgroups. Finally, in 1.5, we discuss some basic properties of the first Betti number and Picard number of a smooth surface. The key result, Proposition 1.5.3, states that the difference between the first Betti number of the branched and unbranched parts of a covering equals the nullity of the intersection matrix of curves above the branch locus (assuming that the branch curves support an ample divisor.)

Most of the material in this section is well known and can be found in the literature. Possible exceptions are Proposition 1.2.11 and Proposition 1.5.3. The latter was communicated to me by Anatoly Libgober and Alan Landman. Some general sources on branched coverings of algebraic varieties are [A], [Gr2], [Na], [Se] and Chapter XIII and its appendix in [Za4]. We also use [Mu] and [Ha] for basic results from algebraic geometry. In describing the topology of branched coverings we use Fox's formulations [Fo3] and, for facts about topological unbranched coverings, we often refer to [Ma].

Before beginning, here is some basic terminology. A *variety* is irreducible and complex projective; *curves* and *surfaces* are varieties of dimensions 1 and 2. *Hypersurfaces* are subvarieties of codimension 1. Varieties are given the *strong*, or *analytic*, topology.

1.2 The topology of branched coverings.

In this section we give a topological definition of branched coverings and give some properties. We conclude by showing how the algebraic and topological definitions given in 1.1 and this section, respectively, are related.

Throughout this section assume that all topological spaces are locally path connected, semi-locally simply connected and Hausdorff and all maps between topological spaces are continuous.

We start with a topological definition of finite branched coverings following Fox [Fo3].

1.2.1 Definition. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective map between topological spaces and suppose that the following properties hold:

- (1) the topology on X is generated by connected components of preimages of open sets in Y ;
- (2) there is a dense open subset $Y^u \subset Y$ so that $X^u = \rho^{-1}(Y^u)$ is connected and dense in X and for $\rho^u = \rho|_{X^u}$

$$\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y^u$$

- is a finite unbranched covering;
- (3) the topology on Y has a basis of open sets whose intersections with Y^u are path connected (in other words, Y^u is *locally-connected* in Y);
- (4) for any point $p \in Y$, there is a fundamental system of neighborhoods V of p so that each component of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ contains a single point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$;
- (5) X^u is locally-connected in X .

Then $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *finite topological branched covering* over Y . If Y^u is chosen to be maximal, then $B = Y - Y^u$ is called the *branch locus* of the covering and $\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y^u$ is called the *unbranched part* of the covering. A *topological covering automorphism* is a homeomorphism of X to itself preserving fibers of ρ .

Note that if $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite topological branched covering U is an open subset of Y and $V \subset \rho^{-1}(U)$ is a connected component, then $\rho|_V : V \rightarrow U$ is also a finite topological branched covering.

1.2.2 LEMMA. For any $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying properties (1), (2), (3) and (4) of branched coverings, Y has a basis of open sets V so that, for any connected component U of $\rho^{-1}(V)$, $\rho(U) = V$ and the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ form a basis for the topology of X .

Proof. By property (3) we can choose a basis of open sets V for Y so that $V \cap Y^u$ are path connected for all V . Property (1) implies that the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ form a basis for the topology of X .

Take any connected component U of $\rho^{-1}(V)$. Since, by property (2), X^u is dense in X , there is a point q_1 in $\rho(U \cap X^u)$. Let $p_1 = \rho(q_1)$. Take any p_2 in $V \cap Y^u$. Then there is a path γ from p_1 to p_2 in $V \cap Y^u$. By property (2) and the path lifting theorem for unbranched coverings γ lifts to any connected component of $\rho^{-1}(V \cap Y^u)$. Since $V \cap Y^u$ is contained in U , any connected component of $\rho^{-1}(V \cap Y^u)$ must be either contained in U or disjoint from U .

Since $q_1 \in U$, there is a path lift of γ with endpoint q_1 which is contained in U . Therefore, there is a point q_2 in U so that $\rho(q_2) = p_2$. Thus, $\rho(U \cap X^u)$ maps onto $V \cap Y^u$. Since Y^u is dense in Y , $\rho(U)$ contains a dense subset of V .

To show that $\rho(U) = V$, take any point $p \in V$. For any neighborhood V_p of p in V , $\rho^{-1}(V_p)$ has a connected component U_p which intersects U . Therefore, U_p must be contained in U . By property (4) U_p must contain at least one point in $\rho^{-1}(p)$. \square

1.2.3 COROLLARY. Any map $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying properties (1), (2), (3) and (4) of branched coverings is open and proper.

Proof. By property (1) and Lemma 1.2.2 there is a basis of open sets V for Y so that the connected components U of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ form a basis of open sets for X and $\rho(U) = V$. Therefore, ρ is open.

By properties (2) and (4) Y has a basis of open sets V so that the number of connected components of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ is finite. To see that ρ is proper, take any compact subset F of Y . We need to show that $\rho^{-1}(F)$ is compact. By Lemma 1.2.2 for any open covering of $\rho^{-1}(F)$ there is a refinement $\{U_\alpha\}$ so that for each α there is an open set V in Y so that U_α is a connected component of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ and $\rho(U_\alpha) = V$. Thus, we may assume that for some open covering $\{V_\beta\}$ of F , $\{U_\alpha\}$ consists of all the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(V_\beta)$ where V_β range over sets in this covering. The $\{V_\beta\}$ form an open covering of F so there is a finite subcovering. By taking the connected components of the preimages of these sets we get a finite subcovering of $\rho^{-1}(F)$. \square

If we remove property (5) from Definition 1.2.1, topological branched coverings have the following functorial property. This lemma will be used later in Proposition 1.2.11, to prove the homotopy lifting theorem for branched coverings.

1.2.4 LEMMA. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjective map between topological spaces satisfying properties (1), (2), (3) and (4) of branched coverings, with branch locus B and let

$$f : Z \rightarrow Y$$

be a continuous map from a space Z so that $f(Z) - B$ is dense and locally connected in $f(Z)$. Let X_Z be the topological fiber product of Z and X over Y and ρ_Z the projection of X_Z on Z . Then ρ_Z satisfies properties (1), (2), (3) and (4) of branched coverings.

Proof. First, recall that

$$X_Z = \{(x, z) \in X \times Z \mid \rho(x) = f(z)\}.$$

Property (3) for ρ_Z follows from the hypothesis.

To prove (1), recall also that the topology on X_Z is the one induced by the product topology on $X \times Z$. Thus, given any point $(x, z) \in X_Z$ and neighborhood U' , there is a smaller neighborhood $U \subset U'$ so that U is the intersection of $V \times W$ with X_Z , where V is a neighborhood of x in X and W is a neighborhood of z in Z . Since, by Corollary 1.2.3, ρ is an open map, we can assume that $\rho(V)$ is open. Furthermore, since

$$(V \times W) \cap X_Z = (V \times W') \cap X_Z,$$

that abelian regular unbranched coverings lie in one-to-one correspondence with surjective maps

$$\phi : H_1(Y^u, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$$

where G is an abelian group, since ψ must factor through the Hurewicz map

$$h : \pi_1(Y^u) \rightarrow H_1(Y^u, \mathbb{Z})$$

taking loops to their homology classes, whose kernel is the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(Y^u, y)$.

1.2.6 Definition. We call $\phi : H_1(Y^u, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$ the *defining map* of the unbranched covering and canonically associated branched covering.

The next two lemmas hold generally for topological branched coverings.

1.2.7 LEMMA. If $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is a topological branched covering with unbranched part $\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y^u$, then the natural map from the group of covering automorphisms of ρ to that of ρ^u , given by restriction, is an isomorphism onto.

Proof. Let G be the group of topological covering automorphism of the unbranched part. Any $g \in G$ extends to a covering automorphism on X as follows. Let $p \in Y$ and $q \in \rho^{-1}(p)$. Let V be a neighborhood of p in Y so that the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ each contain a single point in $\rho^{-1}(p)$. Let W be the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ containing q whose intersection with X^u is connected. Let W_g be the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ containing $g(W \cap X^u)$ and define $g(q)$ to be the intersection of W_g with the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$.

By this definition, the extension of g is fiber preserving. To see that the extension is a homeomorphism, it suffices to show that g is an open map at each point $q \in X$. This follows from Corollary 1.2.3. \square

1.2.8 COROLLARY. If the group G of covering automorphisms of a topological branched covering $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ acts transitively on fibers in the unbranched part, then it acts transitively on all fibers.

Proof. Let p be any point in Y . To see that G acts transitively on the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$, let V be a neighborhood of p so that the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(V)$ each contain a single point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$. Since G acts transitively on fibers in the unbranched part X^u of the covering, which is dense in X , G must act transitively on the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(V)$. Since each of these components contains a single point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$, G must also act transitively on the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$. \square

We conclude this section with a result analogous to the homotopy lifting theorem for unbranched coverings.

1.2.9 Definition. Given a topological branched covering $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ and a map $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$, a continuous map

$$h : [0, 1] \times \Gamma \rightarrow X,$$

such that (setting $h_t(\gamma) = h(t, \gamma)$) $h_0(\gamma) = f(\gamma)$ and $h_t(\gamma) \in Y - B$ for all $t > 0$ is called a *homotopy* of Γ off B .

where W' is the largest subspace of W so that $f(W') \subset \rho(V)$, we can assume without loss of generality that $f(W) \subset \rho(V)$ and $\rho_Z(U') = W$.

Finally, since the topology on X is generated by connected components of preimages of open sets in Y , we can assume V is a connected component of $\rho^{-1}(\rho(V))$.

Let V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k be the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(\rho(V))$. Then $\rho_Z^{-1}(W)$ is contained in the disjoint union of intersections of $V_i \times W, \dots, V_k \times W$ with X_Z . Since U is connected and equals only one point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(z)$.

By property (4) for ρ , by choosing V small enough we can assume each of the component of $\rho_Z^{-1}(W)$. This proves property (1) for ρ_Z .

Thus, $\rho_Z^{-1}(W)$ is a disjoint union of open sets each containing a single point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(z)$. This proves property (4) for ρ_Z .

To prove property (2) we need to show that ρ_Z restricted to $X_Z \cap (X^u \times Z)$ is an unbranched covering. For this it suffices to show that ρ_Z is a local homeomorphism. Let (z, z) be any point and U a neighborhood $X_Z \cap (V \times Z)$ where V is an open set in X and ρ is a homeomorphism when restricted to V . Then ρ_Z is a homeomorphism when restricted to U . \square

In his paper [Fo3], Fox shows that unbranched coverings can be canonically completed to branched coverings.

1.2.5 THEOREM. Given a finite unbranched covering

$$\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y^u,$$

with X^u connected, and an imbedding $Y^u \hookrightarrow Y$ whose image is dense, there is a unique branched covering in the topological sense

$$\rho : X \rightarrow Y$$

whose unbranched part is $\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y^u$.

Note that without property (3) the uniqueness would not hold. Let us recall some facts about topological unbranched coverings. Let Y^u be a connected, locally pathwise connected, semi-locally simply connected space. Then there is a bijection between unbranched coverings of Y^u and conjugacy classes of subgroups of $\pi_1(Y^u, y)$ which takes $\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y^u$ to the conjugacy class of the subgroup $\rho_*(\pi_1(X^u, x))$ in $\pi_1(Y^u, y)$ ([Ma], Theorem 10.2, p. 175). The covering is regular if and only if $\rho_*(\pi_1(X^u, x))$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(Y^u, y)$ ([Ma], Lemma 8.1, p. 164). In this case there is a canonical surjective map

$$\psi : \pi_1(Y^u, y) \rightarrow G,$$

where G is the group of covering automorphisms. Under this map a loop $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y^u, y)$ goes to the unique covering automorphism taking any point $x \in \rho^{-1}(y)$ to the endpoint of the lift of γ at x ([Ma], Theorem 7.2, p. 162.) The kernel of the map ψ equals $\rho_*(\pi_1(X^u, x))$ ([Ma], Corollary 7.4, p. 163.) It follows

1.2.10 Definition. Let $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map and let

$$f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$$

be any map so that $\rho(f'(\gamma)) = f(\gamma)$ for all γ in Γ . We call f' a *lifting map* for f . Recall the following basic result from the theory of unbranched coverings. (See [Ma], Theorem 5.1, p. 156.) Let $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ be a map between topological spaces (recall they must be locally connected) and suppose $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is a topological unbranched covering. Let $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be any elements so that $y = \rho(x) = f(\gamma)$. If

$$f_*(\pi_1(\Gamma, \gamma)) \subset \rho_*(\pi_1(X, x))$$

both considered as subgroups of $\pi_1(Y, y)$, then there is a unique continuous lifting $f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ such that $f'(\gamma) = x$. We generalize this to branched coverings in the following proposition.

1.2.11 Proposition. Let $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous map from any connected space Γ into Y and suppose there exists a homotopy

$$h : [0, 1] \times \Gamma \rightarrow Y$$

of Γ off B so that

$$(h_1)_* \pi_1(\Gamma, \gamma) \subset (\rho^u)_* \pi_1(X^u, x)$$

as subgroups of $\pi_1(Y^u, y)$, where $\gamma \in 1 \times \Gamma$, $x \in X^u$ are any elements such that $y = h_1(\gamma) = \rho^u(x)$. Then there is a continuous lifting map

$$f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$$

for f such that $f'(\gamma) = x$.

Before proving this we need a lemma.

1.2.12 Lemma. Let Z be a connected space and $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ be any map so that $f(Z) - B$ is locally connected in $f(Z)$. Suppose there is a dense open connected subspace $U \subset Z$ which is locally connected in Z so that the restriction of f to U has a lift $f' : U \rightarrow X$. Then we can extend f' to a lifting on all of Z .

Proof. Consider the topological fiber product $X_Z = X \times_Y Z$. Then by Lemma 1.2.4 the projection $\rho_Z : X_Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfies properties (1),(2),(3) and (4) of branched coverings.

Let Z' be the closure of the preimage U' of the graph of f' in X_Z . We claim that ρ_Z restricted to Z' is a homeomorphism onto Z . Since, by Proposition 1.2.3, ρ_Z is an proper mapping, it suffices to show that ρ_Z is a bijection from Z' to Z . Since ρ_Z sends closed sets to closed sets $\rho_Z(Z')$ contains the closure of U in Z , which is all of Z , so ρ_Z is onto. To see that it is one-to-one, take any $p \in Z$. By Lemma 1.2.2, there is a connected open neighborhood V_p of p in Z so that any connected component of $\rho_Z^{-1}(V_p)$ maps onto V_p and contains a single point in the fiber $\rho_Z^{-1}(p)$. Suppose there are two points q_1 and q_2 in $\rho_Z^{-1}(p) \cap Z'$. Then there are two distinct connected components W_1 and W_2 in $\rho_Z^{-1}(V_p)$ which

intersect Z' . But, since U' is dense in Z' , W_1 and W_2 must also intersect U' . This contradicts the fact that ρ_Z is one-to-one on U' .

Now, by composing the inverse of ρ_Z restricted to Z' with projection to X we obtain a lift of f on all of Z . \square

Proof of Proposition 1.2.11. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_* \pi_1([0, 1] \times \Gamma, *) &= (h_1)_* \pi_1(\Gamma, *) \\ &\subset (\rho^u)_* \pi_1(X^u, *), \end{aligned}$$

so there is a lifting map

$$h' : (0, 1] \times \Gamma \rightarrow X^u$$

so that $\rho(h'(t, \gamma)) = h(t, \gamma)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Let $Z = [0, 1] \times \Gamma$, $U = (0, 1] \times \Gamma$ and $f = h$. Then the rest follows from Lemma 1.2.12. \square

We now end this section by describing the relation between topological and algebraic branched coverings.

The following theorems were proven by Zariski in the 1930's.

1.2.13 Theorem. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite surjective morphism between normal varieties. Then, considered as a map between topological spaces, ρ is a topological branched covering.

1.2.14 Theorem. The branch locus of a branched covering $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is either empty or a subvariety of Y of pure codimension one.

The properties in Definition 1.2.1 follow from the "fundamental openness theorem" (see for example [Mu], p. 43) and the unibranch property of normal surface, sometimes known as Zariski's main theorem. Zariski's paper on the "purity of the branch locus" [Za3] gives a proof of Theorem 1.2.14.

Property (5) of topological branched coverings is analogous to the condition that branched coverings of varieties must be normal.

The following theorem is analogous to Lemma 1.2.5.

1.2.15 Theorem. Let Y be a normal variety and B a finite union of proper subvariety of pure codimension one. Given a topological unbranched covering $\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y - B$, with X^u connected, there exists an irreducible normal variety X with a finite surjective morphism $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ and a homeomorphism $s : X^u \rightarrow \rho^{-1}(Y - B)$ such that $\rho(x) = \rho^u(s(x))$ for all $x \in X^u$.

This is a generalization of the Riemann-Enriques Existence Theorem [En], proved by Grauert and Remmert [GR]. See Grothendieck's work [Gr1] for further generalizations. The statement given here is taken from Serre's introduction to his survey [Se]. Since normalizations are unique, there is only one branched covering $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ over Y associated to an unbranched covering $\rho^u : X^u \rightarrow Y^u$, where Y^u is the complement of a finite union of subvarieties of codimension 1 in Y .

I.2.16 LEMMA. Any topological covering automorphism of $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism from X to itself considered as a variety.

Proof. Let σ be a topological covering automorphism. Since ρ is a local isomorphism on the unbranched part X^u , σ is an isomorphism from X^u to itself. The fact that σ extends to an isomorphism of X to itself follows from a weaker version of the Theorem I.2.15. \square

I.3 Inertia and stabilizer subgroups.

In order to translate from combinatorial data of branched coverings to geometric data about the covering space it is useful to study the actions of special subgroups of the group of covering automorphisms. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be any branched covering with branch locus B and with group of covering automorphisms G .

I.3.1 Definition. For any subvariety W of X , the subgroup I_W of G defined by

$$I_W = \{g \in G \mid g(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in W\}$$

is called the *inertia subgroup* of W and the subgroup defined by

$$H_W = \{g \in G \mid g(x) \in W \text{ for all } x \in W\}$$

is called the *stabilizer subgroup* of W .

I.3.2 Remark. If the covering is regular, then the inertia subgroups (respectively, stabilizer subgroups) for different components of $\rho^{-1}(Z)$, where Z is a subvariety of Y , are conjugate. If the covering is also abelian, then conjugate subgroups are equal and we can define I_Z and H_Z for subvarieties of Y to be the inertia and stabilizer subgroup for any irreducible component of $\rho^{-1}(Z)$. In this case a subvariety Z of Y is in the branch locus if and only if I_Z is nontrivial.

Hereafter, assume $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is abelian.

I.3.3 LEMMA. For any subvariety Z in Y , I_Z is the subgroup of G generated by elements of I_W for all irreducible components W of B containing Z .

Proof. Let S be the subgroup of G generated by I_W for all hypersurfaces W containing V , where $W \subset B$. Whenever Z is contained in W , any automorphism which fixes all points in $\rho^{-1}(W)$ must fix points in $\rho^{-1}(Z)$, so we have $I_W \subset I_Z$ and hence $S \subset I_Z$.

Conversely, suppose we take the quotient of the covering space X by S . The quotient covering

$$\bar{\rho} : X/S \rightarrow Y$$

is a branched covering and G/S equals its group of covering automorphisms. The new inertia subgroup \bar{I}_W for W is the image of the original inertia subgroup I_W in G/S for any subvariety W of Y . Since \bar{I}_W is trivial for all hypersurfaces W of Y with $V \subset W$, X/S is not branched over any hypersurface W containing Z . By Zariski's "purity of the branch locus," this implies that X/S is not branched over Z itself and therefore the image of I_Z in G/S is trivial. In other words, I_Z is contained in S . \square

Using some simple local topology we will now find special elements of the inertia and stabilizer subgroups of a curve C in the branch locus of an abelian branched covering over a smooth surface Y . These generate I_C and H_C when C is simply connected.

First, we study the more general case when Y can have any dimension.

I.3.4 Definition. Let B be a finite union of codimension-1 subvarieties of Y and let V be any irreducible component of B . For any smooth point p of B contained in V , let D be the unit complex disk and let

$$j : D \hookrightarrow Y$$

be an analytic embedding intersecting B transversally at p with $j(0) = p$. Note that for fixed p this is well defined up to homotopy. Let μ_p be the path defined by

$$\theta \mapsto j(e^{i\theta}), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

We will call μ_p the *positively-oriented meridional loop*, or just *positive loop* around V at p .

I.3.5 PROPOSITION. Any two positive loops μ_p and μ_q around an irreducible component V of B at smooth points p and q of B on V are homologically equivalent in $Y - B$.

Proof. For the case $p = q$ see Definition I.3.4. Assume $p \neq q$. Let Σ be the singular points of B and let γ be a path from p to q on $V - \Sigma$. (One exists since Σ is a proper subvariety of V , hence codimension one in an irreducible variety, so its complement is path connected.) Since $V - \Sigma$ is a smooth submanifold of B and γ is contained in $V - \Sigma$, γ has a tubular neighborhood $T(\gamma)$ in Y . That is, there is a (real disk bundle) $T(\gamma) \rightarrow \gamma$ and an embedding $T(\gamma) \hookrightarrow Y$ so that the zero section maps to γ , the rest lies in $Y - B$ and the fibers over the endpoints p and q of γ equal the loops μ_p and μ_q . The boundary of the image of the S^1 bundle sitting inside the image of $T(\gamma)$ equals the difference between μ_p and μ_q . \square

We will hereafter denote by μ_V the class in $H_1(Y - B; \mathbb{Z})$ of a positively-oriented meridional loop around V .

The following is a standard fact about topological unbranched covering (see, for example, [Ma], Proposition II.1, p. 177).

I.3.6 PROPOSITION. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be any topological unbranched covering, $V \subset Y$ a connected subset and W a connected component of $\rho^{-1}(V)$. Then the restriction of ρ_* to W is an unbranched covering map and for the inclusion map $i : V \hookrightarrow Y$ and any $w \in W$ we have

$$\rho_*(\pi_1(W, w)) = i_*^{-1}(\rho_*(\pi_1(X, w))),$$

both considered as subgroups of $\pi_1(Y, \rho(w))$.

1.3.7 COROLLARY. If $V \subset Y$ is any hypersurface not contained in the branch locus B , then the stabilizer subgroup H_V equals

$$\phi(i_* H_1(V - B; \mathbb{Z}))$$

where i_* is induced by the inclusion $i: V - B \hookrightarrow Y - B$ and $\phi: H_1(Y - B; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$ is the defining map for the covering.

Proof. Let $\psi: \pi_1(Y - B, v) \rightarrow G$ be the defining map for the covering (taking v to be in $V - B$). Then $\psi = \phi \circ h$, where h is the Hurewicz map.

Let W be any irreducible component of $\rho^{-1}(V)$. We need to show that the kernel of $\psi \circ i_*$ equals $\pi_1(W - \rho^{-1}(B), *)$. Since ψ is the defining map for $\rho: X \rightarrow Y$, the kernel of ψ equals $\rho_*(\pi_1(X - \rho^{-1}(B), w))$, where we may take the basepoint w to be in $W - \rho^{-1}(B)$ intersected with the fiber $\rho^{-1}(v)$. Thus, the kernel of $\phi \circ i_*$ equals $i_*^{-1}(\rho_*(\pi_1(X - \rho^{-1}(B), w)))$, which equals $\pi_1(W - \rho^{-1}(B), w)$, by Proposition 1.3.6. \square

1.3.8 PROPOSITION. Given any irreducible component $V \subset B$, I_V is generated by $g_V = \phi(\mu_V)$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.3.3, if $p \in V - (\overline{B - V})$, then $I_p = I_V$. For a small enough ball U centered at p , $\pi_1(U - B)$ is generated by a loop whose image under the Hurewicz map is homologically equivalent to μ_V . Thus, g_V generates the subgroup of G which stabilizes $U - B$. Since U can be taken to be arbitrarily small and G acts continuously, I_p must be generated by g_V . \square

1.3.9 PROPOSITION. For any point $p \in B$, I_p is generated by g_V for all irreducible components V in B passing through p .

Proof. By Lemma 1.3.3, I_p is generated by elements of I_V where V ranges over all irreducible components of B containing p . The rest follows from Proposition 1.3.8. \square

We now concentrate on the case that Y is a smooth surface. Let $C \subset Y$ be a curve not contained in the branch locus B . Let $p \in C \cap B$ and let $U \subset Y$ be a small ball around p in Y so that p is the only singular point on $U \cap (C \cup B)$. We will find special elements of H_C given as the images of the composition of maps

$$H_1(U \cap C - p; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_1(C - B; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi \circ i_*} G,$$

where j_* is induced by the inclusion $j: U \cap C - p \rightarrow C - B$.

Consider $\partial U \cap C$, where ∂U , the boundary of U , is isomorphic to a 3-sphere S^3 . Then $C \cap \partial U$ is a finite union of homeomorphic images of the circle S^1 oriented by the complex structure, so the inclusion of $C \cap \partial U$ in ∂U defines an oriented link L_C with components K_1, \dots, K_t . These components lie in one-to-one correspondence with connected components of $(U - p) \cap C$. The closures b_1, \dots, b_t of these components are called the *branches* of C at p .

The following theorem can be found in [Br], Theorem 14, pp. 440-441.

1.3.10 THEOREM. Let C and D be two analytic curves (not necessarily irreducible) defined in a complex disk U with origin p , and assume p is the only singular point of $C \cup D$. Let L_C and L_D be the intersections of C and D with ∂U thought of as oriented links on a 3-sphere. Then the intersection multiplicity $I_p(C, D)$ equals the linking number $\text{lk}(L_C, L_D)$.

1.3.11 COROLLARY. The image of the composition of maps

$$H_1(U \cap (C - B); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_1(C - B; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_1(Y - B; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi} G$$

is generated by elements of G of the form

$$\sum_{DCB} I_p(b, D) g_D,$$

where b ranges over branches of C at p and the sum is over curves D in B .

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$H_1(U \cap (C - B); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_1(C - B; \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\downarrow \alpha \quad \downarrow i_*$$

$$H_1(U \cap (Y - B); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_1(Y - B; \mathbb{Z})$$

where all maps are induced by inclusion. We will find the image of $\beta \circ \alpha$. Let L_B be the oriented link in ∂U given by $\partial U \cap B$. Then the pair

$$(\partial U \cap (C - B), \partial U \cap (Y - B)) = (L_C, \partial U - L_B)$$

is a deformation retract of

$$(U \cap (C - B), U \cap (Y - B))$$

(see [Mi], Theorem 2.10, p. 18). Therefore, we have a commutative diagram

$$H_1(L_C; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\quad} H_1(\partial U - L_B; \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$H_1(U \cap (C - B); \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\quad} H_1(U \cap (Y - B); \mathbb{Z})$$

where all maps are induced by inclusions and vertical maps are isomorphisms. We will find the image of $\beta \circ \alpha$.

Let K_1, \dots, K_t be the oriented connected components of L_C . For each ℓ , the image of K_ℓ in $H_1(\partial U - L_B; \mathbb{Z})$ equals, by definition of linking number (see [R], p. 132),

$$\sum_{DCB \cap U} \text{lk}(K_\ell, L_D) \mu_D.$$

By Theorem 1.3.10, $\beta \circ \alpha(K_\ell)$ equals

$$\sum_{DCB} I_p(b_\ell, D) \mu_D.$$

Applying the map ϕ we have

$$(\phi \circ \beta \circ \alpha)(K_\ell) = \sum_{DCB} I_p(b_\ell, D) g_D.$$

Since K_1, \dots, K_t generate $H_1(L_C; \mathbb{Z})$, the elements described above generate their image under $\phi \circ \beta \circ \alpha$. \square

1.3.12 PROPOSITION. If C is a curve in Y not in the branch locus B , then H_C contains the elements of G of the form

$$(*) \quad \sum_{D \subset B} I_p(b, D)g_D$$

where p ranges over points in $C \cap B$ and b ranges over branches of C at p . If C is smooth and rational, then these elements generate H_C .

Proof. By Corollary 1.3.11, the elements $(*)$ are in the image of

$$\phi(i_*(H_1(C - B; \mathbb{Z}))).$$

By Corollary 1.3.7, they are elements of H_C . If C is smooth and rational then $H_1(C - B; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the images of the maps

$$H_1(U_p \cap (C - B); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(C - B; \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by inclusions, where U_p are small balls around points $p \in C \cap B$. Therefore, the elements of the form $(*)$ generate H_C . \square

1.3.13 PROPOSITION. If C is a curve in B , then H_C contains g_C and elements in G of the form

$$\sum_{D \subset (\overline{B-C})} I_p(b, D)g_D,$$

where p ranges over points in $C \cap (\overline{B-C})$ and b ranges over branches of C at p . If C is smooth and rational then these generate H_C .

Proof. Consider the covering

$$\begin{array}{c} X/IC \\ \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ Y \end{array}$$

obtained by taking the quotient of X by the action of IC . The new branch locus \overline{B} no longer contains C and, by Proposition 1.3.12, the stabilizer subgroup $\overline{H_C}$ associated to \overline{C} in the new covering contains elements in G of the form

$$\sum_{D \subset (\overline{B-C})} I_p(b, D)\tilde{g}_D,$$

where p ranges over points in $C \cap (\overline{B-C})$, b ranges over branches of C at p and \tilde{g}_D is the image of g_D under the quotient map

$$G \rightarrow G/IC.$$

These generate H_C if C is smooth and rational. Since $\overline{H_C}$ is the image of H_C under the quotient map and g_C generates IC by Proposition 1.3.8, the result follows. \square

Finally, we describe the effect of pullbacks of branched coverings of Y over blowups of Y on the inertia and stabilizer subgroups.

1.3.14 PROPOSITION. Let $\sigma: \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be the blowup of Y at a point $p \in B$ and let $\hat{\rho}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ be the pullback covering over \hat{Y} of $\rho: X \rightarrow Y$. Then the branch locus of the new covering $\hat{\rho}$ consists of the proper transforms \hat{C} of curves C in B and possibly the exceptional set E_p . The inertia and stabilizer subgroups of each \hat{C} are the same as those for C . The inertia subgroup for E_p is generated by

$$\sum m_p(C)g_C,$$

where $m_p(C)$ is the multiplicity of C at p and the sum is taken over all curves C in B containing p . The stabilizer subgroup is generated by $m_p(C)g_C$, where C ranges over all curves in B passing through p .

Proof. The coverings $\hat{\rho}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ and $\rho: X \rightarrow Y$ agree on their unbranched part and hence so does the Galois group action.

Let C be any curve in Y , let $C_0 = C - \overline{B-C} - \text{Sing}(C)$ and let $q \in C_0$ be chosen generically. Then, by Lemma 1.3.3, $I_q = I_C$. Let $\hat{q} \in \hat{Y}$ be a point so that $\sigma(\hat{q}) = q$. Let C_1 be the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(C_0)$ containing \hat{q} . The restriction ρ_C of ρ to C_1 is a branched covering, since it is a finite morphism and it has Galois group G/IC . Since q was chosen generically, ρ_C is unbranched near q . Therefore, the inertia subgroup of \hat{q} with respect to ρ_C is trivial and hence must be I_C with respect to ρ . Thus, the inertia subgroup $I_{\hat{C}}$ equals the inertia subgroup I_C . It follows that only proper transforms of curves in B and possibly the exceptional curve, lie in the branch locus of $\hat{\rho}$ by Remark 1.3.2. \square

$$\phi: H_1(Y - B; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$$

be the defining map for ρ , let \hat{B} be the total transform of curves in B , and

$$\hat{\phi}: H_1(\hat{Y} - \hat{B}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$$

be the defining map for $\hat{\rho}$, where \hat{B} is the total transform of curves in B . Then $\hat{\phi} = \phi \circ \sigma_*$, where

$$\sigma_*: H_1(\hat{Y} - \hat{B}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(Y - B; \mathbb{Z})$$

is the isomorphism induced by σ .

By Corollary 1.3.7, we know $H_{\hat{C}}$ equals

$$\hat{\phi}(i_* H_1(\hat{C} - \hat{B}; \mathbb{Z}))$$


where $i: \hat{C} - \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{Y} - \hat{B}$ is the inclusion map. This equals

$$\phi(\sigma_* i_* H_1(\hat{C} - \hat{B}; \mathbb{Z})) = \phi(j_* H_1(C - B; \mathbb{Z})),$$

where $j: C - B \rightarrow Y - B$ is inclusion and the latter equals H_C , also by Corollary 1.3.7. Therefore, H_C equals $H_{\hat{C}}$.

We now find the stabilizer subgroup of the exceptional set E_p . Let C_1, \dots, C_t be curves in B passing through p . Let $\hat{\gamma}$ be a loop on \hat{Y} around E_p , with image γ in Y and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t$ be loops on Y around C_1, \dots, C_t , respectively. We

can assume that γ lies on some line \hat{L} on \hat{Y} intersecting E_p at a general point. Projecting this line to Y , we obtain a new line L passing through p in general position with respect to the branch curves near p . By assumption γ lies on L .



Let U be a neighborhood of p isomorphic to a disk with center p . Assume U is small enough so that the intersections of branch curves C_1, \dots, C_k with the boundary ∂U are equal to $L_p, C_1, \dots, L_p, C_k$, and $\partial U \cap L$ is homotopic to γ . Then in ∂U , γ is homotopic to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^t \text{lk}(L_p, C_s) \gamma_s &= \sum_{s=1}^t I_p(C_s, C) \gamma_s \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^t m_p(C_s) \gamma_s. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality comes from the fact that L is in general position with respect to the C_i . By Proposition 1.3.8, it follows that I_E , is generated by

$$\Sigma m_p(C) g_C,$$

where the sum is over curves C in B passing through p . Applying Proposition 1.3.13 to E_p , which is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and is simply connected, H_{E_p} is generated by

$$m_p(C) g_C,$$

where C ranges over curves in B passing through p . \square

1.4 Criterion for smoothness of coverings.

In this section we give a criterion for an abelian covering $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ over a smooth surface to be smooth in terms of conditions on the branch locus and the inertia subgroups associated to its irreducible components. Assume that the curves in the branch locus B are smooth and intersect in normal crossings. Given any branched covering one can construct one satisfying this hypothesis by taking a sequence of pullback coverings over blowups of the singularities in the branch locus.

1.4.1 PROPOSITION. *The covering surface X is smooth if and only if whenever two curves C and D in the branch locus intersect, the inertia subgroups I_C and I_D intersect only in the identity element.*

Proof. To study smoothness we need to look locally. Take any $p \in Y$. If p is not in the branch locus, then for any q in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$, ρ is locally an analytic isomorphism near q . Since, in particular, Y is smooth at p , q must also be a smooth point of X .

We will now assume p is a point in B . Let U be a small ball around p isomorphic to a complex disk, so that, for any two distinct points q_1 and q_2 in $\rho^{-1}(p)$, the connected components V_1 and V_2 of $\rho^{-1}(U)$ containing q_1 and q_2 don't intersect. (See property (4) of Definition 1.2.1.)

Suppose p lies on a single irreducible component C of B . By choosing U smaller if necessary, we can find complex coordinates x and y on U so that $U \cap B$ is given by the equation $x = 0$. For any q in $\rho^{-1}(p)$, let V_q be the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(U)$. Then the restriction of ρ to V_q is a branched covering over U branched along $U \cap B$.

The fundamental group of $U - B$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , so V_q must be a cyclic branched covering of U branched along B . By uniqueness of branched coverings, V_q must also be isomorphic to a complex disk and ρ restricted to V_q must be of the form

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^k, y).$$

Suppose p is a point on the intersection of two curves C and D in B . We will show that any point q in $\rho^{-1}(p)$ is smooth if and only if $I_C \cap I_D$ contains only the identity element.

Again, take U small enough so that $U \cap B$ equals $U \cap (C \cup D)$. Choose complex coordinates x and y on U so that $U \cap C$ is given by the equation $x = 0$ and $U \cap D$ by $y = 0$.

Let V_q be the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(U)$ containing q . Since the restriction ρ_q of ρ to V_q is a branched covering (by the remark after Definition 1.2.1) the isomorphism class of V_q is determined by the exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi_1(V_q - \rho^{-1}(B)) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \pi_1(U - B) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} G_q \rightarrow 0,$$

where G_q equals covering automorphisms defined on V_q . From Proposition 1.3.6 it follows that one can consider G_q as the subgroup of G generated by g_C and g_D and, by Proposition 1.3.3, it equals I_p .

Let r, s, t be nonnegative integers so that

$$r g_C + s g_D = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t g_D = 0$$

generate the relations in G_q . Since G_q is finite, $r, t > 0$. We can also assume without loss of generality that $s < t$.

The numbers r and t are uniquely determined by the above and, if we assume also that s is minimal, then s is also determined. Note that $s = 0$ if and only if $I_C \cap I_D = (0)$.

Since the first Betti number of the unbranched part of the covering $b_1(X^u)$ can be computed using essentially topological methods (which we describe in detail in Chapter III), it is usual to be able to find the difference $b_1(X^u) - b_1(\tilde{X})$. More generally we will show how the first Betti number of any smooth surface changes when one removes arbitrary unions of curves that support an ample divisor. The proposition and its proof was suggested to me by A. Libgober and A. Landman.

1.5.3. PROPOSITION. *If X is any smooth surface and C is a finite union of curves on X so that some linear combination defines an ample divisor on X , then*

$$b_1(X) = b_1(X - C) - \text{Null}(C),$$

where $\text{Null}(C)$ is the nullity of the intersection matrix of C in X .

Proof. Consider the exact homology sequence of the pair $(X, X - C)$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(X) &\rightarrow H_2(X, X - C) \\ &\rightarrow H_1(X - C) \rightarrow H_1(X) \rightarrow H_1(X, X - C) \end{aligned}$$

with rational coefficients.

Intersection gives nondegenerate pairings

$$H_k(C) \times H_{4-k}(X, X - C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

and

$$H_k(X) \times H_{4-k}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

In particular, the dual of $H_1(X, X - C)$ is isomorphic to $H_3(C)$ which is trivial since C is a 2-complex. So, $H_1(X, X - C)$ is trivial. Therefore, the difference $b_1(X - C) - b_1(X)$ equals the dimension of the cokernel of the map

$$r : H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(X, X - C),$$

or, equivalently, the nullity of the dual map

$$r^* : H_2(X, X - C)^* \rightarrow H_2(X)^*.$$

Let $i : C \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. The intersection pairing also shows that, since $H_2(C)$ is generated by cycles $[C]$ representing the irreducible components of C , $H_2(X, X - C)^*$ is generated by $[C]^*$, where

$$r^*[C]^* \alpha = [C]^* r(\alpha) = [C] \cdot r(\alpha) = i_*[C] \alpha$$

for all $\alpha \in H_2(X)$.

It follows that the kernel of r^* consists of $[D]^*$, where D is any linear combination of curves C in C , such that

$$i_*[D] \alpha = 0,$$

Now q is a smooth point of X if and only if V_q is isomorphic to a complex disk. (Recall that by Definition 1.2.1 the topology on \tilde{X} , in this case the complex topology, is generated by components of preimages of open sets in Y .) Thus, we need to classify all branched coverings of the complex disk to itself branched along $x = 0$ and $y = 0$.

All analytic maps from the disk to itself which are unbranched coverings over the complement of $x = 0$ and $y = 0$ can be put in the form

$$(z, y) \mapsto (x^a y^b, x^c y^d).$$

By a change of coordinates, one can write this as

$$(z, y) \mapsto (x^r, x^s y^t)$$

where $r, t > 0$ and $0 \leq s < t$. If we choose s to be minimal then r, s, t are uniquely determined. The map defines a branched covering (i.e. is finite) if and only if $s = 0$.

The unbranched part of this map is given by the same exact sequence as (*). Thus, q is a smooth point of X if and only if $s = 0$. \square

1.5 First Betti number and Picard number.

Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be a branched covering over a smooth surface Y and let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization. In this section we make a few remarks concerning two numerical invariants of \tilde{X} : the first Betti number and the Picard number. We show that if the branch locus supports an ample divisor, the problem of finding the first Betti number breaks up into two parts. One is finding the first Betti number of the unbranched part and the other is finding the nullity of the intersection matrix for curves in \tilde{X} above the branch locus. The rank of this matrix gives a lower bound for the Picard number of \tilde{X} .

The first Betti number b_1 is the rank of the first homology group $H_1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ of \tilde{X} . It is not hard to see that the first Betti number (and, in fact, the fundamental group of a smooth variety) is invariant under blowing up. This follows by van Kampen's theorem and the fact that, topologically, blowing up consists of replacing a simply connected set with simply connected boundary in X (a ball around the point to be blown up) by another simply connected set with simply connected boundary (isomorphic to a 2-disk fiber bundle over \mathbb{P}^1).

1.5.1 Definition. Two divisors C and D on \tilde{X} are said to be numerically equivalent if $C \cdot H = D \cdot H$ for all divisors H on \tilde{X} . The Picard number ρ is the rank of the group of divisors on \tilde{X} modulo numerical equivalence, or, equivalently, the rank of the Neron-Severi group of \tilde{X} .

1.5.2 Remark. Any divisor determines a class in $H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$, and intersections of divisors generalizes to intersections of homology 2-cycles. The intersection pairing on $H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$ is nondegenerate by Poincaré duality, since \tilde{X} is a compact 4-manifold. By the Hodge Index theorem, if a divisor D has the property that $D \cdot H = 0$ for all divisors H on \tilde{X} , then considering D as an element of $H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$, $D \cdot Z = 0$ for any homology 2-cycle Z . Therefore, ρ can also be thought of as the rank of the image of the group of divisors on \tilde{X} in $H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Q})$.

for all $\alpha \in H_2(X)$. In particular, for all curves C in \mathcal{C} ,

$$i_*[D] \cdot i_*[C] = 0.$$

Thus, the rank of $\ker(r^*)$ is at most the nullity of the intersection matrix for curves in \mathcal{C} .

To see the equality, suppose D is a linear combination of curves in \mathcal{C} , and

$$i_*[D] \cdot i_*[C] = 0$$

for all curves C in \mathcal{C} . Then $i_*[D] \cdot i_*[D] = 0$ and, since \mathcal{C} supports an ample divisor H , $i_*[D] \cdot H = 0$. By the Hodge index theorem this implies $i_*[D]$ is numerically equivalent to zero. Therefore, $i_*[D] \cdot \alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in H_2(X)$ and $[D]^* \in \ker(r^*)$. \square

We can use this result in computing the first Betti number of branched coverings in the following way. Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be any branched covering with branch locus B . Let X^u be the unbranched part and $\sigma : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization. If \mathcal{B} supports an ample divisor then so does its preimage $\mathcal{B}' = \sigma^{-1}(\rho^{-1}(B))$. Thus, $b_1(X^u) - b_1(\tilde{X})$ is the nullity of the intersection matrix for \mathcal{B}' . If \mathcal{B} does not support an ample divisor, then let C be an ample curve and let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \cup C$. Then to find the difference in Betti numbers it suffices to find the nullity of the intersection matrix for $\sigma^{-1}(\rho^{-1}(C))$. In the following section, we will show how to compute these matrices for abelian coverings.

CHAPTER II. INTERSECTIONS OF CURVES ON COVERING SURFACES

In this chapter we describe intersections of curves of abelian covering surfaces in terms of intersections in the base space Y and the action of the Galois group G . The results are applied later in Chapter III to find the intersection matrix for the preimage of the branch locus.

Because in our applications the curves we deal with are smooth and intersect in normal crossings and thus distinct curves lying above the same curve in the base space are disjoint (as we see in Lemma II.3.2), the problem of finding intersections of distinct curves reduces to counting points. We set up a language for relating incidence of curves in the covering space to intersections in the base space and covering data. Given a collection of curves \mathcal{C} in the base space, our key result, Proposition II.3.1, gives intersection formulas for the curves in the preimage of \mathcal{C} in terms of what we call *lifting data* for \mathcal{C} . Roughly, this has two parts. One is an enumeration of the curves above \mathcal{C} and the other is some simple information determining which curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ and $\rho^{-1}(D)$ meet in the fiber of a point $p \in C \cap D$.

The main tools we use are topological properties of branched coverings, described in section I.2, and properties of the inertia and stabilizer subgroups, given in I.3. We set up the terminology in II.1. In II.2 we show how to apply graphs with certain properties imbedded in \mathcal{C} to the problem of finding lifting data. If the graph lifts to the covering surface, then finding the lifting data for \mathcal{C} reduces to a local problem.

Finally, in II.3 we give intersection formulas for curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ in terms of lifting data, when \mathcal{C} is a union of smooth curves so that $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{B}$ has normal crossings. When $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$ the nullity of the intersection matrix, which one can compute from the formulas, gives the difference between the first Betti numbers of the branched and unbranched parts of the covering surface (see Proposition I.5.3) and the rank of the intersection matrix gives a lower bound for the Picard number of the surface (see Remark I.5.2).

II.1 Lifting data for curves in the base space.

Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be an abelian branched covering with branch locus B and Galois group G . Let \mathcal{C} be a finite union of curves in Y . For each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, let H_C be the stabilizer subgroup of C . Then the curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ are a principal homogeneous space for G/H_C . Thus, choosing a fixed curve C' in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ determines a one-to-one correspondence between curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ and cosets G/H_C such that

$$\alpha C' \longmapsto \alpha H_C, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in G.$$

II.1.1 Definition. A choice of curves $C' \subset \rho^{-1}(C)$ for each curve C in \mathcal{C} is called *liftings* for \mathcal{C} .

Once we have liftings, we would like to know, given two curves C and D in \mathcal{C} , with $p \in C \cap D$, for which $\alpha, \beta \in G$ do the curves $\alpha(C')$ and $\beta(D')$ intersect in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$.

II.1.2 Definition. Let S be the intersections on \mathcal{C} and let \mathcal{J} be the set of pairs (p, C) where $p \in S$, C is a curve in \mathcal{C} and $p \in C$. Given a \mathcal{C} lifting, let

$$\Psi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow G$$

be a map so that, for each $p \in S$,

$$\left(\bigcap_{p \in C \cap C'} \Psi(p, C)C' \right) \cap \rho^{-1}(p) \neq \emptyset.$$

We call the map Ψ *lifting data* for the \mathcal{C} lifting.

II.1.3 Remark. For any \mathcal{C} lifting there exists lifting data Ψ , but it may be difficult to determine the map explicitly. One would like to find the simplest lifting data which can be associated to a choice of liftings of the curves. An interesting problem, which we have not been able to solve is whether there exists a \mathcal{C} lifting so that the trivial map taking all pairs to the identity element is lifting data for this choice. We call a \mathcal{C} lifting with this property a *good lifting*.

To conclude this section, we now explain how the lifting data transforms under blowups and pullback coverings in the special case that all pairs of curves in $C \cup B$ intersect transversally. Let $\tilde{\sigma} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a blowup of Y at some point p in C . Let $\Psi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow G$ be lifting data for a \mathcal{C} lifting. We will show how to find lifting data for a choice of lifting for curves in the total transform \tilde{C} in the pull-back covering $\tilde{\rho} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$.

Since σ is an isomorphism outside p , \tilde{X} and X are isomorphic outside of the fibers above the exceptional set E_p and p . Therefore, there is a well-defined curve \tilde{C}' corresponding to C' above the total transform \tilde{C} of $C \in \mathcal{C}$.

By Proposition I.3.14 the stabilizer subgroup H_{E_p} is generated by

$$m_p(C)g_C,$$

where $C \subset B$ ranges over all curves passing through p . By assumption $m_p(C) = 1$ for all curves $C \subset B$ containing p . Therefore, $H_{E_p} = I_p$ by Proposition I.3.9. Therefore, there is one curve E'_p in \tilde{X} mapping to the point p' in X , where p' is the point in $\rho^{-1}(p)$ associated to the lifting data and E'_p intersects $\Psi(p, C)\tilde{C}'$. If p lies on only one curve C in $C \cup B$, let E'_p be any curve in \tilde{X} mapping to p' which intersects $\Psi(p, C)\tilde{C}'$.

Let \tilde{S} be the set of intersections on \tilde{C} and define

$$\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow G$$

so that $\tilde{\Psi}(q, \tilde{C})$ equals $\tilde{\Psi}(\sigma(q), C)$ for any $q \in \tilde{S} \cap C$ and $\tilde{\Psi}(q, E_p)$ equals the identity for all $q \in \tilde{S} \cap E_p$.

II.1.4 Proposition. The map $\tilde{\Psi}$ is lifting data for the \tilde{C} lifting.

Proof. Take any $q \in \tilde{S}$. If $\sigma(q) \neq p$ then the fibers $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}(q)$ and $\rho^{-1}(\sigma(q))$ are canonically isomorphic and the isomorphism commutes with the action of G so the result follows. If $\sigma(q) = p$, then $q \in E_p \cap \tilde{C}$ for at most one curve $C \subset C \cup B$, since, by assumption, all intersections of pairs of curves in $C \cup B$ are transversal. Since E'_p intersects $\Psi(p, C)\tilde{C}'$ in at least one point, we are done. \square

II.2 Intersection graphs.

Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be any abelian branched covering with branch locus B and let \mathcal{C} be a finite union of curves on Y . In this section we show how to find lifting data for \mathcal{C} using graphs.

II.2.1 Definition. Let S be the set of intersections on \mathcal{C} , and let Γ be a graph with points in S as vertices and edges labelled C connecting vertices in $S \cap C$. Suppose $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map sending vertices to their corresponding points in S and sending interiors of edges labelled C to paths on $C - (\overline{B - C})$. Suppose also that the subgraph Γ_C of Γ mapping to C under f is connected and nonempty. Then $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ is called an *intersection graph* for \mathcal{C} .

II.2.2 Definition. Given an intersection graph $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ for \mathcal{C} , a *lifting map* for f in X is a continuous map

$$f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$$

so that $\rho(f'(\gamma)) = f(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Note that given one lifting f' there are others given by $\sigma \circ f'$ where σ is any covering transformation.

II.2.3 Remark. If $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ has a lifting map $f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ so that $f'(\Gamma_C)$ is contained in a single curve $C' \subset \rho^{-1}(C)$ for all curves C in \mathcal{C} , then we have a good lifting as described in Remark II.1.3.

Our aim now is to show that given a lifting map $f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ for an intersection graph $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$, we can find lifting data by a local study.

II.2.4 Definition. Let $f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ be a lifting map for an intersection graph $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$ for \mathcal{C} . Let \mathcal{I} be the set of pairs of edges of Γ labelled by the same curve $C \subset \mathcal{C}$, meeting at a common vertex. Let

$$\psi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow G$$

be a map so that for each $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathcal{I}$, there is a curve $C' \subset \rho^{-1}(C)$ such that $\psi(e_1, e_2)f'(e_1)$ and $f'(e_2)$ lie on C' . We call ψ the *shifting data* for $f' : \Gamma \rightarrow Y$.

The similarity of the notation with the lifting data associated to a \mathcal{C} lifting will be explained in the next lemma. The problem of finding shifting data ψ is a local one in the following sense. If $(e_1, e_2) \in \mathcal{I}$ and e_1 and e_2 meet at a vertex corresponding to p , then $\psi(e_1, e_2)$ depends only on the combinatorics of the covering near the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$. Using the next lemma, we will show that the local information given by the shifting data leads to finding the global lifting data.

II.2.5 LEMMA. For each curve C in \mathcal{C} , let C' be a choice of lifting of C in X so that for some edge e_C labelled C in Γ , $f'(e_C)$ is contained in C' . For any two curves C and D in \mathcal{C} and $p \in C \cap D$, let

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k \\ f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l$$

be two sequences of edges labelled C and D , respectively, which are attached from end to end by common vertices, $e_C = e_1, e_D = f_1$, and the final endpoint of these strings of edges is a vertex associated to p . Define α_C and α_D in G by

$$\alpha_C = \psi(e_1, e_2)\psi(e_2, e_3) \dots \psi(e_{k-1}, e_k) \\ \alpha_D = \psi(f_1, f_2)\psi(f_2, f_3) \dots \psi(f_{l-1}, f_l).$$

Then $\alpha_C(C')$ and $\alpha_D(D')$ meet at a point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$.

II.2.6 Remark. It is easiest to visualize the curve $\alpha_C(C')$ as the curve obtained from C' by applying $\psi(e_1, e_2)$, then $\psi(e_2, e_3)$ successively in this order, although since G is abelian, the ordering doesn't matter.

Proof of Lemma II.2.5. Since $\psi(e_i, e_{i+1})f'(e_i)$ and $f'(e_{i+1})$ lie on the same irreducible component of $\rho^{-1}(C)$, for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$\alpha_C(f'(e_C)) = \psi(e_1, e_2) \dots \psi(e_{k-1}, e_k)(f'(e_C))$$

and $f'(e_k)$ lie on the same irreducible component $\alpha_C(C')$ of $\rho^{-1}(C)$. Similarly, $\alpha_D(f'(f_D))$ and $f'(f_l)$ both lie on $\alpha_D(D')$. Since, by definition, $f'(p) \in f'(f_l) \cap f'(e_k)$, $\alpha_C(C')$ and $\alpha_D(D')$ meet above p . (Note that the result is independent of the choice of sequences e_2, \dots, e_k and f_2, \dots, f_l .) \square

Thus, from a lifting $f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$ and shifting data $\psi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow G$, we can construct the lifting data for any C lifting satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma II.2.5 as follows. If C and D are two curves in \mathcal{C} meeting at a point p , we can always find sequences of edges on Γ_C and Γ_D as in Lemma II.2.5, since Γ_C and Γ_D are connected. Then all we need to do is let $\Psi(p, C) = \alpha_C$ and $\Psi(p, D) = \alpha_D$ be as defined in Lemma II.2.5.

II.3 Intersection formulas for covering surfaces.

Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth abelian branched covering of a smooth surface Y with branch locus B . Assume in addition that B is a finite union of smooth curves intersecting in normal crossings. (Recall the criterion for smoothness given in Proposition I.5.1.)

Let C be a finite union of smooth curves in Y so that the intersections in $C \cup B$ are normal crossings. Suppose that for each curve $C' \subset C$, C' is a lifting, with lifting data

$$\Psi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow G$$

as defined in Definition II.1.2. In this section we prove that, given such lifting data, we have the following intersection formulas.

II.3.1 PROPOSITION (INTERSECTION FORMULAS). If C is any curve in \mathcal{C} and α and β are in G , then

$$(*) \quad \alpha(C')\beta(C') = \frac{1}{|C|^2} |\alpha H_C \cap \beta H_C| C^2.$$

If C and D are distinct curves in \mathcal{C} and α and β are in G , then

$$(**) \quad \alpha(C')\beta(D') = \sum_{p \in C \cap D} \frac{1}{|C||D|} |\alpha\Psi(p, C)^{-1} H_C \cap \beta\Psi(p, D)^{-1} H_D|.$$

The proof requires a few lemmas. The first two concern intersections of curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ for a single curve C in \mathcal{C} .

II.3.2 LEMMA. For any curve C in \mathcal{C} the curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ are disjoint.

Proof. Take any p in C . Since $C \cup B$ contains only normal crossings, p lies in at most one curve $D \in B$ other than C and in this case $I_D \subset H_C$ by Proposition I.3.13. If there is such a curve D , then I_p is generated by the elements of I_C and I_D , otherwise just the elements of I_C . In either case, I_p is contained in H_C .

Now, suppose there are two curves C_1 and C_2 in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ intersecting at a point q in $\rho^{-1}(p)$. Let U be a neighborhood of p in Y so that each connected component of $\rho^{-1}(U)$ contains a distinct point in $\rho^{-1}(p)$ (see property (4) of branched coverings in Definition I.2.1). Let V_q be the connected component of $\rho^{-1}(U)$ containing q .

We will show C_1 and C_2 must be equal. For any point $p' \in C \cap U$, let $q_1 \in C_1$ and $q_2 \in C_2$ be points lying in $\rho^{-1}(p') \cap V_q$. Since G acts transitively, there is an automorphism $\alpha \in G$ so that $\alpha(q_1) = q_2$. Since α permutes the connected components of $\rho^{-1}(U)$ and q_1 and q_2 both lie in $V(q)$, it follows that $\alpha(V_q)$ equals V_q . The only point in $\rho^{-1}(p) \cap V_q$ is q , so $\alpha(q) = q$. But this implies that α is in I_p which is contained in H_C , so $\alpha(C_1) = C_1$ and $q_2 \in C_1$. This means that C_1 and C_2 intersect in $\rho^{-1}(p')$, but p' was chosen arbitrarily in U , so C_1 and C_2 intersect at all points in the open set $V_q \cap \rho^{-1}(C)$. Therefore, C_1 and C_2 must be the same curve. \square

II.3.3 LEMMA. If C' is any irreducible component of $\rho^{-1}(C)$, then the self intersection C'^2 equals

$$\frac{|H_C|}{|C|^2} C^2.$$

Proof. Consider C as a divisor on Y and let ρ^*C be its pullback. Then by the general theory of intersections and pullbacks, $(\rho^*C)^2$ equals $|G|C^2$ (see, for example, [Fu2], Example 1.7.6, pp. 20 - 21). Each component of ρ^*C counts with multiplicity $|G|$. Furthermore, no pair of distinct components of $\rho^{-1}(C)$ meet by Lemma II.3.2, so we have

$$|G|C^2 = |G|^2 \sum_{C' \subset \rho^{-1}(C)} C'^2.$$

The number of irreducible components in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ is the index of H_C in G . Since the covering is Galois, all the components have the same self intersection. Therefore,

$$|G|C^2 = |I_C|^2 \frac{|G|}{|H_C|} C^2,$$

for a given $C' \subset \rho^{-1}(C)$. Multiplying both sides of this equation by

$$\frac{|H_C|}{|I_C|^2|G|}$$

finishes the proof. \square

If α and β are in G and C' is a curve in $\rho^{-1}(C)$, then, by Lemma II.3.2, $\alpha(C') \cap \beta(C')$ is nonempty only when they are the same curve. This only happens when αH_C equals βH_C , or equivalently, when the intersection $\alpha H_C \cap \beta H_C$ is nonempty. Thus, by Lemma II.3.3

$$\alpha(C')\beta(C') = \frac{1}{|I_C|^2} |\alpha H_C \cap \beta H_C| C^2,$$

and we have proven (*).

Now assume that C and D are distinct curves in \mathcal{C} .

II.3.4 LEMMA. If C' and D' are curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ and $\rho^{-1}(D)$ intersecting at q , then

$$m_q(C', D') = 1,$$

i.e., the intersection is transversal.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition I.5.1, since the covering X is smooth, the covering map ρ near q looks like

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^r, y^t)$$

where r and t are integers greater than or equal to 0. The preimage of the branch locus is the union of $\{x = 0\}$, if $r > 0$ and $\{y = 0\}$, if $t > 0$ and the intersection, occurring if r and t are both positive, is transversal. \square

II.3.5 COROLLARY. If C' and D' are curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ and $\rho^{-1}(D)$, respectively, then C', D' equals the number of points at which C' and D' meet.

II.3.6 LEMMA. Two curves $\alpha(C')$ and $\beta(D')$ above C and D meet at a point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$ of a point $p \in C \cap D$ if and only if

$$\alpha\Psi(p, C)^{-1}H_C \cap \beta\Psi(p, D)^{-1}H_D$$

is nonempty.

Proof. We know from the definition of Ψ that the two curves $\Psi(p, C)(C')$ and $\Psi(p, D)(D')$ intersect in at least one point in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$.

For one direction, suppose γ is in the intersection

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha\Psi(p, C)^{-1}H_C \cap \beta\Psi(p, D)^{-1}H_D \\ \text{then } \gamma H_C \text{ equals } & \alpha\Psi(p, C)^{-1}H_C \text{ and } \gamma H_D \text{ equals } \beta\Psi(p, D)^{-1}H_D, \text{ so} \\ \alpha C' \cap \beta D' = & \alpha\Psi(p, C)^{-1}\Psi(p, C)C' \cap \beta\Psi(p, D)^{-1}\Psi(p, D)D' \\ = & \gamma\Psi(p, C)C' \cap \gamma\Psi(p, D)D' \\ = & \gamma(\Psi(p, C)C' \cap \Psi(p, D)D'). \end{aligned}$$

Since γ is an automorphism and Ψ is lifting data, $\alpha C'$ and $\beta D'$ must intersect in a point in $\rho^{-1}(p)$.

Conversely, suppose that q_1 is a point in $\alpha C' \cap \beta D'$ so that $\rho(q_1) = p$. Let q_2 be a point in $\Psi(p, C)C' \cap \Psi(p, D)D'$ lying over p and let $\gamma \in G$ be an element taking q_2 to q_1 . Then q_1 is in the intersection

$$\gamma\Psi(p, C)C' \cap \gamma\Psi(p, D)D'.$$

Therefore, $\gamma\Psi(p, C)C'$ and $\alpha C'$ intersect in q_1 , and hence $\gamma\Psi(p, C)H_C$ and αH_C are equal cosets. Thus γ is contained in $\alpha\Psi(p, C)^{-1}H_C$ and, similarly, γ is contained in $\beta\Psi(p, C)^{-1}H_D$. Therefore,

$$\alpha\Psi(p, C)^{-1}H_C \cap \beta\Psi(p, D)^{-1}H_D$$

is nonempty. \square

II.3.7 LEMMA. Let $p \in C \cap D$ and let C' and D' be two curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ and $\rho^{-1}(D)$, respectively, so that C' and D' meet at a point above p . Then the number of points where C' and D' meet in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$ equals

$$\frac{|H_C \cap H_D|}{|I_C||I_D|}.$$

Proof. By Proposition I.5.1, I_C and I_D intersect only in the identity element, so $|I_C I_D|$ equals $|I_C||I_D|$. Also, since C and D intersect transversally, by Proposition I.3.13, I_C and I_D are contained in $H_C \cap H_D$. Thus, it suffices to show that $(H_C \cap H_D)/I_C I_D$ acts transitively and freely on the set $S = \rho^{-1}(p) \cap C' \cap D'$.

Since the covering is regular, G acts transitively on $\rho^{-1}(p)$. If α is in $H_C \cap H_D$ then $\alpha(C') = C'$ and $\alpha(D') = D'$, so $\alpha(C' \cap D') = C' \cap D'$. Thus, $H_C \cap H_D$ acts on S .

We have to show that the action is transitive. We know that for any q_1 and q_2 in S there is an element α of G so that $\alpha(q_1) = q_2$. Since the distinct curves in $\rho^{-1}(C)$ are disjoint, $\alpha(C') = C'$ and $\alpha(D') = D'$ imply $\alpha \in H_D$. Therefore, $\alpha \in H_C \cap H_D$.

Finally, we need to show that the kernel of the action is $I_C I_D$. We know from Lemma I.3.3 that $I_C I_D$ equals I_p . Therefore, $I_C I_D$ is the subgroup of G fixing each point in $\rho^{-1}(p)$. \square

II.3.8 LEMMA. If αH_C and βH_D intersect, then the number of elements in their intersection is the same as the number in $H_C \cap H_D$.

Proof. Suppose γ is in $\alpha H_C \cap \beta H_D$. Then $\gamma H_C = \alpha H_C$ and $\gamma H_D = \beta H_D$, so $\gamma(H_C \cap H_D) = \alpha H_C \cap \beta H_D$. \square

Now to prove (**) in Proposition II.3.1, we need only put together the above lemmas. By definition of Ψ , we know that, for $p \in C \cap D$,

$$\Psi(p, C)(C') \cap \Psi(p, D)(D')$$

is nonempty. By Lemma II.3.7, the number of elements in the intersection is

$$\frac{1}{|J_C J_D|} |H_C \cap H_D|.$$

By Lemma II.3.4, the intersections number of distinct curves in $\rho^{-1}(C')$ are, for any $\alpha, \beta \in C$,

$$\alpha C' \cdot \beta D' = \sum_{p \in C \cap D} |\alpha C' \cap \beta D' \cap \rho^{-1}(p)|.$$

By Lemmas II.3.6, II.3.7 and II.3.8, we have

$$|\alpha C' \cap \beta D' \cap \rho^{-1}(p)| = \frac{1}{|J_C||J_D|} |\alpha \Psi(p, C)^{-1} H_C \cap \beta \Psi(p, D)^{-1} H_D|.$$

Summing over all $p \in C \cap D$ gives the formula (**).

CHAPTER III. HIRZEBRUCH COVERING SURFACES

In this chapter we apply the previous results specifically to Hirzebruch surfaces and describe techniques that lead to an effective algorithm for computing the first Betti number b_1 and lower bound for the Picard number p of Hirzebruch surfaces \hat{X} associated to configurations of real lines. The algorithm is given in Chapter IV.

We define Hirzebruch covering surfaces and give some properties, following [Hirz], in III.1. In particular, we describe the generators of the inertia and stabilizer subgroups for the branch locus of ρ and $\hat{\rho}$. This is a useful part of the algorithm and also, together with Proposition I.4.1, leads to an easy proof that \hat{X} is smooth.

In order to compute b_1 the main technical steps are the following.

- (1) Find a presentation for the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$.
- (2) Find lifting data for curves above the branch locus.

Using Fox calculus on the presentation for $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$ and applying Libgober's result, we can compute the first Betti number b_1^{irr} of the unbranched part of the covering. From the lifting data and generators for the stabilizer and inertia subgroups, we can find the intersection matrix I for the curves above the branch locus, using the formulas of Proposition II.3.1, and compute its nullity $\text{Null}(I)$. By Proposition I.5.3, b_1 equals $b_1^{\text{irr}} - \text{Null}(I)$.

To find a presentation for $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$, we use a technique used by Moishezon [Mo] and Libgober [Li2], [Li3]. The idea is to project $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}$ to a general line H and compute the monodromy of the associated fibration as do Van Kampen [V] and Chenot [C] and analyse the monodromy using braids. For configurations of real lines, the monodromy is easier to describe explicitly than in the general situation. We review this method in III.2 and give an explicit algorithm to find the presentation in III.3.

To find lifting data for the branch locus, we also study the local topology of real line configurations in \mathbb{P}^2 . In III.4, we show how to find an intersection graph together with shifting data (see II.2) for the line configuration. Lemma II.2.5 shows how to convert this to lifting data.

III.1 Hirzebruch covering surfaces.

The covering surfaces that we will deal with throughout the rest of this paper were defined in [Hirz]. Here is an alternative definition using the language developed in section II.

III.1.1 Definition. Let \mathcal{L} be a finite union of k lines in \mathbb{P}^2 and let $n \geq 2$ be an

$$\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

be the abelian branched covering determined by the defining map (see Definition

$$I.2.6) \quad \phi : H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let S be the points of intersection and let $T \subset S$ the points where at least three lines in \mathcal{L} intersect. Define a surface $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ and a birational morphism $\sigma : \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ depending on \mathcal{L} as follows.

- (1) If \mathcal{L} consists of two lines, let $\sigma : \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at the point of intersection.
- (2) If T is empty and $k > 2$, let $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ equal \mathbb{P}^2 and let σ be the identity map.
- (3) If T is nonempty, let $\sigma : \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at the points in T .

$$\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$$

Let $\widehat{\rho}$ be the pullback covering (see Definition I.1.7) of $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Then we call \widehat{X} the Hirzebruch covering associated to \mathcal{L} and n .

One particularly useful property of Hirzebruch coverings is the following.

III.1.2 LEMMA. ([Hirz], p. 122) *Hirzebruch coverings \widehat{X} are smooth.*

We give a proof in Remark III.1.6 using the language developed in Chapter I. In the process we show how to find the generators of the stabilizer and inertia subgroups of the branch locus of ρ and $\widehat{\rho}$.

Recall from Definition 1.3.4 that, if $\phi : H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the defining map of the covering, to each line L in \mathcal{L} there is a canonically associated element $\mu_L \in H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ which can be realized as a positively oriented meridional loop around L .

LEMMA III.1.3. *If \mathcal{L} is any finite union of k lines in \mathbb{P}^2 , then $H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by μ_L for all $L \subset \mathcal{L}$ and has the only relation*

$$\sum_{L \subset \mathcal{L}} \mu_L = 0.$$

Proof. The Lefschetz hyperplane theorem states that for a general hyperplane H in \mathbb{P}^2 the map

$$H_1(H - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is onto. By Proposition 1.3.5, the μ_L can be represented by loops on $H - \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, the μ_L generate $H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$, and satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{L \subset \mathcal{L}} \mu_L = 0.$$

We need to show that the above map is one-to-one. We can assume that \mathcal{L} contains at least two lines (since if not, both domain and range are simply-connected, so the map must be an isomorphism.) It suffices then to show that

any subset of the set of μ_L of order $k - 1$ has no relations among its elements. But the Van Kampen method [K] for computing $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$ (see Proposition III.3.3 for a more detailed explanation of this method) show that this group is generated by $k - 1$ of the base-pointed loops μ_L and has relations given by the monodromy action of a generic pencil. Since the action is by conjugation, the relations are trivial after abelianization. \square

For each line L in \mathcal{L} , let g_L be the image of μ_L under the map ϕ . Then the above proposition implies that the Galois group G is the abelian group generated by g_L , each having order n and the only relation among them is that the sum of the generators is 0.

As before, let S be the set of points where the lines in \mathcal{L} meet. Define

$$g_p = \sum_{p \in L \subset \mathcal{L}} g_L.$$

III.1.4 PROPOSITION. *The inertia subgroup I_L associated to the line L is generated by the g_L , and the stabilizer subgroup H_L is generated by g_L and g_p where p ranges over points in $S \cap L$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Propositions I.3.8 and I.3.13. \square

Let T be the set of triple and higher order intersections on \mathcal{L} and let $\sigma : \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowup at the points in T . Then the branch locus of $\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ is contained in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ (with equality except in the case where all lines pass through one point). The curves in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ are the proper transforms \widehat{L} of lines L in \mathcal{L} and the exceptional sets E_p associated to points $p \in T$.

III.1.5 PROPOSITION. *Let \widehat{L} be the proper transform of L . Then $I_{\widehat{L}}$ equals I_L and $H_{\widehat{L}}$ equals H_L . Let E_p be the exceptional set lying above $p \in T$. Then I_{E_p} is generated by g_p and H_{E_p} is generated by all g_L where $L \subset \mathcal{L}$ and $p \in L$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition I.3.14. \square

III.1.6 Remark. Propositions III.1.5 and I.4.1 lead to a proof of Lemma III.1.2. Assume there are at least 3 lines in \mathcal{L} . Since the branch locus $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ of the covering $\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$ is a union of smooth curves with normal crossings, we can apply Proposition I.4.1. Thus, we need only show that the inertia subgroups of two intersecting curves in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ intersect in the identity.

Let g_1, \dots, g_k be the generators of G corresponding to the lines L_1, \dots, L_k in \mathcal{L} . Take any two intersecting curves C and D in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. If C and D are the proper transforms \widehat{L}_1 and \widehat{L}_2 , then $I_C = (g_{L_1})$ and $I_D = (g_{L_2})$. These intersect in the identity in G , since there is at least one more generator in G . If one of C and D is an exceptional curve, say $C = E_p$, and D is the proper transform \widehat{L} , then

$$I_C = (\sum_{p \in L \subset \mathcal{L}} g_L)$$

and

$$I_D = (g_L).$$

In order for these to intersect nontrivially there must be a nontrivial relation among the g_L , where L' ranges over lines in \mathcal{L} passing through p . This can only happen if all the lines in \mathcal{L} pass through p , but in this case $I_E = 0$. The case for \mathcal{L} equal to two lines is the same as for the case where \mathcal{L} consists of several lines all passing through one point. \square

III.1.7 Proposition. Assume that not all lines in \mathcal{L} pass through a single point p . For each line L in \mathcal{L} , let r_L be the number of points in $S \cap L$. Then the number of curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(\widehat{L})$ equals

$$n^{k-r_L-1}.$$

For each point $p \in T$ let ℓ_p be the number of lines in \mathcal{L} passing through p . Then the number of curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(E_p)$ equals

$$n^{k-\ell_p-1}.$$

Thus, the total number of curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(\widehat{L})$ is a polynomial in n .

Proof. We know that G has order n^{k-1} .

In Proposition III.1.4, we saw that H_L is generated by g_L and g_p for all points $p \in S \cap L$. Since all lines in \mathbb{P}^2 intersect,

$$g_L + \sum_{p \in S \cap L} (g_p - g_L) = 0.$$

Since there is no other relation, H_L is generated freely by $g_p - g_L$ where p ranges over points in $S \cap L$. Since there are r_L of these, the order of the group H_L equals n^{r_L} . Therefore, the order of G/H_L equals n^{k-r_L-1} . By Proposition III.1.5, H_L equals H_L so the number of curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(\widehat{L})$ equals n^{k-r_L-1} .

In Proposition III.1.5, we saw that H_E is generated by g_L , where L ranges over lines in \mathcal{L} passing through p . Since not all lines in \mathcal{L} pass through p , these generators have no relations. Therefore, the order of H_E equals n^{ℓ_p} , and the number of curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(E_p)$ equals $n^{k-\ell_p-1}$. \square

III.2 Fibrations and monodromy.

In this section we consider a finite union of lines \mathcal{L} so that for some affine coordinates x, y , the intersection $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ of \mathcal{L} with \mathbb{C}^2 is a union of k lines defined by real equations in x, y and one line at infinity. (The constant k will be used in this and the next chapter as one less than the number of lines in \mathcal{L} .)

The choice of coordinates determines a projection $P_x : \mathbb{C}^2 - \overline{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ onto the x -axis. Let \overline{S} be the set of intersections of lines in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ and let $Q = P_x(\overline{S})$. If no fiber of P_x contains a line in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$, then for points $q \in \mathbb{C}$ not in Q the fiber $P_x^{-1}(q)$ is canonically isomorphic to a copy of \mathbb{C} minus k points. Therefore, P_x defines a fibration of $\mathbb{C}^2 - \overline{\mathcal{L}}$ over \mathbb{C} with singular fibers above points in $P_x(\overline{S})$. The aim of this section is to study the monodromy of this fibration around singular fibers.

III.2.1 Conditions on the coordinates x, y . Rotate the coordinates x, y if necessary so that the following hold.

P1. Each L_α in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by an equation of the form

$$y = m_\alpha x + b_\alpha,$$

where m_α and b_α are real.

P2. The projection P_x sends the set of all intersections \overline{S} on $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ to distinct (necessarily real) points Q in \mathbb{C} .

Note that the slopes m_α are not necessarily distinct.


III.2.2 Definition. Given coordinates x, y satisfying the conditions in III.2.1 order the lines in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ so that

$$m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_k.$$

Order the points p_1, \dots, p_j in \overline{S} so that if q_1, \dots, q_s are their images in Q , then

$$q_1 > q_2 > \dots > q_s.$$

III.2.3 Example.



III.2.4 The fibration P_x . Let x, y be coordinates satisfying the conditions in III.2.1 and order the lines in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ and points of intersection \overline{S} in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ as in Definition III.2.2. For any $q \in \mathbb{C} - Q$, the fiber $F_x = P_x^{-1}(q)$ equals a copy of \mathbb{C} , parameterized by y , minus k points T_q , where T_q is the set of $p \in \mathbb{C}$ so that (q, p) lies on \mathcal{L} . If $q \in \mathbb{R} - Q$, then the points t_1, \dots, t_k in T_q are real and can be ordered so that

$$t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_k.$$

III.2.5 Monodromy. Let $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be a point so that $q_0 > q$ for all $q \in Q$. The monodromy of the fibration is the image of the natural map

$$(*) \quad \pi_1(\mathbb{C} - Q, q_0) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F_{q_0})$$

where $\text{Mod}(F_{q_0})$ is the mapping class group, or group of isotopy classes of homeomorphisms of F_{q_0} to itself which fix everything outside of a large disk in F_{q_0} containing T_{q_0} .

There is a canonical homomorphism

$$(**) \quad \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \text{Mod}(F_{q_0}),$$

where \mathcal{B}_k is the braid group on k strands [Mo]. Let

$$\Sigma : \pi_1(\mathbb{C} - S, q_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k$$

be the map Σ which takes a loop $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} - S$ based at q_0 to the braid obtained by following $T_\gamma(q)$ as θ ranges between 0 and 1. Then the map $(*)$ is the composition of Σ and $(**)$.

III.2.6 Identification of fibers over real points. To explicitly find $\Sigma(\gamma)$ for paths $\gamma \in \pi_1(\mathbb{C} - Q, q_0)$, we use the fact that, whenever $q \in \mathbb{R}, T_q$ is a set of real points, and hence has a canonical local ordering from largest to smallest. Note that this is different from the ordering on T_q induced by the global ordering of the lines L_1, \dots, L_k . Thus, for all real points $q \in \mathbb{C}$ there is a homeomorphism of any fiber F_q to F_{q_0} , given by the local ordering, which is unique up to isotopy. Therefore, any path γ in $\mathbb{C} - Q$ with real endpoints defines an element in $\text{Mod}(F_{q_0})$. Explicitly, given any $q \in \mathbb{R} - Q$ there is a unique isotopy class of maps

$$[\phi_q] : F_q \rightarrow F_{q_0}$$

with the following properties:

- (1) the orderings of T_q and T_{q_0} are preserved;
- (2) for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a representative $\phi_q \in [\phi_q]$ so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_q - \{|\text{Im}(y)| < \epsilon\} & \xrightarrow{\phi_q} & F_\epsilon - \{|\text{Im}(y)| < \epsilon\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} - \{|\text{Im}(y)| < \epsilon\} & \xrightarrow{\text{identity}} & \mathbb{C} - \{|\text{Im}(y)| < \epsilon\}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the canonical identifications;


- (3) any homeomorphism from F_q to F_{q_0} which has properties (1) and (2) is in the isotopy class $[\phi_q]$.

Using these maps, one can define a map from the set P_a of paths on $\mathbb{C} - Q$ whose endpoints are real to \mathcal{B}_k ,

$$b : P_a \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k$$

so that, for any path γ , $b(\gamma)$ is the braid obtained by following the points of T_q where q ranges over the image of γ . Then if γ_1 and γ_2 are paths so that the endpoint of γ_1 is the initial point of γ_2 , then $b(\gamma_1\gamma_2) = b(\gamma_1)b(\gamma_2)$. Furthermore, the restriction of b to closed paths based at q_0 equals Σ .

III.2.7 Generators for $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} - Q, q_0)$. We construct generators as in the following diagram.



Assume without loss of generality that

$$|q_j - q_{j+1}| > 1$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, s-1$. Let $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s-1}$ be paths on $\mathbb{R} - Q$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 : [0, 1] &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} - Q \\ &\theta \mapsto q_0 + \theta(q_1 - q_0 + 1) \\ \tau_j : [0, 1] &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} - Q, \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, s \\ &\theta \mapsto (q_{j-1} - 1) + \theta(q_j - q_{j-1} + 2) \end{aligned}$$

Let γ_j^+ and γ_j^- be paths on $\mathbb{C} - Q$ defined by


$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j^+ : [0, 1] &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} - Q \\ &\theta \mapsto q_j + e^{\pi i \theta} \\ \gamma_j^- : [0, 1] &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} - Q \\ &\theta \mapsto q_j - e^{\pi i \theta} \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Note that the endpoints of all paths defined above lie in $\mathbb{R} - Q$. As can be seen by the previous diagram, the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} - Q, q_0)$ is generated by $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_s$, where each Γ_j is defined by

$$\Gamma_j = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{j-1} \tau_r \tau_r^+ \right) \tau_j \gamma_j^+ \gamma_j^- \tau_j^{-1} \left(\prod_{r=1}^{j-1} \tau_r \tau_r^+ \right)^{-1}.$$

III.2.8 Generators for \mathcal{B}_k . Recall that \mathcal{B}_k is generated by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1}$, where

each σ_i is the braid



and has relations


$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$$

for $|i - j| \geq 2$ and

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$$


for $i = 1, \dots, s - 2$.

Recall that F_{q_0} equals \mathbb{C} minus k ordered points lying on the real line. The braid σ_i corresponds to the element of $\text{Mod}(F_{q_0})$ which can be represented by a homeomorphism which rotates a disk D , containing only the i th and $i + 1$ st point and centered between them, by 180 degrees and fixes all points outside of a disk D containing D .




III.2.9 Generators for the Monodromy. To find generators for the image of Σ , we need only find $\Sigma(\Gamma_j)$ for $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_s$ as defined in III.2.7. To do this we find $b(\tau_j)$, $b(\gamma_j^+)$ and $b(\gamma_j^-)$.

For each j , $\tau_j(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all θ and $\tau_j(\theta)$ doesn't pass through any points in Q , the points in $T_{\tau_j(\theta)}$ are real and their ordering is preserved as θ varies from 0 to 1. The local picture over the image of τ_j looks schematically as follows. Note that the lines are not really parallel as in the picture, but they might as well be, since they don't meet each other over this interval.



This is because a set of k points moving continuously on the real line cannot get permuted without coming into contact. Therefore, $b(\tau_j)$ is trivial for all j .

Now look at fibers over a path γ_j^+ or γ_j^- for any $j = 1, \dots, s$. The real fibers of $P_x : \mathbb{R}^2 - \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over an interval containing q_j looks schematically like the following.



Consider the local ordering for the points t_1, \dots, t_k in T_q for q any real point to the right of q_j in this interval as in III.2.6. Let ℓ be the first index with respect to this local ordering so that L_ℓ passes through p_j . Translate coordinates so that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x} &= x - q_j \\ \bar{y} &= y - (b_\ell + m_\ell q_j) \end{aligned}$$

where b_ℓ is the y -intercept and m_ℓ is the slope of L_ℓ with respect to x and y .

After the change of coordinates, and with respect to the local ordering, the lines in \mathcal{L} are given by new equations

$$L_r : \bar{y} = m_r \bar{x} + c_r, \quad \text{for } r = 1, \dots, k,$$

where $c_r = (b_r - b_\ell) + (m_r - m_\ell)q_j$. For some $d \geq 2$

$$c_r = 0, \quad \text{for all } r = \ell, \dots, \ell + d - 1.$$


On $F_{\gamma_j^+(\theta)}$, we have

$$T_{\gamma_j^+(\theta)} = \{m_\ell e^{\pi i \theta} + b_1 + m_1 q_j, \dots, m_{\ell-1} e^{\pi i \theta} + b_{\ell-1} + m_{\ell-1} q_j, \\ m_\ell e^{\pi i \theta}, \dots, m_{\ell+d-1} e^{\pi i \theta}, \\ m_{\ell+d} e^{\pi i \theta} + b_{\ell+d} + m_{\ell+d} q_j, \dots, m_k e^{\pi i \theta} + b_k + m_k q_j\}.$$

Similarly, on $F_{\gamma_j^-(\theta)}$, we have


$$T_{\gamma_j^-(\theta)} = \{-m_1 e^{\pi i \theta} + b_1 + m_1 q_j, \dots, -m_{\ell-1} e^{\pi i \theta} + b_{\ell-1} + m_{\ell-1} q_j, \\ -m_\ell e^{\pi i \theta}, \dots, -m_{\ell+d-1} e^{\pi i \theta}, \\ -m_{\ell+d} e^{\pi i \theta} + b_{\ell+d} + m_{\ell+d} q_j, \dots, -m_k e^{\pi i \theta} + b_k + m_k q_j\}.$$

Thus, the element of $\text{Mod}(F_{q_0})$ corresponding to γ_j^+ and γ_j^- rotates a disk containing $\ell, \dots, \ell+d-1$ 180 degrees as in the following picture.



We will call this the local monodromy around the fiber above q_j .


III.2.10 Examples. The corresponding braid for $d = 2$ is the generator element σ_ℓ . The corresponding braid for $d = 3$ is



which equals

$$\sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1} \sigma_\ell.$$

For $d = 4$, the braid is



and equals

$$\sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1} \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+2} \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1} \sigma_\ell.$$

III.2.11 Definition. Let $\Sigma_{\ell,d}$ be the braid

$$\prod_{\alpha=d-1}^1 \left(\prod_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\ell+\beta-1} \right).$$

III.2.12 PROPOSITION. If, by the local ordering at $\gamma_j^+(0) = \gamma_j^-(0)$, the lines indexed by $\ell, \dots, \ell+d-1$ come together, then the braids $b(\gamma_j^+)$ and $b(\gamma_j^-)$ equal $\Sigma_{\ell,d}$.

Putting this local information together we have the following Proposition.

III.2.13 PROPOSITION. The image of the monodromy

$$\Sigma : \pi_1(\mathbb{C} - Q, q_0) \rightarrow B_k,$$

is generated by

$$\Sigma(\Gamma_j) = \left(\left(\prod_{r=1}^{j-1} \Sigma_{\ell_r, d_r} \right) \Sigma_{\ell_j, d_j}^2 \left(\prod_{r=1}^{j-1} \Sigma_{\ell_r, d_r} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

where $j = 1, \dots, s$.


III.2.14 Example. Take the configuration in Example III.2.3. The monodromy is generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Sigma_{2,3})^2, \\ & \Sigma_{2,3}(\Sigma_{1,2})^2 \Sigma_{2,3}^{-1}, \\ & \Sigma_{2,3} \Sigma_{1,2} (\Sigma_{2,2})^2 \Sigma_{1,2}^{-1} \Sigma_{2,3}^{-1}, \\ & \Sigma_{2,3} \Sigma_{1,2} \Sigma_{2,2} (\Sigma_{3,2})^2 \Sigma_{2,2}^{-1} \Sigma_{1,2}^{-1} \Sigma_{2,3}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

and has relations

$$\mu_i = \Sigma(\Gamma_j)^*(\mu_i).$$

To find $\Sigma(\Gamma_j)^*$ it suffices to find σ_r^* for each generator σ_r of B_k . From the picture



we see that

$$\sigma_r^*(\mu_i) = \begin{cases} \mu_{r+1} & \text{if } i = r \\ \mu_{r+1}\mu_r\mu_{r+1}^{-1} & \text{if } i = r + 1 \\ \mu_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{M}(\sigma_r) = \sigma_r^*$. \square

III.4 Lifting data for curves above the branch locus.

In this section, we use the methods described in section II.2 to define lifting data for curves in the branch locus $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\widehat{\rho}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$. Recall that this means that we define a map

$$\Psi: \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

from the set of pairs

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}} = \{(p, C) : p \in C, C \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}\}$$

to the Galois group $\mathcal{G} = H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ so that, for some choice of lifting C' for curves $C \subset \mathcal{L}$ and point $p' \in \rho^{-1}(p)$ for $p \in S$, we have $p' \in \Psi(p, C)C'$ for all $C \subset \mathcal{L}$ and $p \in C$.

We first study the covering $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and then extend our findings to the pullback covering $\widehat{\rho}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$.

III.4.1 More conditions on the coordinates x, y . Choose affine coordinates x, y satisfying the conditions P1 and P2 in III.2.1, and also assume the following.

P3. All intersections on \mathcal{L} lie on the affine plane.

This condition implies that none of the lines in \mathcal{L} is the line at infinity. Furthermore, the slopes m_1, \dots, m_k of the lines in \mathcal{L} can be strictly ordered

$$m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_k.$$

III.3 Fundamental group of the complement of real lines.

In this section, we apply the results of III.2 to find the fundamental group of the complement of a configuration \mathcal{L} of real lines in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Choose affine coordinates x, y in \mathbb{P}^2 , so that one of the lines in \mathcal{L} is the line at infinity and satisfying the conditions P1 and P2 of III.2.1. Let L_1, \dots, L_k (we assume, for ease of notation, as in III.2, that the original number of lines was $k+1$) and p_1, \dots, p_k be the (globally) ordered lines in $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ and points in \overline{S} as in III.2.2.

III.3.1 Definition. Define a map

$$\mathcal{M}: B_k \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_k),$$

where F_k is the free group on k generators μ_1, \dots, μ_k and $\text{Aut}(F_k)$ is its group of automorphisms, by

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma_i)(\mu_j) = \begin{cases} \mu_{j+1} & \text{if } i = j \\ \mu_{j+1}^{-1}\mu_j\mu_{j+1} & \text{if } i = j + 1 \\ \mu_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

III.3.2 PROPOSITION. The following is a presentation for $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$:


$$\langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k : R_{\alpha, \beta}, \alpha = 1, \dots, k; \beta = 1, \dots, s \rangle,$$

where the μ_1, \dots, μ_k correspond to positively oriented loops in $\mathbb{C}^0 - \overline{\mathcal{L}}$ around the lines L_1, \dots, L_k ,

$$R_{\alpha, \beta} = \mu_\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{M}(\Sigma_\beta)(\mu_\alpha)$$

and $\Sigma(\Gamma_\beta)$ is as described in Proposition III.2.13.

Proof. By a well-known result due to Zariski and van Kampen [K] (see also Cheniot's paper [C]), $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - \overline{\mathcal{L}}, p_0)$ (where p_0 is contained in F_{q_0}) is generated by loops μ_1, \dots, μ_k on the fiber F_{q_0} as given by the following picture




P4. All slopes are nonzero.

III.4.2 Intersection graph. Let Γ be the graph with vertices v corresponding to points of intersection S of \mathcal{L} , and with edges e labelled L given by the line segments lying between adjacent points of intersection on $L \cap \mathbb{R}^2$. Let

$$f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

be the natural inclusion. Note that this graph satisfies the conditions of Definition II.2.2.

III.4.3 Example.



Here the edges are labelled as follows:

- e_3 is labelled L_1
- e_2 " " L_2
- e_1 " " L_3
- e_4, e_5 are labelled L_4

III.4.4 A lifting for $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. We will use Proposition I.2.11 to show there is a lifting for $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.

Note that the set

$$A = \{(x_0 + i\theta, y_0) : (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2, 0 < \theta \leq 1\},$$

which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, 1]$, is contained in $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}$. This is because all lines in \mathcal{L} are given by equations of the form

$$y = mx + b$$

where $m \neq 0$ and $m, b \in \mathbb{R}$, so for $(x, y) \in A$ the imaginary part on the left side of the equation is 0 while on the right it is $m\theta > 0$.

Define

$$h : [0, 1] \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

by $h_\theta(\gamma) = f(\gamma) + (i\theta, 0)$. Then, for $\theta > 0$, $h_\theta(\gamma) \in A$, so

$$h((0, 1] \times \Gamma) \subset A.$$

Since A is contractible and is contained in $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}$,

$$h_* (\pi_1((0, 1] \times \Gamma))$$

is trivial. Therefore, by Proposition I.2.11, there is a lifting map

$$f' : \Gamma \rightarrow X$$


so that $\rho(f'(\gamma)) = f(\gamma)$.

III.4.5 Shifting data for the lifting. We now want to define a map

$$\psi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow G$$

from the set \mathcal{I} of pairs (e_1, e_2) labelled by the same line L and meeting at a common vertex v , to G , so that, if e_1 and e_2 are labelled L , $\psi(e_1, e_2)f'(e_1)$ and $f'(e_2)$ lie on the same curve in $\rho^{-1}(L)$.

We find ψ using the fibration and monodromy described in III.2. Suppose $f(e_1)$ and $f(e_2)$ lie on the line $L = L_j$ and $f(e_1)$ and $f(e_2)$ are joined at the point $p \in S$. Locally near p , $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathbb{R}^2$ looks like the following picture.



Let $P_x : \mathbb{C}^2 - \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the projection $P_x(x, y) = x$ as in III.2.4 and assume, by a suitable change of coordinates if necessary, that $P_x(p) = 0$ and $P_x^{-1}([-1, 1]) \cap S = \{p\}$.


Define

$$\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

so that $\gamma(\theta)$ equals the point $P_x^{-1}(e^{i\pi\theta}) \cap L$ and define

$$\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

so that $\tau(\theta)$ equals $(\sin(\pi\theta)i, 0) + P_x^{-1}(\cos(\pi\theta)) \cap L$. Note that $\tau(0) = \tau(0)$, $\tau(1) = \tau(1)$, the x coordinates of $\tau(\theta)$ and $\tau(\theta)$ are equal, $\tau(\theta) \subset L$ and $\tau(\theta) \subset A$ for all $0 < \theta < 1$.



Take the quotient covering $\bar{p} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, where \bar{X} is the surface obtained by modding X out by the action of I_{L_j} . Then the covering \bar{p} is defined by the map

$$\bar{p} : \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - (\mathcal{L} - L_j); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - (\mathcal{L} - L_j); \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

and the group of covering automorphisms of \bar{p} is the group

$$\bar{G} = \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - (\mathcal{L} - L_j); \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

or G/I_{L_j} .

III.4.6 LEMMA. Let $\psi(e_1, e_2)$ be any element of G so that the image of $\psi(e_1, e_2)$ in \bar{G} equals $\bar{\psi}(\tau\tau^{-1})$. Then $\psi(e_1, e_2)f'(e_1)$ and $f'(e_2)$ lie on the same curve in $\rho^{-1}(L_j)$.

Proof. We have a composition of coverings

$$X \xrightarrow{\rho_j} \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} \mathbb{P}^2,$$

where $\rho(x) = \bar{p}(\rho_j(x))$ for all $x \in X$ and I_j is the Galois group for ρ_j . Since I_{L_j} is the inertia subgroup for L_j in the composition covering ρ , \bar{p} is one to one over $\rho^{-1}(L_j)$. Therefore, if $\bar{\psi}(e_1, e_2)$ is any element of G/I_j so that

- (1) $\bar{\psi}(e_1, e_2)\rho_j(f'(e_1))$ and $\rho_j(f'(e_2))$ lie on the same curve in $\bar{p}^{-1}(L_j)$
- (2) $\bar{\psi}(e_1, e_2)$ is the image of $\psi(e_1, e_2)$ in \bar{G} ,

then $\psi(e_1, e_2)f'(e_1)$ and $f'(e_2)$ lie on the same curve in $\rho^{-1}(L_j)$. Thus, we need to show that $\bar{\psi}(\tau\tau^{-1})\rho_j(f'(e_1))$ and $\rho_j(f'(e_2))$ lie on the same curve in $\bar{p}^{-1}(L_j)$. The image of τ is contained in


$$h([0, 1] \times \Gamma),$$

so any lift of τ with initial point in $\rho_j(f'(e_1))$ has endpoint on $\rho_j(f'(e_2))$. On the other hand, since γ doesn't pass through any points in S_j , the image of γ is contained in L_j , so any lift of γ^{-1} with initial point on $\rho_j(f'(e_2))$ has endpoint on the same curve in $\rho^{-1}(L_j)$ as the one containing $\rho_j(f'(e_2))$.


Therefore, the action of $\bar{\psi}(\tau\tau^{-1})$ takes points on $\rho_j(f'(e_1))$ to points on the curve in $\bar{p}^{-1}(L_j)$ containing $\rho_j(f'(e_2))$. \square

We now have left to find $\bar{\psi}(\tau\tau^{-1})$. To do this we will look at the analysis in III.2.9 in more detail. Let t_1, \dots, t_k be the locally ordered points in T_1 with respect to the fiber $P_x^{-1}(1)$. We saw in III.2.9 that the local monodromy around the fiber over 0 fixes the locally ordered points numbered $1, \dots, \ell - 1$ and $\ell + d, \dots, k$, and rotates a disk containing the points numbered $\ell, \dots, \ell + d - 1$ counterclockwise by 180 degrees. This corresponds to the braid $\Sigma_{d,\ell}$ as given in Definition III.2.11.

It is important to notice where the center of rotation of this disk is in relation to the points $\ell, \dots, \ell + d - 1$. Let R be the first (global) index so that the line corresponding to t_R has positive slope and the line corresponding to t_{R+1} has negative slope. If R is between ℓ and $\ell + d - 1$ then the center of rotation of the disk occurs somewhere between R and $R + 1$. Thus the fibers $F_{P(\gamma^{-1}(\theta))}$ and $F_{P(\gamma^{-1}(\theta))}$ vary as in the following pictures. (Here τ and γ are drawn for the case that $\ell < j < R$.)




$\theta = 1/3$



$\theta = 2/3$

If R is not between ℓ and $\ell + d - 1$ then the center of rotation is either somewhere above ℓ (if $R < \ell$) or somewhere below $\ell + d - 1$ (if $R > \ell + d - 1$). For example,

if $R > \ell + d - 1$ we have the following picture.




Therefore,


$$\bar{\phi}(\tau\gamma^{-1}) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{r=j+1}^{\min(R, \ell+d-1)} \bar{g}_{L_r} & \text{if } j < R \\ -\sum_{r=\max(R+1, \ell)}^{j-1} \bar{g}_{L_r} & \text{if } j > R \end{cases}$$

where \bar{g}_L equals the image of g_L in \bar{C} .

III.4.7 Example. Assume $k = 3, R = 2$ and $j = 1$. Then the braid associated to the monodromy looks like this:



and if we draw in the paths γ and τ in bold face, we have the following picture.



It is easy to see that $\tau\gamma^{-1} = \mu_2^{-1}$, so $\bar{\phi}(\tau) = -\bar{g}_2$.

We can put together the local information to obtain the following global result.

III.4.8 PROPOSITION. For each $L \subset \mathcal{L}$, order the points $p_1, \dots, p_r \in S \cap L$ so that

$$P_x(p_1) > \dots > P_x(p_r).$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_{r-1} be the edges in Γ labelled L so that $P_x(e_i)$ is the interval between $P_x(p_i)$ and $P_x(p_{i+1})$. Let ϕ_i be any element of G mapping to $\phi(\tau\gamma^{-1})$ in G/L as defined above for e_{i-1} and e_i . For each $p_j \in S \cap L$, let

$$\psi_{p_j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \phi_1 \dots \phi_{j-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\Psi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow G$$

so that for each L and $p \in S \cap L$

$$\psi(p, L) = \psi_p.$$

Then there exists a lifting L' of L for each $L \subset \mathcal{L}$ so that Ψ is lifting data for L' .

Proof. Define L' to be the lift of L containing the edge $f'(e_1)$. The rest follows from II.2.6. \square

We are now ready to find lifting data for a $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ lifting in $\hat{\rho} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$.

III.4.9 PROPOSITION. For each proper transform $\hat{L} \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}$ of a line L in \mathcal{L} , let \hat{L}' be the curve in $\hat{\rho}^{-1}(\hat{L})$ corresponding to L' under the birational map $\hat{\sigma} : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$. For each point $p \in T$, let E'_p be the curve in $\rho^{-1}(E_p)$ mapping to $f'(p)$ under $\hat{\sigma}$. Let

$$\hat{\Psi} : \hat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow G$$

be defined by $\hat{\Psi}(q, \hat{L}) = \Psi(\sigma(q), L)$ for all lines L in \mathcal{L} and let $\hat{\Psi}(E_p)$ be the identity element. Then $\hat{\Psi}$ is lifting data for the liftings.

Proof. Since $f'(p)$ is in $\Psi(p, L)L'$ for all $p \in S$ and $p \in L \subset \mathcal{L}$, the result follows from Proposition II.1.4. \square

P1. Each L_α in $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by an equation of the form

$$y = m_\alpha x + b_\alpha,$$

where m_α and b_α are real.

P2. The projection P_x sends the set of all intersections S on $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathbb{C}^2$ to distinct (necessarily real) points Q in \mathbb{C} .

P3. All points in S lie on the affine plane.

P4. All slopes m_α are nonzero.

Add two more conditions.

P5. For some $j_0, p_j \in L_k$ for all $j \geq j_0$, and rotating the affine plane so that L_k becomes vertical doesn't change the ordering of the x -coordinates of points in $S - L_k$.

This property can always be achieved by changing coordinates if necessary so that the line $y = (m_k + \epsilon)x + b_k$ goes to infinity, where $\epsilon > 0$ is chosen small enough (this process would require changing the ordering of L_1, \dots, L_{k-1} and p_1, \dots, p_s).

P6. $P_x(p) > 0$ for all $p \in S$.

By shifting x by a constant x_0 greater than $|P_x(p_s)|$ we can make sure property P6 holds without changing the previous conditions.

As a consequence of these conditions, we have orderings L_1, \dots, L_k of the lines in \mathcal{L} so that the slopes are strictly decreasing:

$$m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_k,$$

and orderings p_1, \dots, p_s for points in the set of intersections S of \mathcal{L} so that

$$P_x(p_1) > P_x(p_2) > \dots > P_x(p_s).$$

Condition P6 implies that the y intercepts of the defining equations for \mathcal{L} satisfy

$$b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k.$$

We make the definition of a point/curve incidence correspondence for any collection of curves on a surface, since we will also use one for $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ in \mathbb{P}^2 in the algorithm. The definition also makes sense for curves lying on a quasi-projective surface, for example, \mathbb{P}^2 minus a line "at infinity."

IV.1.2 Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be a union of k curves on a quasi-projective surface Y with orderings C_1, \dots, C_k for the curves in \mathcal{C} and p_1, \dots, p_s for the points of intersection S on \mathcal{C} . The *point/curve incidence matrix* M for \mathcal{C} with these orderings is the s by k matrix with entries

$$a_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_i \in C_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

CHAPTER IV. ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING THE FIRST BETTI NUMBER

In this chapter we give an explicit algorithm for finding $b_1(\hat{X})$. The algorithm breaks up into three parts:

IV.1 INPUT. Create input for the algorithm. To do this we find a choice of coordinates satisfying certain criteria.

A. A point/line incidence matrix M for the line configuration \mathcal{L} with respect to a choice of coordinates satisfying certain criteria.

B. An integer n so that the surface \hat{X} is determined by the canonical map

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

C. The index R of the first line in \mathcal{L} whose slope is negative.

IV.2 STEP 1. Find the first Betti number b_1^u of the unbranched part X^u of $\rho: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ using the following substeps.

A. Make a point/line incidence matrix \bar{M} for lines $\mathcal{C}^2 - \bar{\mathcal{L}}$, where \mathcal{C}^2 denotes the affine plane given by $z \neq 0$ and $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is the intersection of \mathcal{L} with \mathcal{C}^2 .

B. Find a presentation for the fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}$.

C. Compute the Alexander matrix associated to the presentation.

D. Find b_1^u .

IV.3 STEP 2. Find the nullity $\text{Null}(I)$ of the intersection matrix for curves in $\hat{\rho}^{-1}(\hat{\mathcal{L}})$ using the following substeps.

A. Make a point/curve incidence matrix for curves in $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$.

B. Make a shift matrix for $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$.

C. Order the curves above $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ (using generators for the stabilizer subgroups).

D. Make an intersection matrix for curves above $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$.

E. Find the nullity of the intersection matrix.

By Proposition I.5.3, the difference $b_1^u - \text{Null}(I)$ equals $b_1(\hat{X})$.

IV.1 INPUT.


The format of the input is important for the later calculations.

IV.1.1 Conditions on coordinates x, y . Recall the conditions on x, y given in III.2 and III.4. Properties P1 and P2 are needed to implement the algorithm for finding b_1^u described in III.4 and P1, P2, P3 and P4 are needed to implement the algorithm for finding the lifting data as described in III.4.

In the special case where \mathcal{C} is a configuration of lines in \mathbb{P}^2 we will also call this the *point/line incidence matrix*.

Let M be the point/line incidence matrix defined by the orderings of \mathcal{L} and S determined by the coordinates x, y , as in Definition IV.1.2, let n be the order of the coefficient group, and let R be the last index so that L_R has positive slope with respect to the coordinate. The algorithm which we are about to describe takes as input M, n and R .

IV.1.3 Example. Recall the line configuration of III.2.3.



The point/line incidence matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The last index R so that L_R has positive slope is 2

IV.2 STEP 1: First Betti Number of Unbranched Part.

Summary. To find the fundamental group we first send one line to infinity. This involves a change of coordinates which we need to show still satisfy properties P1 and P2 of III.3.1, and we get a new point/line incidence matrix. We then apply the methods described in III.2 and III.3 to find a presentation for $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$. Applying Fox calculus, we obtain the Alexander matrix, a presentation matrix for $H_1(X^u, \mathbb{Z})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, where G is the Galois group of the covering.

A. Point/line incidence matrix for the affine part of $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}$.

We first show that a new point/line incidence matrix \bar{M} associated to a choice of coordinates where one line in \mathcal{L} is sent to infinity can be obtained as follows.

IV.2.1 LEMMA. The following change of coordinates leads to new coordinates satisfying the conditions of III.2.1.

C1. Rotate the affine plane so that the equation for the line L_4 becomes

$$x = 0;$$

C2. Apply the change of coordinates

$$[x : y : z] \mapsto [-z : y : x].$$

Let \bar{M} be the matrix obtained from M by chopping off the rows with a 1 in the last column (i.e. the rows $j = j_0, \dots, s$ as in property (P5) of the coordinates). Then \bar{M} is the point/line incidence matrix for $L \cap \mathbb{C}^2$ with respect to this ordering.

Proof. Clearly, the two changes of coordinates preserve $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$, so the new coordinates still give real equations for \mathcal{L} and thus satisfy P1.

To prove the rest of the lemma it suffices to show that the changes of coordinates preserve the ordering of the slopes of lines in \mathcal{L} and of the x -coordinates of points in S .

Note that the ordering of the slopes of lines in \mathcal{L} corresponds to the natural ordering (from largest to smallest) of the intersections of \mathcal{L} with a vertical real line $x = \alpha$, where α is greater than the x -coordinate of any point in S . Any rotation of the affine plane preserves the ordering of these intersections for all lines which don't become vertical during the rotation.

Since m_k is the smallest slope of any line in \mathcal{L} , the rotation of C1 preserves the orderings of the slopes of the lines L_1, \dots, L_k . By the same reasoning the ordering of the y -intercepts also do not change.

Hereafter for this part of the algorithm we will replace $k - 1$ by \bar{k} . Therefore, after C1 the new equations for $L_1, \dots, L_{\bar{k}}$ are

$$L_i : y = \bar{m}_i x + \bar{b}_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \bar{k},$$

where

$$\bar{m}_1 > \bar{m}_2 > \dots > \bar{m}_{\bar{k}}$$

and

$$(*) \quad \bar{b}_1 < \bar{b}_2 < \dots < \bar{b}_{\bar{k}}.$$

By property P5, the ordering of the x -coordinates of points in S is also preserved. Clearly, if we follow with C2, L_k goes to the line at infinity. The affine equations for the lines $L_1, \dots, L_{\bar{k}}$ become

$$L_i : y = -\bar{b}_i x + \bar{m}_i.$$

(The ordering of the y -intercepts reverses, but their ordering is not important for this part of the algorithm.) Thus, by (*), the ordering of the slopes remains the same. Furthermore, if x_1, \dots, x_s are the ordered x -coordinates for points in S under the coordinate system obtained after Step (1), the new x coordinates are

$$-\frac{1}{x_1}, -\frac{1}{x_2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{x_s},$$

so the ordering remains the same for points in S as well. \square

B. Presentation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$.

We now use \bar{M} to find a presentation for $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - \bar{\mathcal{L}})$. Let $\Sigma(\Gamma_j), j = 1, \dots, s$ be elements of \mathcal{B}_k as in Proposition III.2.13, let \mathcal{M} be the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{B}_k \rightarrow \text{Aut } F_{\bar{k}}$$

where F_k is the free group on generators $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\bar{k}}$ as in Definition III.3.1, and let

$$R_{i,j} = \mu_i^{-1} \mathcal{M}(\Gamma_j)(\mu_i)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, \bar{k}$ and $j = 1, \dots, j_0 - 1$. Then as we saw by Proposition III.3.2,

$$\langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\bar{k}} : R_{i,j}, i = 1, \dots, \bar{k}; j = 1, \dots, j_0 \rangle$$

is a presentation for the fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}$.

To compute $R_{i,j}$ explicitly we use the following definition. For ease of notation we make this definition for an arbitrary point/line incidence matrix M corresponding to an ordering of k affine real lines \mathcal{L} and intersection points S on \mathcal{L} , defined by coordinates x and y satisfying the conditions in Definition III.2.1.

IV.2.2 Definition. Define $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_s$ in B_k as follows.

- (1) Look at the first row of M . Let Σ_1 equal $\Sigma_{\ell,d}^2$, where ℓ is the first column of M containing a nonzero entry and d is the number of nonzero entries in M (they will be consecutive) and $\Sigma_{\ell,d}$ as in Definition III.2.11. Let $\bar{\Sigma}_1$ equal $\Sigma_{\ell,d}$.
- (2) Given the previous $\bar{\Sigma}_r$, let $\bar{\sigma}_r$ be the element of the symmetric group on \bar{k} elements in the image of $\bar{\Sigma}_r$ under the natural map

$$B_k \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\bar{k}}.$$

Define

$$\Sigma_r = \bar{\Sigma}_r(\Sigma_{\ell,d})^2 \bar{\Sigma}_r^{-1},$$

where ℓ equals $\bar{\sigma}_r$ applied to the first column containing a nonzero entry in the current row and d is the number of nonzero entries in this row.

IV.2.3 PROPOSITION. If we use the matrix \bar{M} , then the $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_s$ defined in Definition IV.2.2 generate the monodromy of the fibration $P_{\bar{k}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 - \bar{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition III.2.13. \square

Now we can find $R_{i,j} = \mu_j^{-1} \mathcal{M}(\mu_j)$ explicitly using Definition III.3.2.

C. Alexander Matrix.

We compute the Alexander matrix of the presentation using Fox Calculus (see [Fo1]), which we summarize here.

IV.2.4 Definition. Given a free group H generated by $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\bar{k}}$, Fox derivatives are maps $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{\bar{k}-1}}$ from H to the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\bar{H}]$ of the abelianization \bar{H} of H defined as follows.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}(fg) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}(f) + f \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}(g);$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}(f) = 0 \quad \text{if } f \text{ can be presented as a word not involving } \mu_i;$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_i}(\mu_i^n) = \begin{cases} 1 + \mu_i + \dots + \mu_i^{n-1}, & \text{if } n > 0 \\ -\mu_i^{-1} - \mu_i^{-2} - \dots - \mu_i^n, & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\phi : H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G$ be the defining map for the covering. Then ϕ induces a map

$$\bar{\phi} : H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G],$$

given by composing ϕ with the inclusion of G in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Recall that $H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ is canonically isomorphic to the abelianization of $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$.

Let $\langle \mu_1, \dots, \mu_k : R_1, \dots, R_N \rangle$ be a presentation for $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$. Let A be the matrix of Fox derivatives

$$\bar{\phi} \left(\frac{\partial R_i}{\partial \mu_j} \right).$$

The matrix A is called the *Alexander matrix* for the presentation.

The following proposition is a special case of Fox's result ([Fo2], (3.5), p. 411.)

IV.2.5 PROPOSITION. The Alexander matrix A is a presentation matrix for the first homology group $H_1(X^u, F; \mathbb{Z})$ considered as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, for F any fiber.

D. Computing b_1^u .

Let Ω^k be the set of k -tuples of n th roots of unity and, for each element $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{\bar{k}})$ in $\Omega_{\bar{k}}^n$, let

$$\tau_\omega : \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Omega^n]$$

be the \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism defined by

$$\tau_\omega(g_i) = \omega_i,$$

where $g_i = \phi(\mu_i)$. Let A_ω be the matrix obtained from A by replacing each entry $a_{i,j}$ by $\tau_\omega(a_{i,j})$. Let r_ω be the rank of A_ω .

The following result is a consequence of [Li4], p. 2, Theorem 1 (see also [Ho], §2).

IV.2.6 THEOREM. The first Betti number of X^u is given by

$$b_1^u = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega^n} \bar{k} - 1 - r_\omega$$

This completes **STEP 1** of the algorithm.

IV.3 STEP 2 : Intersection Matrix for Curves Above Branch Locus.

Summary. As we saw in Chapter II, finding an intersection for curves in \bar{X} above $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ requires lifting data, i.e., a way to choose curves C' in the covering, one above each curve C in $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$, together with the information of which group action makes two curves meet above a specified point. In Step 2, we make a point/curve incidence matrix \bar{M} for $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ and then replace each entry in \bar{M} by a group element, so that in the (p, C) entry we have the element $\Psi(p, C)$ with the property that

$$\Psi(p, C)C' \cap \Psi(p, D)D'$$

meet in the fiber $\rho^{-1}(p)$.

Using Propositions III.4.8 and III.4.9 we show how to define a map

$$\widehat{\Psi} : \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow G,$$

using M and R , so that for some choice of lifting of the curves in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in the covering $\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$, $\widehat{\Psi}$ gives lifting data.

From this information, we use the formula in II.3.1 to find the intersection matrix I of the curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$. Then, by Proposition I.5.3,

$$b_1 = b_1^u - \text{Null}(I).$$

A. Point/curve incidence matrix for $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.

We begin by ordering the curves in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and the points \widehat{S} of intersection on $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. The curves in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ are proper transforms $\widehat{L}_1, \dots, \widehat{L}_k$ of lines \mathcal{L} and exceptional curves E_q for points $q \in T$. Order the points q_1, \dots, q_r in T so that each $q_i = p_r$, where p_r is the i th point in the sequence p_1, \dots, p_s , through which more than two lines pass.

Order the curves in \mathcal{L} as follows:

$$\widehat{L}_1, \dots, \widehat{L}_k, E_{q_1}, \dots, E_{q_r}.$$

For each point $p_r \in S$ with only two lines L_{j_1} and L_{j_2} , passing through p_r , set $d_r = 1$. There is a single corresponding point \widehat{p}_r in $\widehat{L}_{j_1} \cap \widehat{L}_{j_2}$.

For each point $p_r \in S$ with $p_r = q_u$ for some q_u in T , there are d_r distinct points $\widehat{p}_{r,1}, \dots, \widehat{p}_{r,d_r}$ in $\widehat{\sigma}^{-1}(p_r) = E_{q_u}$, so that the proper transforms $\widehat{L}_{j_1}, \dots, \widehat{L}_{j_d}$, intersect E_{q_u} .

We thus have an ordering for the points in \widehat{S} :

$$\widehat{p}_{1,1}, \dots, \widehat{p}_{1,d_1}, \widehat{p}_{2,1}, \dots, \widehat{p}_{2,d_2}, \dots, \widehat{p}_{s,1}, \dots, \widehat{p}_{s,d_s}.$$

Define $\widehat{M}_1, \dots, \widehat{M}_s$ to be the matrices defined as follows.

- (1) If row r of M has only two columns j_1 and j_2 with entry equal to 1 then let \widehat{M}_r be the $1 \times (k+t)$ matrix with a 1 in the j_1 and j_2 columns and zero elsewhere.
- (2) If row r of M has columns j_1, \dots, j_d with entries equal to 1, with $d > 2$, then let \widehat{M}_r be the $d \times (k+t)$ matrix with a 1 in the ℓ, j_ℓ and $\ell, k+u$ place for $\ell = 1, \dots, d$, if r is the u th row of M containing more than two entries equal to 1.

Let \widehat{M} be the matrix obtained by concatenating these matrices.

The following proposition can be checked easily from the definitions.

IV.3.1 PROPOSITION. *The matrix \widehat{M} defined as above is the point/curve incidence matrix for the curves in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and the points of intersection \widehat{S} in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ ordered as above.*

B. Shift matrix for $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.

To find the shift matrix for $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ we begin by finding one for \mathcal{L} .

IV.3.2 Definition. Let $a_{i,j}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ be the entries of M . Define the shift matrix $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{L})$ with entries $b_{i,j}$ inductively on i as follows.

- (1) Row 1: $b_{1,j} = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$.
- (2) Row i :

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} b_{i-1,j} & \text{if } a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ or } j = R \text{ or } j = R+1; \\ b_{i-1,j} - \sum_{\alpha=j+1}^R g_\alpha a_{i,\alpha} & \text{if } a_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } j < R; \\ b_{i-1,j} - \sum_{\alpha=R+1}^{j-1} g_\alpha a_{i,\alpha} & \text{if } a_{i,j} = 1 \text{ and } j > R. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition III.4.8, there is a choice of lifting L'_j for each L_j in \mathcal{L} so that

$$\Psi : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow G \\ (p_i, L_j) \mapsto b_{i,j}$$

are lifting data.

Now we are ready to find the shift matrix for $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.

- IV.3.3 Definition.** For $r = 1, \dots, s$, let $\widehat{\text{Sh}}_r$ be the matrix defined as follows
- (1) If row r of M has only two columns j_1 and j_2 with entries equal to 1, then let $\widehat{\text{Sh}}_r$ be the $1 \times (k+t)$ matrix with entries b_{r,j_1} in the j_1 column, b_{r,j_2} in the j_2 column and zeros elsewhere.
 - (2) If row r of M has columns j_1, \dots, j_d with entries equal to 1 and $d > 2$, then let $\widehat{\text{Sh}}_r$ be the $d \times (k+t)$ matrix with entries $b_{r,\ell}$ in the ℓ, j_ℓ place for $\ell = 1, \dots, d$ and zeros elsewhere.

Let $\text{Sh}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$ be the matrix given by concatenating $\widehat{\text{Sh}}_1, \dots, \widehat{\text{Sh}}_s$. Since $\text{Sh}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$ has the same dimensions as \widehat{M} , there is a well-defined bijection from $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ to entries of $\text{Sh}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$, which we can think of as a correspondence between pairs (p, C) in $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ to integer pairs (i_p, j_C) , where i_p is the row corresponding to the point p and j_C is the column corresponding to the curve C in \widehat{M} .

By Proposition III.4.9, there is a choice of lifting C' for each curve C in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in the covering

$$\widehat{\rho} : \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$$

so that

$$\Psi : \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow G \\ (p, C) \mapsto b_{i_p, j_C}$$

is lifting data for the C' .

C. Ordering curves above $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.
 To find the intersection matrix for the curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$ explicitly, we need to be able to order the curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$ and find their intersection numbers.
 Recall that the curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(C)$ for any curve C in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ are in one to one correspondence with cosets of the stabilizer subgroup H_C associated to C . Thus our goal now is to find the stabilizer subgroups explicitly.

IV.3.4 PROPOSITION. Consider G as the quotient of the free abelian $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module A_k of rank k with basis g_1, \dots, g_k by the submodule generated by $g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_k$. For each curve $C \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ we have:

- (1) if $C = \widehat{L}_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, then H_C is the submodule of G generated by the relation-free elements

$$\sum_{p \in L_j} g_j$$

for all $p \in L_i \cap S$;
 (2) if $C = E_u$, for $u = 1, \dots, t$, then H_C is the submodule of G generated by the relation-free elements g_1, \dots, g_{j_t} , where L_{j_1}, \dots, L_{j_t} are the lines in \mathcal{L} passing through q_u .

Proof. This is a restatement of Propositions III.1.4 and III.1.5. \square

IV.3.5 COROLLARY. The number of curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(C)$ for $C \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is

- (1) n^{k-r-1} if $C = \widehat{L}_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, k$ and r is the number of entries in the j th column of M equal to 1;
- (2) n^{k-d-1} if $C = E_u$, for some $u = 1, \dots, t$ and, for i such that $p_i = q_u$, d is the number entries in the i th row of M equal to 1.

IV.3.6 COROLLARY. With notation as in IV.3.5, the quotient G/H_C for $C \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank

- (1) $R_C = k - r - 1$ if $C = \widehat{L}_j$,
- (2) $R_C = k - d - 1$ if $C = E_u$.

Furthermore, we can choose bases for these quotients as follows.

- (1) If $C = \widehat{L}_j$, G/H_C is freely generated by the images of elements of the form
 - $g_1, \dots, g_{i_2},$
 where L_{i_1} and L_{i_2} pass through a point $p \in L_j$ and i_2 is the largest index ($< j$) of a line in \mathcal{L} passing through p ;
- (2) If $C = E_u$, G/H_C is freely generated by the images of elements of the form
 - $g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_2},$
 where L_{i_1} and L_{i_2} don't pass through q_u and i_2 is the largest index of any line in \mathcal{L} not passing through q_u .

Proof. The first part of the corollary follows trivially from Corollary IV.3.5. To show that the elements described above generate the quotient modules, we first check that the ranks are correct. For case (2) this is obvious. For case (1) assume there are r points in $S \cap \mathcal{L}$ and there are a total of d_i lines through the i th point in $S \cap L_j$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. By definition we have $d_i - 2$ generators for each $p_i \in S \cap L_j$. Since all lines intersect in \mathbb{P}^2 ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 1) = k - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (d_i - 2) = k - r - 1.$$

Let \overline{G} be the quotient of G by the subgroup generated by the generators described above. Since the generators described are independent in G and H_C is clearly contained in the kernel of the map

$$G \rightarrow \overline{G},$$

\overline{G} must be isomorphic to G/H_C . \square

Now we order the curves in $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(C)$ by ordering the elements of G/H_C in lexicographic order with respect to the choice of basis given in Corollary IV.3.6.

D. Intersection matrix for curves above $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.

From Theorem III.2.1, to find the intersection number of curves lying above C and D we need to find the number of elements in

$$\alpha H_C \cap \beta H_D$$

explicitly for C and D in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in G$.

Let τ_C be the quotient map

$$\tau_C : G \rightarrow G/H_C$$

for each $C \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. The number of elements in $\alpha H_C \cap \beta H_D$ equals the number of simultaneous solutions to

$$\tau_C(g) = \overline{\alpha}, \quad \tau_D(g) = \overline{\beta},$$

where $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\beta}$ are the images of α and β in G/H_C and G/H_D .

It is easiest to find the number of simultaneous solutions by writing the maps τ_C in matrix form. Recall that G is isomorphic to the quotient of A_k by the submodule I generated by $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i$. For each $C \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ define the matrices T_C as follows

- (1) If $C = \widehat{L}_j$, for each row i in M with $d \geq 3$ column entries equal to 1, let j_1, \dots, j_{d-1} be the indices of these columns excluding j . Let $T_{C,i}$ be the $(d-2) \times k$ matrix with a -1 in all the $j_{\ell-1}$ column entries, a 1 in the ℓ, j_ℓ entries, and zeros elsewhere. Concatenate the $T_{C,i}$ in the order of increasing i to get T_C .
- (2) If $C = E_{p_r}$, where p_r corresponds to the i th row of M , let j_1, \dots, j_k be the columns with entries 0 in row i . Let T_C be the $(k-d-1) \times k$ matrix with entries -1 in the entire $j_{k-\ell}$ column, 1 in the ℓ, j_ℓ entries, and zeros elsewhere.

IV.3.7 PROPOSITION. For each C , T_C is a matrix which represents a surjective module homomorphism

$$T_C : A_k \rightarrow G/H_C$$

so that T_C is the composition of the quotient maps $A_k \rightarrow A_k/I = G$ and $G \rightarrow G/H_C$.

Proof. One observes that the rows of T_C correspond to the generators found in Corollary IV.3.6. \square

IV.3.8 Blocks of the intersection matrix. We construct the intersection matrix I in blocks $I_{C,D}$ corresponding to how the curves above C and curves above D intersect, for C and D in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Each curve in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ corresponds to a column of the point/curve incidence matrix \tilde{M} . Let c, d denote the two columns corresponding to the curves C and D . Let R_C and R_D be as in Corollary IV.3.5. Define $M_{c,d}$ to be the $n^{R_c} \times n^{R_d}$ matrix with entries as follows.

(1) If $c = d \leq k$, then $M_{c,d}$ has entries

$$\frac{1}{n^3}(\text{rank}(T_C))(1 - R_C)$$

on the diagonal and zeros elsewhere.

(2) If $c = d > k$, then $I_{C,D}$ has entries

$$\frac{1}{n^3}(\text{rank}(T_C))(-1)$$

on the diagonal and zero elsewhere.

(3) If $c \neq d$ and \tilde{M} has a row whose c and d columns don't both have entry 1, then $M_{c,d}$ is the zero matrix.

(4) If $c \neq d$ and \tilde{M} has a row whose c and d columns have entry 1, let $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ run through elements of G/H_C and G/H_D ordered lexicographically, and let $I_{C,D}$ be the matrix with entries

$$\frac{1}{n^3}(\text{number of solutions to } T_C X = \bar{\alpha}, T_D X = \bar{\beta})$$

in the $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ place.

The $I_{C,D}$ defined in IV.3.8 is the intersection matrix for curves in $\hat{\rho}^{-1}(C)$ and $\hat{\rho}^{-1}(D)$. After concatenation we get the intersection matrix I for all curves in $\hat{\rho}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$.

E. Computing the nullity of the matrix I .

We compute the nullity of I using basic integer row reduction. A problem with the algorithm is that the size of the matrix I grows as a polynomial in n , so it quickly becomes too large for a computer to handle.

Putting together the results of STEP 1 and STEP 2 gives the first Betti number of \hat{X} .

CHAPTER V. EXAMPLES

In this chapter we summarize the classification of Hirzebruch coverings, following [Hi], and calculate geometric invariants which can be obtained from the algorithms and formulas described in this paper. These are the Betti numbers, Chern numbers, bounds on the Picard number, irregularity, algebraic and geometric genera.

The types of surfaces which occur as Hirzebruch coverings are ruled, elliptic, K3 and general type. We review Hirzebruch's classification and properties in V.1. We also prove in this section, following Ishida's analysis in [I], that the branched coverings X are complete intersections when \mathcal{L} is a union of lines not all passing through a single point.

If the branch locus is a configuration of $k > 3$ lines in general position then the covering is simply connected and of general type (see V.2). There are specific kinds of line configurations which give rise to surfaces which are birationally equivalent to a product of curves (see V.3) or fibrations (see V.4). Examples of K3 surfaces and elliptic surfaces (see V.5) occur when we consider coverings with $n = 2$. The largest class of Hirzebruch coverings are general type (see V.6). In V.7 we give of a list of some computer output.

V.1 Classification of Hirzebruch coverings.

We give here properties of Hirzebruch coverings and formulas for the Chern numbers and other geometric invariants. The results are essentially contained in [Hi], but we use some different notation here to make our computer calculations simpler.

As we saw in Lemma III.1.2 Hirzebruch coverings are smooth. In most cases they are also minimal and one can speak of their Kodaira-Enriques classification.

V.1.1 THEOREM. ([Hi], p. 127) The Hirzebruch surface \hat{X} is a minimal surface except in the following cases:

- (1) T contains a single point p and all but at most 2 line in \mathcal{L} passes through p ;
- (2) T contains two points p and q , all lines in \mathcal{L} pass through p or q , and there is one line in \mathcal{L} containing both p and q .

For $n \geq 3$, the canonical dimension of \hat{X} is 2, that is, \hat{X} is a surface of general type. For $n = 2$ and $k = 6$, \hat{X} is a K3 surface, and for $n = 2$ and $k \geq 7$, \hat{X} has canonical dimension greater than or equal to 0, and it is elliptic or of general type.

We will deal with the exceptional cases in V.3 and V.4.

A useful aspect of Hirzebruch coverings is the ease with which their Chern numbers can be calculated.

Let t be the number of points in T and let s be the number of points in S . For each $p \in S$, let ℓ_p be the number of lines in \mathcal{L} passing through p and for each line $L \subset \mathcal{L}$, let r_L be the number of points in $S \cap L$.

V.1.2 THEOREM. ([Hi], pp. 123-125) If not all lines pass through a single point, then we have

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = \tilde{\rho}^* (\sigma^* K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \sum_{p \in T} (1 + \frac{n-1}{n}(1-\ell_p))E_p + \sum_{L \subset \mathcal{L}} \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^* L),$$

where E_p is the exceptional curve lying above the point p . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_{\tilde{X}}^2 \\ &= n^{k-1} \left((-3 + k \frac{n-1}{n})^2 - \sum_{p \in T} (1 + \frac{n-1}{n}(1-\ell_p))^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The second Chern number equals

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X}) \\ &= n^{k-1} (3-s - \sum_{L \subset \mathcal{L}} (2-r_L)) + n^{k-2} (\sum_{p \in T} (2-\ell_p) + \sum_{L \subset \mathcal{L}} (2-r_L)) \\ &\quad + n^{k-3} (s-t + \sum_{p \in T} \ell_p). \end{aligned}$$

If all lines pass through a single point p , then

$$K_{\tilde{X}} = \tilde{\rho}^* (\sigma^* K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + E_p + \sum_{L \subset \mathcal{L}} \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^* L)$$

and hence

$$c_1^2 = n^{k-1} \left[(-3 + \frac{n-1}{n}k)^2 - 1 \right].$$

The second Chern number equals

$$c_2 = 2(2-k)n^{k-1} + 2kn^{k-2}.$$

V.1.3 Remark. Noether's formula gives the Euler number of the structure sheaf

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2).$$

Thus, once one finds the first Betti number b_1 of \tilde{X} , we have the following additional invariants:

(1) From the Hodge decomposition of $H_1(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{C})$ and Poincaré duality

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= h^{1,0} + h^{0,1} \\ &= 2q, \end{aligned}$$

and we get the formula

$$q = \frac{1}{2}b_1$$

for the irregularity q of \tilde{X} ;

(2) From Poincaré duality we get all the Betti numbers:

$$b_0 = b_4 = 1$$

and

$$b_3 = b_1,$$

and, since $c_2 = \chi_{\text{top}}(\tilde{X}) = b_0 - b_1 + b_2 - b_3 + b_4$, we have

$$b_2 = c_2 - 2 + 2b_1;$$

(3) From the decomposition $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 1 - h^{1,0} + h^{2,0}$ we obtain the arithmetic genus

$$\begin{aligned} p_g &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and the geometric genus

$$\begin{aligned} p_g &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h^{2,0} \\ &= p_g + q; \end{aligned}$$

(4) From the Hodge decomposition of $H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{C})$ and Poincaré duality

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= h^{2,0} + h^{1,1} + h^{0,2} \\ &= 2p_g + h^{1,1}, \end{aligned}$$

and solving for $h^{1,1}$ we obtain

$$h^{1,1} = \frac{5}{6}c_2 - \frac{1}{6}c_1^2 + b_1;$$

(5) Hodge theory tells us that the Picard number p is bounded

$$p \leq h^{1,1}.$$

Another interesting property of Hirzebruch surfaces is that X , the covering before desingularization, is a complete intersection when the lines in \mathcal{L} don't all meet at a single point. The proof follows from the analysis of Ishida [I] which leads him to a different method for finding the first Betti number.

V.1.4 PROPOSITION. If \mathcal{L} is a configuration of $k \geq 3$ lines not all meeting at a single point and $n > 1$, then the branched covering X of \mathbb{P}^2 defined by the map

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

is a complete intersection. If no $k - 1$ of the k lines pass through a single point, then X is the complete intersection of smooth hypersurfaces.

Proof. Define $\ell: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ by

$$(W_1, W_2, W_3) = (\ell_1(W_1, W_2, W_3), \dots, \ell_k(W_1, W_2, W_3))$$

where W_1, W_2, W_3 are homogeneous coordinates for \mathbb{P}^2 and ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_k are homogeneous equations for the lines in \mathcal{L} . The map ℓ is induced by a linear map $m: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$. Since not all lines in \mathcal{L} pass through one point, this map has nonzero kernel, so ℓ defines an immersion $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$, i.e., ℓ is an isomorphism of varieties from \mathbb{P}^2 to $\ell(\mathbb{P}^2)$.

Consider the perpendicular space P to $m(\mathbb{C}^3)$. This is a $(k - 3)$ -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{C}^k . Let

$$(a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,k}) \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 3,$$

be a basis for P . Let h_1, \dots, h_{k-3} be linear homogeneous equations on \mathbb{P}^{k-1} defined by

$$h_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{i,j} Y_j \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 3,$$

where Y_1, \dots, Y_k are the homogeneous coordinates for \mathbb{P}^{k-1} . Then $\ell(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the complete intersection of the hyperplanes defined by the equations $h_i = 0$.

Let c_n be the morphism defined by

$$c_n: \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \\ [X_1 : \dots : X_k] \mapsto [X_1^n : \dots : X_k^n].$$

Then c_n is a branched covering branched along the coordinate axes

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^k \{Y_i = 0\}$$

defined by the natural map

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^{k-1} - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{Y_i = 0\}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^{k-1} - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{Y_i = 0\}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Let $X = c_n^{-1}(\ell(\mathbb{P}^2))$. Since ℓ induces an isomorphism on the fundamental groups of $\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{k-1} - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{Y_i = 0\}$, by Corollary I.4.4 the stabilizer subgroup of $\ell(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the whole Galois group. Therefore, X is irreducible. Since

c_n is a finite morphism, its restriction to X is a finite morphism. Furthermore, by Proposition I.4.3, its restriction to $\rho^{-1}(\ell(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}))$ is an unbranched covering defined by the natural map

$$\pi_1(\ell(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})) \rightarrow H_1(\ell(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}); \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Therefore, to show that X is the Hirzebruch surface associated to \mathcal{L} and n it suffices to show that X is normal. We will show this and the statement of the proposition by showing that X is a global complete intersection with singularities in codimension 2 (see [Ha] p. 188.)

Consider the equations

$$f_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h_i(X_1^n, \dots, X_k^n) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 3.$$

At least set theoretically, X is the intersection of these hypersurfaces. To show that X is normal and equal to the complete intersection, it suffices to show that the Jacobian matrix for the set

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_{k-3}\}$$

has rank $k - 3$ for all but a finite number of points in X .

The Jacobian matrix has entries $na_{i,j} X_i^{n-1}$. We claim that if p is a point in X so that not more than two of its coordinates are zero (i.e., a point p so that $c_n(p)$ lies in the image of at most two lines in \mathcal{L}) the Jacobian matrix at p has rank $k - 3$. Define M_{j_1, j_2} to be the matrix obtained from $[a_{i,j}]$ by setting the j_1 and j_2 columns equal to 0. Since $n \geq 2$, the matrix $[na_{i,j} X_i^{n-1}]$ at a point p lying on the image of $L_{j_1} \cup L_{j_2}$, but not on the image of any other lines in \mathcal{L} , has the same rank as M_{j_1, j_2} .

We need to show that M_{j_1, j_2} has rank $k - 3$. Suppose there was a linear relation among the rows of M_{j_1, j_2} . Then, since $[a_{i,j}]$ has full rank, this would imply that there is an element of P where only the j_1 and j_2 entries are nonzero, i.e., an element giving a linear relation between $m(\ell_1)$ and $m(\ell_2)$. This implies that ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are linearly dependent, which means that the lines L_1 and L_2 must be equal. Thus, M_{j_1, j_2} must have rank $k - 3$.

This shows that the scheme defined by the functions f_1, \dots, f_{k-3} is reduced and can only have singularities at points above the image of triple and higher intersections on \mathcal{L} . Therefore, it must be a normal complete intersection.

Suppose no $k - 1$ of the lines meet in a single point. If y_1, \dots, y_k are the coordinates for \mathbb{C}^k , P does not lie in any coordinate hyperplane $y_j = 0$. If it did, then this would imply that any triple of lines other than L_j are dependent vectors in the dual space \mathbb{C}^3 to \mathbb{C}^3 . That is any triple of lines not containing L_j intersect in a single point. This implies that all $k - 1$ lines in \mathcal{L} other than L_j intersect in a single point contradicting the hypothesis. Therefore, P has a basis

$$(a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,k}) \quad i = 1, \dots, k - 3,$$

where none of the $a_{i,j}$ are 0. In this case, it is easy to see that the hypersurfaces defined by f_1, \dots, f_{k-3} are smooth. \square

V.2. Lines in general position.

Assume \mathcal{L} contains only double points, i.e., T is empty. Then there is no need for blowups and pullbacks, and the Hirzebruch surface \hat{X} associated to \mathcal{L} and any positive integer n equals X . By Proposition III.2.6, we know that X is the complete intersection of smooth hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^{k-1} . Since X is smooth, these surfaces must be in general position. Thus, we can embed \mathbb{P}^{k-1} into \mathbb{P}^N for appropriate N so that \hat{X} is the complete intersection of the image of \mathbb{P}^k and hyperplanes in general position. The Lefschetz hyperplane theorem states that, for any variety Y of dimension greater than or equal to 3 and generic hyperplane H in the ambient projective space, the map

$$\pi_1(Y \cap H) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$$

induced by inclusion is an isomorphism. Therefore, since \mathbb{P}^{k-1} is simply connected, so is X .

Here is a proof of the following weaker statement, which illustrates the techniques of the general algorithm of this thesis.

V.2.1 PROPOSITION. *The first Betti number of X is 0.*

Proof. Zariski's conjecture on the fundamental group of the complement of nodal curves, proven by Deligne [D] and Fulton [Ful], implies that

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$$

is abelian. (In fact, the theorem asserts that the fundamental group is abelian for any union of curves with only normal crossings.)

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(X^u, *) & \xrightarrow{h} & H_1(X^u, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \rho_* \downarrow & & \rho_* \downarrow \\ \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}, *) & \xrightarrow{h} & H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps h are the Hurewicz homomorphisms taking loops to their homology classes.

Then, since $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$ is abelian and $\rho_* : \pi_1(X^u) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L})$ is injective, we have

$$\rho_*^u : H_1(X^u; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is injective. The cokernel of ρ_*^u is isomorphic to the cokernel of the map

$$\rho_*^u : \pi_1(X^u) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}),$$

and is isomorphic to G , a finite group. Therefore, the image of ρ_*^u has finite index in $H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, the rank of $H_1(X^u; \mathbb{Z})$ equals that of $H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ or $k - 1$. Therefore, $b_1^u = k - 1$. Now consider the intersection matrix for $\rho^{-1}(\hat{L})$ in X .

Any line $L \subset \mathcal{L}$ intersects all other lines in \mathcal{L} in distinct points, so $H_L = G$. Therefore, $L' = \rho^{-1}(L)$ is irreducible for all $L \subset \mathcal{L}$.

If L_1 and L_2 are two distinct lines in \mathcal{L} , then by Proposition II.3.1

$$\begin{aligned} L_1' L_2' &= \frac{1}{n^2} |H_{L_1} \cap H_{L_2}| \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} |G| \\ &= n^{k-3} \end{aligned}$$

If L is any line in \mathcal{L} , then

$$L' L' = \frac{1}{n^2} |G| = n^{k-3}.$$

Therefore, the intersection matrix for $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ equals n^{k-3} times the intersection matrix $I(\mathcal{L})$ for \mathcal{L} , and hence the rank and nullity of the matrices are the same and equal 1 and $k - 1$, respectively.

Putting this together with b_1^u , we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= b_1^u - \text{Null}(I(\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{L}))) \\ &= k - 1 - (k - 1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

The Chern numbers for X associated to lines in general position go as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^2 &= n^{k-1} \left(-3 + k \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)\right)^2 \\ c_2 &= n^{k-1} \left(3 - \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - k(3-k) + n^{k-2} k(3-k) + n^{k-3} \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

V.2.2 Example. If $k = 3$, then $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ and

$$\rho : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

is given by

$$\rho([X : Y : Z]) = [X^n : Y^n : Z^n]$$

for some choice of coordinates $[X : Y : Z]$.

By the above formulas we get the Chern numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^2 &= n^2 \left(-3 + 3 \frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \\ &= (-3n + 3(n-1))^2 \\ &= 9, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_2 = n^2(3-3) + 0 + 1(3) = 3$$

as expected.

V.3. Fibrations.

In this section we deal with exceptional case (1) of Theorem V.1.1. (See also [Hi], p.131.)

Before we begin, we do a calculation which will be useful in both this and the next section.

V.3.1 LEMMA. Let \mathcal{P} be k points in \mathbb{P}^1 and let C be the branched covering over \mathbb{P}^1 defined by

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - P) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - P; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then C has topological Euler characteristic

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(C) = (2 - k)n^{k-1} + kn^{k-2}$$

and genus


$$g(C) = \frac{1}{2}((k - 2)n^{k-1} - kn^{k-2} + 2).$$

Proof. It suffices to show the formula for χ_{top} , since $g(C) = \frac{1}{2}(2 - \chi_{\text{top}})$. Let $C^u = \rho^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 - P)$. By a general property of unbranched coverings, since n^{k-1} is the degree of the covering and $2 - k$ is the topological Euler characteristic of $\mathbb{P}^1 - P$, we have

$$\chi_{\text{top}}(C^u) = n^{k-1}(2 - k).$$

The completion C is obtained by adding n^{k-2} points above each of the k points in P . The claim follows. \square

Case (1) in Theorem V.1.1 generalizes to the case where T contains only one point p , as in the following diagram (note that not all intersections are drawn here.)



As usual let $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ be the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at p . There is a natural \mathbb{P}^1 fibration $f: \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \rightarrow E_p$ given by projecting along the proper transforms of lines through p . Let ℓ_p be the number of lines through p .

Consider the composition of maps $\widehat{X} \xrightarrow{\rho} \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \xrightarrow{f} E_p$. By Stein factorization, there is a curve C so that this composition factors as $\widehat{X} \xrightarrow{f'} C \rightarrow E_p$, where $\widehat{X} \rightarrow C$ has connected fibers and $C \rightarrow E_p$ is a finite surjective morphism (i.e., a branched covering.) It follows that \widehat{X} can be described as a fibration over the curve C .

To find C explicitly, look at the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C' & \xrightarrow{i'} & \widehat{X} & \xrightarrow{f'} & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\rho} & & \downarrow \\ E_p & \xrightarrow{i} & \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & E_p \end{array}$$

where C' is a connected component of $\widehat{\rho}^{-1}(E_p)$ and the maps $i: E_p \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ and $i': C' \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ are inclusions. Note that C' is irreducible by Lemma II.3.2. Since $f \circ i: E_p \rightarrow E_p$ is an isomorphism and $f' \circ i': C' \rightarrow C$ is a one-to-one and onto map preserving fiber, C' and C are isomorphic and C is the branched covering of \mathbb{P}^1 branched along ℓ_p points.

The general fibers of this fibration are branched along $k - \ell_p + 1$ points. From the above discussion, Proposition I.4.3 and Lemma V.3.1, we have the following proposition.

V.3.2 PROPOSITION. The surface \widehat{X} is a fibration over the curve C with genus

$$g(C) = \frac{1}{2}((\ell_p - 2)n^{\ell_p - 1} - \ell_p n^{\ell_p - 2} + 2)$$

and with fibers F of genus


$$g(F) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}((k - \ell_p - 1)n^{k - \ell_p} - (k - \ell_p + 1)n^{k - \ell_p - 1} + 2) & \text{if } \ell_p \leq k - 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

When $\ell_p = k, k - 1, \widehat{X}$ is a ruled surface for all $n \geq 2$. When $\ell_p = k - 2, \widehat{X}$ is ruled surface for $n = 2$, an elliptic surface for $n = 3$ and a surface of general type for $n \geq 3$. When $\ell_p \leq k - 3, \widehat{X}$ is of general type for all $n \geq 2$.

In this case, if $n = 2$ one gets a ruled surface, if $n = 3$ one gets an elliptic surface and for $n > 3$ one gets a surface of general type. For ℓ_p not equal to $k, k - 1$ or $k - 2$, and $n \geq 2, \widehat{X}$ is of general type.

V.3.3 Remark. The special fibers of the fibration depend on the positions of the double points.

Consider, for example, the following configurations drawn on the "real part" of \mathbb{P}^2 .




Assume, say, that $n = 2$. The coverings corresponding to these configurations are naturally fibrations over \mathbb{P}^1 with general fibers of genus 5. For the left configuration, all special fibers have genus 1, but for the right configuration, there are special fibers lying over the dotted line with genus 0.

V.4 Coverings birational to the product of two curves.

We now deal with exceptional case (2) of Theorem V.1.3. Let p and q be the two points in T and let ℓ_p and ℓ_q be the number of lines through p and q . Then $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ is obtained from \mathbb{P}^2 by blowing up the points p and q . As is well known, if one blows down the proper transform of the line $N \subset \widehat{L}$ passing through p and q one obtains $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}^2 \leftarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

where the arrows are birational morphisms. The preimage \widehat{L} of L in $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^2$ equals the union of the proper transforms of the lines in \mathcal{L} and two exceptional divisors E_p and E_q . The image of \widehat{L} in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ equals $\ell_p + 1$ lines in one ruling and $\ell_q + 1$ lines in the other ruling. The maps are isomorphisms on the complement \mathcal{U} of these sets.



Note that in the above diagram, the notation for curves and their proper transforms are the same, as no confusion should arise.

Let Z be the completion over $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of the unbranched covering of \mathcal{U} given by restricting ρ . Then Z is birationally equivalent to \widehat{X} and agrees over \mathcal{U} . We will show that Z is a product of curves, which can be given as branched coverings of the components of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Let L_1, \dots, L_{r_p} (respectively, M_1, \dots, M_{r_p}) be the lines in \mathcal{L} , other than N , going through p (respectively q). Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p$ be the meridional loops around L_1, \dots, L_{r_p} , let μ_1, \dots, μ_q be the loops around M_1, \dots, M_{r_p} and let τ be the loop around N .

Recall that $G = H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 - \mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q, \tau$$

and they have the relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r_p} \gamma_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r_q} \mu_i + \tau = 0.$$

Thus, $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p$ and μ_1, \dots, μ_q generate subgroups G_p and G_q , with the property that $G = G_p \times G_q$.

Now, \mathcal{U} is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\tau_p + 1 \text{ points}\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\tau_q + 1 \text{ points}\}$$

and the defining map $\pi_1(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow G$ can be seen as the product of the maps

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\tau_p + 1 \text{ points}\}) \rightarrow G_p$$

and

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{\tau_q + 1 \text{ points}\}) \rightarrow G_q.$$

We thus have proved the following. (See also [Hi], p. 131.)

V.4.1 Proposition. *Suppose T contains two points p and q , all lines in \mathcal{L} pass through p or q and there is a line in \mathcal{L} passing through both p and q . Then \widehat{X} is birationally equivalent to the product of curves C and D , where*

$$g(C) = \frac{1}{2}((\tau_p - 1)n^{r_p} - (\tau_p + 1)n^{r_p - 1} + 2)$$

and

$$g(D) = \frac{1}{2}((\tau_q - 1)n^{r_q} - (\tau_q + 1)n^{r_q - 1} + 2)$$

In particular, if $r_p = 2$ (or $r_q = 2$ and $n = 2$ then \widehat{X} is ruled, if $n = 3$ then \widehat{X} is elliptic and if $n > 3$ then \widehat{X} is of general type. If $r_p = 3$ or $r_q = 3$, then \widehat{X} is elliptic for $n = 2$, general type for $n \geq 3$. If both r_p and r_q are greater than or equal to r , then \widehat{X} is of general type.

V.5 K3 surfaces and elliptic surfaces.

Assume \mathcal{L} does not fall under one of the exceptional cases of Theorem V.1.1. Recall the equation for $K_{\widehat{X}}$ from Theorem V.1.2. Replacing n by 2, we have, for H a general line on \mathbb{P}^2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\widehat{X}} &= \widetilde{\rho}^*(\sigma^*K_{\mathbb{P}^2} + \sum_{p \in T} \frac{1}{2}(3 - \ell_p)E_p + \sum_{L \subset \mathcal{L}} \frac{1}{2}\sigma^*L) \\ &= \widetilde{\rho}^*(-3\sigma^*H + \sum_{p \in T} \frac{1}{2}(3 - \ell_p)E_p + \frac{k}{2}\sigma^*H) \\ &= \widetilde{\rho}^*((-3 + \frac{k}{2})\sigma^*H + \sum_{p \in T} \frac{1}{2}(3 - \ell_p)E_p). \end{aligned}$$

Here equality means linear equivalence as divisors.

Thus, if $k = 6$ and \mathcal{L} has no quadruple or higher order points, then the canonical divisor $K_{\widehat{X}}$ is trivial and \widehat{X} is a K3 surface. If $k \geq 7$ then $K_{\widehat{X}}$ is effective (one does not subtract off more E_p 's than one adds with the σ^*H 's.)

From Theorem V.1.2, the formula for c_1^2 when $n = 2$ is

$$c_1^2 = 2^{k-1}((-3 + \frac{k}{2})^2 - \sum_{p \in T} (3 - \ell_p)^2).$$


Thus, for example, if $k = 7$, then \widehat{X} is an elliptic surface if and only if \mathcal{L} has one quadruple point and the rest are double or triple points.

V.6 Calculations of invariants.

We end with a list of output from computer aided calculations implementing the algorithm described in this paper. The invariants which we focus on are the Betti numbers b_1, b_2 , the Chern numbers c_1^2, c_2 and bounds on the Picard number given by the rank of the intersection matrix for curves above the branch locus and the Hodge number $h^{1,1}$. (Note that given c_2 and b_1 , one can calculate b_2 directly.)

Although surfaces with Kodaira dimension less than two have been studied in detail and their Betti numbers as well as Chern numbers are understood. This is not true for surfaces of general type. We have seen that most of the examples arising as Hirzebruch coverings are of general type. For example, if $n \geq 3, k \geq 7$ and T has at least three points, this is the case.

According to the Miyaoka-Yau inequality, we have $c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$ for minimal surfaces of general type, with equality occurring when the surface is uniformized by the complex ball. An example of a Hirzebruch covering surface whose Chern numbers satisfy the equality, with branch locus defined by real equations, occurs when we have the following configuration, with $n = 5$.



The above configuration is also interesting because when $n = 2$ one gets a K3 surface with Picard number equal to 20, which equals $h^{1,1}$. When $n = 3$ one gets a surface of general type for which the Picard number is also equal to $h^{1,1}$.

In the following we list computer calculations for configurations of 6 and 7 lines as well as two more examples. The existence of the first (a configuration with 9 lines) can be proven using Pappus' Theorem. The second, is the set of lines through 5 points in general position.

CONFIGURATIONS OF 6 LINES

1		$n = 2$: K3, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 22, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 24, 1 \leq p \leq 20$ $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 403, c_1^2 = 243, c_2 = 405,$ $1 \leq p \leq 297$ $n = 5$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 9373, c_1^2 = 10125, c_2 = 9375,$ $1 \leq p \leq 6125$
2		$n = 2$: K3, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 22, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 24, 5 \leq p \leq 20$ $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 326, c_1^2 = 216, c_2 = 324,$ $10 \leq p \leq 236$ $n = 5$: general, $b_1 = 12, b_2 = 7522, c_1^2 = 9000, c_2 = 7500,$ $26 \leq p \leq 4762$
3		$n = 2$: ruled*, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 10, c_1^2 = -8, c_2 = 8, 3 \leq p \leq 10$ $n = 3$: elliptic, $b_1 = 20, b_2 = 148, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 108,$ $4 \leq p \leq 110$ $n = 5$: general, $b_1 = 172, b_2 = 3802, c_1^2 = 4000, c_2 = 3500,$ $6 \leq p \leq 2402$
4		$n = 2$: ruled, $b_1 = 10, b_2 = 2, c_1^2 = -32, c_2 = -16,$ $2 \leq p \leq 2$ $n = 3$: ruled, $b_1 = 110, b_2 = 2, c_1^2 = -432, c_2 = -216,$ $2 \leq p \leq 2$
5		$n = 2$: ruled, $b_1 = 34, b_2 = 2, c_1^2 = -32, c_2 = -64,$ $2 \leq p \leq 6$ $n = 3$: ruled, $b_1 = 488, b_2 = 2, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = -972,$ $2 \leq p \leq 128$
6		$n = 2$: K3*, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 10, c_1^2 = -8, c_2 = 8, 10 \leq p \leq 10$ $n = 3$: elliptic*, $b_1 = 22, b_2 = 69, c_1^2 = -27, c_2 = 27,$ $29 \leq p \leq 49$

* not a minimal surface



- $n = 2$: K3, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 22, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 24, 9 \leq p \leq 20$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 4, b_2 = 249, c_1^2 = 189, c_2 = 243,$
 $19 \leq p \leq 175$
 $n = 5$: general, $b_1 = 24, b_2 = 5671, c_1^2 = 7875, c_2 = 5625,$
 $51 \leq p \leq 3399$



- $n = 2$: K3, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 22, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 24, 10 \leq p \leq 20$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 4, b_2 = 249, c_1^2 = 189, c_2 = 243,$
 $23 \leq p \leq 175$
 $n = 5$: general, $b_1 = 24, b_2 = 5671, c_1^2 = 7875, c_2 = 5625,$
 $67 \leq p \leq 3399$



- $n = 2$: K3, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 22, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 24, 16 \leq p \leq 20$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 6, b_2 = 172, c_1^2 = 162, c_2 = 162,$
 $40 \leq p \leq 114$
 $n = 5$: general, $b_1 = 36, b_2 = 3820, c_1^2 = 6750, c_2 = 3750,$
 $124 \leq p \leq 2036$



- $n = 2$: K3, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 22, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 24, 20 \leq p \leq 20$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 10, b_2 = 99, c_1^2 = 135, c_2 = 81,$
 $55 \leq p \leq 55$
 $n = 5$: general, $b_1 = 60, b_2 = 1993, c_1^2 = 5625, c_2 = 1875,$
 $185 \leq p \leq 685$

CONFIGURATIONS OF 7 LINES

- $n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $1 \leq p \leq 64$

- $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 2185, c_1^2 = 2025, c_2 = 2187,$
 $1 \leq p \leq 1485$

- $n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $9 \leq p \leq 64$

- $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 1946, c_1^2 = 1944, c_2 = 1944,$
 $28 \leq p \leq 1298$

- $n = 2$: elliptic, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 50, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 48,$
 $5 \leq p \leq 42$

- $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 20, b_2 = 1334, c_1^2 = 1296, c_2 = 1296,$
 $10 \leq p \leq 884$

- $n = 2$: ruled*, $b_1 = 10, b_2 = 18, c_1^2 = -48, c_2 = 0,$
 $3 \leq p \leq 18$

- $n = 3$: elliptic, $b_1 = 110, b_2 = 542, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 324,$
 $4 \leq p \leq 380$

- $n = 2$: ruled, $b_1 = 34, b_2 = 2, c_1^2 = -128, c_2 = -64,$
 $2 \leq p \leq 2$

- $n = 3$: ruled, $b_1 = 488, b_2 = 2, c_1^2 = -1944, c_2 = -972,$
 $2 \leq p \leq 2$

- $n = 2$: ruled, $b_1 = 98, b_2 = 2, c_1^2 = -48, c_2 = -192,$
 $2 \leq p \leq 18$

*not a minimal surface

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $17 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 4, b_2 = 1707, c_1^2 = 1863, c_2 = 1701,$
 $55 \leq p \leq 1111$



14

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $28 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 6, b_2 = 1468, c_1^2 = 1782, c_2 = 1458,$
 $94 \leq p \leq 924$



$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $18 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 4, b_2 = 1707, c_1^2 = 1863, c_2 = 1701,$
 $59 \leq p \leq 1111$



15

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $29 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 6, b_2 = 1468, c_1^2 = 1782, c_2 = 1458,$
 $102 \leq p \leq 924$



$n = 2$: elliptic, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 50, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 48,$
 $13 \leq p \leq 42$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 22, b_2 = 1095, c_1^2 = 1215, c_2 = 1053,$
 $37 \leq p \leq 697$



16

$n = 2$: elliptic, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 50, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 48,$
 $28 \leq p \leq 42$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 24, b_2 = 856, c_1^2 = 1134, c_2 = 810,$
 $100 \leq p \leq 510$



$n = 2$: elliptic, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 50, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 48,$
 $16 \leq p \leq 42$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 22, b_2 = 1095, c_1^2 = 1215, c_2 = 1053,$
 $53 \leq p \leq 697$



17

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 62, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 64,$
 $38 \leq p \leq 50$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 8, b_2 = 905, c_1^2 = 1701, c_2 = 891,$
 $129 \leq p \leq 467$



$n = 2$: ruled*, $b_1 = 10, b_2 = 18, c_1^2 = -48, c_2 = 0,$
 $18 \leq p \leq 18$
 $n = 3$: elliptic*, $b_1 = 112, b_2 = 303, c_1^2 = -81, c_2 = 81,$
 $83 \leq p \leq 193$



18

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $36 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 10, b_2 = 1233, c_1^2 = 1701, c_2 = 1215,$
 $127 \leq p \leq 739$



$n = 2$: elliptic*, $b_1 = 4, b_2 = 22, c_1^2 = -16, c_2 = 16,$
 $18 \leq p \leq 20$
 $n = 3$: general*, $b_1 = 40, b_2 = 483, c_1^2 = 567, c_2 = 405,$
 $83 \leq p \leq 283$



19

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $40 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 8, b_2 = 1229, c_1^2 = 1701, c_2 = 1215,$
 $141 \leq p \leq 737$



$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $27 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 6, b_2 = 1468, c_1^2 = 1782, c_2 = 1458,$
 $90 \leq p \leq 924$



20

$n = 2$: elliptic, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 50, c_1^2 = 0, c_2 = 48,$
 $38 \leq p \leq 42$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 28, b_2 = 621, c_1^2 = 1053, c_2 = 567,$
 $145 \leq p \leq 325$



ERIKO HIRONAKA



21

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $51 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 10, b_2 = 990, c_1^2 = 1620, c_2 = 972,$
 $180 \leq p \leq 550$



22


$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 78, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $50 \leq p \leq 64$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 12, b_2 = 994, c_1^2 = 1620, c_2 = 972,$
 $186 \leq p \leq 552$



23

$n = 2$: general, $b_1 = 2, b_2 = 82, c_1^2 = 16, c_2 = 80,$
 $62 \leq p \leq 66$
 $n = 3$: general, $b_1 = 18, b_2 = 763, c_1^2 = 1539, c_2 = 729,$
 $237 \leq p \leq 369$

INPUT
DATA DETERMINING THE SURFACE:
 Real line configuration in \mathbb{P}^2 .



Order of cyclic group: 2.
 Index of center of rotation: 4.


Point line incidence correspondence:

	L1	L2	L3	L4	L5	L6	L7	L8	L9
p_1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
p_2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
p_3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
p_4	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
p_5	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
p_6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
p_7	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
p_8	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
p_9	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
p_{10}	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
p_{11}	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
p_{12}	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
p_{13}	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
p_{14}	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
p_{15}	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
p_{16}	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
p_{17}	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
p_{18}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

OUTPUT

First Betti Number of Unbranched Part: $b_1^u = 38$.
 First Betti Number: $b_1 = 0$.
 Second Betti Number: $b_2 = 766$.
 Chern Numbers: $c_1^2 = 576$, $c_2 = 768$.
 Bounds on the Picard number: $\text{rank} = 322 \leq p \leq h_{11} = 544$.
 Euler number of $O_{\tilde{X}}$: $\chi(O_{\tilde{X}}) = 112$.
 Irregularity: $q = 0$.
 Arithmetic genus: $p_a = 111$.
 Geometric genus: $p_g = 111$.

INPUT
DATA DETERMINING THE SURFACE:
 Real line configuration in \mathbb{P}^2 :



Order of cyclic group: 2.
 Index of center of rotation: 7.

o denotes a point where 4 lines come together.

Point line incidence correspondence:

	L_1	L_2	L_3	L_4	L_5	L_6	L_7	L_8	L_9	L_{10}
p_1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
p_2	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
p_3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
p_4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
p_5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
p_6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
p_7	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
p_8	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
p_9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
p_{10}	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
p_{11}	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
p_{12}	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
p_{13}	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
p_{14}	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
p_{15}	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
p_{16}	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
p_{17}	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
p_{18}	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
p_{19}	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
p_{20}	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1

OUTPUT

First Betti Number of Unbranched Part: $b_1^u = 94$.

First Betti Number: $b_1 = 10$.

Second Betti Number: $b_2 = 914$.

Chern Numbers: $c_1^2 = 1408$, $c_2 = 896$.

Bounds on the Picard number: $236 \leq \rho \leq 522$.

Euler number of $O_{\tilde{X}}$: $\chi(O_{\tilde{X}}) = 192$.

Irregularity: $q = 5$.

Arithmetic genus: $p_a = 191$.

Geometric genus: $p_g = 196$.

REFERENCES

- [A]. S.S. Abhyankar, *Tame coverings and fundamental groups of algebraic varieties*, Amer. J. of Math. **81** (1959), 46-94.
- [BPV]. W. Barth, C. Peters, A. Van de Ven, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [BHH]. G. Barthel, F. Hirzebruch, T. Höfer, *Geraden-konfigurationen un Algebraische Flächen*, Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1986.
- [Br]. E. Brieskorn, H. Knörrer, *Plane Algebraic Curves*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Boston, 1986.
- [C]. D. Chemtob, *Une démonstration du théorème de Zariski sur les sections hyperplanes d'une hypersurface projective et du théorème de Van Kampen sur le groupe fondamental du complémentaire d'une courbe projective plane*, Comp. Math. **27** (1973), 141-158.
- [D]. P. Deligne, *Le groupe fondamental du complément d'une courbe plane n'ayant que des points double ordinaires est abélien*, Séminaire Bourbaki 32e année no. 543 (1979).
- [En]. F. Enriques, *Sulla costruzione delle funzioni algebriche di due variabili possedenti una data curva di amplexione*, Ann. Mat. pura. appl. IV. **5** (1923), 419.
- [Es]. H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Revêtements cycliques*, Proceedings of the CIME conference at Varenna, Threefelds (1981).
- [Fo1]. R. Fox, *Free Differential Calculus I*, Annals of Math. **57** (1953), 547-560.
- [Fo2]. ———, *Free Differential Calculus III*, Annals of Math. **64** (1956), 407-419.
- [Fo3]. ———, *Covering spaces with singularities*, Algebraic Geometry and Topology, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1957, pp. 243-257.
- [Fu1]. W. Fulton, *On the fundamental group of the complement of a node curve*, Annals of Math. **111** (1980), 407-409.
- [Fu2]. ———, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [GR]. H. Grauert, R. Remmert, *Komplexe Räume*, Math. Ann. **136** (1958).
- [Gr1]. A. Grothendieck, *Technique de descente et théorème d'existence en géométrie algébrique, I : Généralités, Descente par morphismes fidèlement plats*, Séminaire Bourbaki, t. 12 no. 190 (1959-1960).
- [Gr2]. ———, *Revêtement étale et groupe fondamental*, SGA, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [Ha]. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

- [Hirz]. F. Hirzebruch, *Arrangements of lines and algebraic surfaces*, Arithmetic and Geometry, Vol. II, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
- [I]. M.-N. Ishida, *The irregularities of Hirzebruch's examples of surfaces of general type with $c_1^2 = 3c_2$* , Math. Ann. **262** (1983), 407-420.
- [K]. E.R. van Kampen, *On the fundamental group of an algebraic plane curve*, Amer. J. Math. **55** (1933).
- [La]. H. Laufer, *Normal Two-dimensional Singularities*, Annals of Math. Studies, vol. 71, Princeton U. Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [Li1]. A. Libgober, *Alexander polynomials of plane algebraic curves and cyclic multiple planes*, Duke Math. Jour. **49** (1982), 833-851.
- [Li2]. ———, *On the homotopy type of the complement to plane algebraic curves*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **397** (1986), 103-114.
- [Li3]. ———, *Fundamental groups of the complements to plane singular curves*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **46** (1987), 29-45.
- [Li4]. ———, *The homology of finite abelian covers*, preprint (1989).
- [Lip]. J. Lipman, *Introduction to resolution of singularities*, Algebraic Geometry: Arcata 1974, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 29, American Math. Society, Providence, RI, 1975.
- [Ma]. W. Massey, *Algebraic Topology: An Introduction*, Harcourt, Brace & World, New York, 1967.
- [Mi]. J. Milnor, *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Annals of Math. Studies No. 61, Princeton Univ. Press and the Univ. of Tokyo Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [Mo]. B. Moishezon, *Stable branch curves and braid monodromies*, Lecture Notes in Math **862** (1981), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 107-192.
- [Mu]. D. Mumford, *Algebraic Geometry I: Complex Projective Varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [My]. Y. Miyaoka, *On the Chern numbers of surfaces of general type*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 225-237.
- [Na]. M. Namba, *Branched Coverings and Algebraic Functions*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 161, Longman Group UK Ltd., Essex, 1987.
- [R]. D. Rolfen, *Knots and Links*, Mathematical Lectures Series, Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, 1976.
- [Se]. J.-P. Serre, *Revêtements ramifiés du plan projectif*, Séminaire Bourbaki no. 204 (1960).
- [Y]. S.T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a complex Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Comment. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339-441.
- [Za1]. O. Zariski, *On the linear connection index of cyclic multiple planes*, Ann. of Math. II. s. **32** (1931).
- [Za2]. ———, *On the irregularity of cyclic multiple planes*, Ann. of Math. **32** (1932), 455-456.

- [Za3]. ———, *On the purity of the branch locus*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **44** (1958), 791-796.
- [Za4]. ———, *Algebraic surfaces, second suppl. ed.*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [Zu]. K. Zuo, *Kummer-Überlagerungen algebraischer Flächen*, Thesis, Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelm-Universität (1989), Bonn.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305
E-mail address: eko@math.stanford.edu