# Study Homework Questions 1 Numerical Optimization Fall 2023

#### Problem 1.1

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a subspace with dimension k and basis  $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^k$  so that

$$\forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad s = \phi_1 \gamma_1 + \ldots + \phi_k \gamma_k$$

for a unique  $c \in \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $e_i^T c = \gamma_i$ .

Recall that the solution to the subspace approximation problem given by

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad h = h_{\mathcal{S}} + h_{\mathcal{S}^{\perp}}$$

$$h_{\mathcal{S}} = \phi_1 \gamma_1^* + \dots + \phi_k \gamma_k^* = \underset{s \in \mathcal{S}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|h - s\|^2$$

$$c^* = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1^* \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_k^* \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k, \ g = \begin{pmatrix} \langle h, \phi_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle h, \phi_k \rangle \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

$$Gc^* = g$$

where  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  is the Gram matrix defined by the basis vector  $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_k\}$ .

- (1.1.a) Show that the Gram matrix G is nonsingular.
- (1.1.b) Under what circumstances can  $c^* = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ?
- (1.1.c) Suppose you want to find  $h_{S^{\perp}}$  where S has dimension k but  $\mathcal{H}$  is infinite dimensional. Write the optimization problem that determines  $h_{S^{\perp}}$  using explicit functional constraints and explain how you would compute the answer.

## Problem 1.2

(Luenberger, Optimization by Vector Space Methods, 1969, pp. 66-67.)

The angular velocity,  $\omega(t)$ , and angular position,  $\theta(t)$ , of a DC motor shaft driven by a current source u(t) are governed by the differential equations

$$\dot{\omega}(t) = -\omega(t) + u(t), \quad \omega(0) = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{\theta}(t) = \omega(t), \quad \theta(0) = 0 \tag{2}$$

where the initial condition  $[\omega(0), \theta(0)] = [0, 0]$  is an at rest position at 0 angle.

Assume  $u, \ \omega, \ \theta \in \mathcal{L}^2[0, 1]$  with

$$\langle z,q \rangle = \int_0^1 z(\tau)q(\tau)d\tau, \quad ||z||^2 = \int_0^1 z^2(\tau)d\tau$$

The optimal control problem is to determine the current profile control action with minimum energy  $||u||^2$  so that the system moves from its initial resting state  $[\omega(0), \theta(0)] = [0, 0]$  to final resting state  $[\omega(1), \theta(1)] = [0, 1]$  in one second.

Recall, that the solution of the initial value problem

$$f(t) = -\alpha f(t) + g(t), \quad f(0) = \phi$$

is

$$f(t) = \phi e^{-\alpha t} + \int_0^t e^{\alpha(\tau-t)} g(\tau) d\tau.$$

- (1.2.a) Find expressions for  $\omega(1)$  and  $\theta(1)$  in terms of u(t).
- (1.2.b) Show that the optimal control problem can be expressed as

$$\min_{u \in \mathcal{L}^2[0,1]} \quad \|u\|^2$$

subject to 
$$\langle y_1, u \rangle = \gamma_1$$
 and  $\langle y_2, u \rangle = \gamma_2$ 

for some functions  $y_1(t)$ ,  $y_2(t) \in \mathcal{L}^2[0,1]$  and constants  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(1.2.c) Find the optimal control u(t) using Hilbert space techniques discussed in the notes.

### Problem 1.3

Recall the "chord" definition of a convex function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ 

**Definition 1.3.1.**  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto f(x)$  is convex on [a, b] if  $\forall x_0, x_1 \in [a, b]$ 

$$f(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_0) \le \ell(\theta; x_0, x_1)$$

$$\ell(\theta; x_0, x_1) = \theta f(x_1) + (1 - \theta) f(x_0), \quad 0 \le \theta \le 1$$

$$\ell(x; x_0, x_1) = f(x_0) + \theta(f(x_1) - f(x_0)) = f(x_0) + \theta \Delta x f[x_0, x_1]$$
  
=  $f(x_0) + (x - x_0) f[x_0, x_1]$ 

$$0 \le \theta \le 1, \ \Delta x = x_1 - x_0, \ x = x_0 + \phi \Delta x, \ f[x_0, x_1] = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0}$$

Prove the following theorem:

#### Theorem 1.3.1.

1. If  $f \in C^1$  then the tangent condition

$$f(x) \ge t(x, x_0) = f(x_0) + (x - x_0)f'(x_0), \quad \forall x, x_0 \in [a, b]$$

is equivalent to the chord condition given in Definition 1.3.1.

2. If  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1$  and convex then

$$0 \le (x - y)(f'(x) - f'(y)), \quad \forall x, y \in [a, b]$$

3. If  $f \in C^2$  then the condition that  $f''(x) \ge 0 \ \forall x \in [a, b]$  is equivalent to the tangent condition above and the chord condition given in Definition 1.3.1.

#### Problem 1.4

Consider the minimization problem

 $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$ 

where  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - x^T b$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric positive definite, and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(1.4.a) Show that  $\forall 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ 

$$\beta f(x) \geq f(\beta x)$$

(1.4.b) Show that f(x) is a convex function.

## Problem 1.5

Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix and  $f(x) = 0.5x^T A x - x^T b$  with  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $b \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ . Show that Steepest Descent will converge to an unconstrained minimizer of f(x) for any  $x_0$  such that  $Ax_0 \neq 0$ .

Hint: Find a smaller, symmetric positive definite linear system and use the fact that steepest descent converges on a symmetric positive definite system.

#### Problem 1.6

(Problem 17 on page 259 of Luenberger and Ye 3rd Ed.)

Suppose the method of Steepest Descent is used to minimize

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x^*)^T A(x - x^*)$$

and the stepsize  $\alpha_k$  is not determined to minimize  $f(x_k + \alpha r_k)$  as a function of the scalar  $\alpha$  but instead only satisfies

$$\frac{E(x_k) - E(x_{k+1})}{E(x_k)} \ge \beta \frac{E(x_k) - \bar{E}}{E(x_k)}$$

where

$$f(x_k) = E(x_k) = 0.5 ||x_k - x_*||_A^2$$

for some  $0 < \beta < 1$ , where  $\overline{E}$  is the value that corresponds to the best  $\alpha_k$ , i.e., the usual minimizer. Find the best estimate for the convergence rate of the algorithm.

### Problem 1.7

(Problem 21 on page 260 of Luenberger and Ye 3rd Ed.)

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with elements  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$ . Consider the cost function

$$f(x) = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_1\xi_2 - 3\xi_1.$$

- (1.7.a) Find an unconstrained local minimizer.
- (1.7.b) What is the rate of convergence for Steepest Descent applied to the unconstrained problem?
- (1.7.c) Is the local minimizer also a global minimizer?
- (1.7.d) Suppose the constraints  $\xi_1 \ge 0$  and  $\xi_2 \ge 0$  are added. Can a minimizer still be determined? If so, what is it?

#### Problem 1.8

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric nonsingular matrix, and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector. The matrix  $M = C^2$  is therefore symmetric positive definite. Also, let  $\tilde{A} = C^{-1}AC^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{b} = C^{-1}b$ .

The preconditioned Steepest Descent algorithm to solve Ax = b is:

A, M are symmetric positive definite  $x_0$  arbitrary;  $r_0 = b - Ax_0$ ; solve  $Mz_0 = r_0$ 

do  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$  until convergence

$$w_k = Az_k$$
  

$$\alpha_k = \frac{z_k^T r_k}{z_k^T w_k}$$
  

$$x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_k + z_k \alpha_k$$

$$r_{k+1} \leftarrow r_k - w_k \alpha_k$$
  
solve  $M z_{k+1} = r_{k+1}$ 

end

The Steepest Descent algorithm to solve  $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$  is:

 $\tilde{A}$  is symmetric positive definite  $\tilde{x}_0$  arbitrary;  $\tilde{r}_0 = \tilde{b} - \tilde{A}\tilde{x}_0$ ;  $\tilde{v}_0 = \tilde{A}\tilde{r}_0$ 

do  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$  until convergence

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\alpha}_k &= \frac{\tilde{r}_k^T \tilde{r}_k}{\tilde{r}_k^T \tilde{v}_k} \\ \tilde{x}_{k+1} &\leftarrow \tilde{x}_k + \tilde{r}_k \tilde{\alpha}_k \\ \tilde{r}_{k+1} &\leftarrow \tilde{r}_k - \tilde{v}_k \tilde{\alpha}_k \\ \tilde{v}_{k+1} &\leftarrow \tilde{A} \tilde{r}_{k+1} \end{split}$$

end

Show that given the appropriate consistency between initial guesses the preconditioned steepest descent recurrences to solve Ax = b can be derived from the steepest descent recurrences to solve  $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$ .

# Problem 1.9

#### 1.9.a

The following lemma is a classic result for convex and concave functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma** (Jensen's Inequality). Suppose a function  $f : \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , scalars  $0 \le \lambda_k \le 1$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k = 1$ , and scalars  $\xi_k \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, n$  are given.

If  $f(\xi)$  is convex on  $\mathcal{D}$  then

$$f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_k\xi_k\right) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n}\lambda_kf(\xi_k)$$

and  $f(\xi)$  is concave on  $\mathcal{D}$  then

$$f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k \xi_k\right) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k f(\xi_k).$$

Show that if  $0 \ge \alpha_k \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $0 < p_k \in \mathcal{Z}$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^n 1/p_k = 1, k = 1, \dots, n$  are given then

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_k} \alpha_k^{p_k}.$$

This is Generalized Young's Inequality. Young's Inequality is with n = 2.

#### **1.9.**b

Use the Generalized Young's Inequality to prove the inequality relating the arithmetic mean to the geometric mean of positive real numbers  $0 < \xi_k < \infty, k = 1, ..., n$ ,

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^n \xi_k\right)^{1/n} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k.$$

# Problem 1.10

Prove the following

**Lemma** (Hoelder's Inequality). If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and positive integers p and q satisfy  $p^{-1}+q^{-1} = 1$ , equivalently p = q/(q-1) or q = p/(p-1), then

$$a^T b \le |a^T b| \le ||a||_p ||b||_q.$$

# Problem 1.11

Prove the following

**Lemma** (Minkowski's Inequality). If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and p > 0 is an integer

 $||x+y||_p \le ||x||_p + ||y||_p.$