# Study Problems 5 Foundations of Computational Math 1 Fall 2024

These study questions concern some of the basic properities of Steepest Descent, Conjugate Gradient and members of the Richardson's family of stationary methods. They build on earlier results in earlier study questions, class notes and statements made in the lectures.

# Problem 5.1

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be symmetric postive definite with an eigendecompositon  $A = Q\Lambda Q^T$  with  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and orthogonal matrix, i.e.,  $Q^T Q = QQ^T = I$ , and  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal elements  $\lambda_i = e_i^T \Lambda e_i > 0$ .

Consider the two systems Ax = b and  $\Lambda \tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$  with  $Q\tilde{x} = x$  and  $Q\tilde{b} = b$ . The iterations defined by applying Steepest Descent (SD) to each are

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k r_k, \quad r_k = b - A x_k, \quad \alpha_k = \frac{r_k^T r_k}{r_k^T A r_k}$$

$$\tilde{x}_{k+1} = \tilde{x}_k + \tilde{\alpha}_k \tilde{r}_k, \quad \tilde{r}_k = \tilde{b} - \Lambda \tilde{x}_k, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_k = \frac{\tilde{r}_k^T \tilde{r}_k}{\tilde{r}_k^T \Lambda \tilde{r}_k}$$

given  $x_0$  and  $Q\tilde{x}_0 = x_0$ . The elements of the vectors with the tildes are the coefficients of the corresponding vectors without the tildes with respect to the basis of eigenvectors given by the columns of Q.

We have shown in other problems that the two iterations are essentially equivalent in the behavior of the norms of the error and residual at each step. It is also known that  $\alpha_k = \tilde{\alpha}_k$  and that  $\alpha_k^{-1}$  can be written as a weighted average of the eigenvalues of A with weights determined by  $r_k$ .

(5.1.a) Consider applying SD to Ax = b. Derive a sufficient condition on A so that for any  $x_0$  convergence to  $A^{-1}b$  occurs in one step, i.e.,

$$A^{-1}b = x_1 = x_0 + \alpha_0 r_0.$$

- (5.1.b) Is the condition also a necessary condition for convergence of SD in one step for any  $x_0$ ?
- (5.1.c) Does the condition imply that the stationary Richardson's method without preconditioning,  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha r_k$ , converges in one step?
- (5.1.d) Does the condition imply that CG without preconditioning  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha r_k$  converges in one step?

### Problem 5.2

Suppose we are to solve Ax = b where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric positive definite using a method based like Steepest Descent or CG that is based on reducing the error

$$E(x) = \|x - x_*\|_A^2$$

where  $x_* = A^{-1}$ . Recall, that it is known that  $x_*$  is also the unique minimizer of

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - b^T x.$$

Each step of the standard methods chooses a direction  $p_k$  and then optimizes the choice of stepsize  $\alpha_k$  so that  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$  is a minimum of  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$  with respect to  $\alpha$ , i.e., it minimizes f along a line defined by  $p_k$ .

**5.2.a.** Suppose that the particular method is of the form  $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k p_k$  where  $\alpha_k$  is chosen so  $x_{k+1}$  is a minimum of  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$  with respect to  $\alpha$ , i.e., it minimizes f along a line defined starting at  $x_k$  and moving in the direction of  $p_k$ . Derive an expression for  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$  of the form

$$\phi_k(\alpha) = f(x_k + \alpha p_k) = f(x_k) + \omega_k(\alpha)$$

where  $\omega_k(\alpha)$  a scalar polynomial in  $\alpha$  with the coefficients defined in terms of  $p_k$ ,  $r_k$ ,  $x_k$ , and A.

- **5.2.b.** What condition on  $p_k$  is required such that  $\alpha > 0$  can be chosen so that  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k) < f(x_k)$ ?
- **5.2.c.** Derive the expression for  $\alpha_k$  for any given  $p_k$  in the iteration that minimizes  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . Is this formula consistent with what is used for Steeptest Descent, i.e., when  $p_k = r_k$ ?
- **5.2.d.** Show that for this choice of  $\alpha_k$  we have  $r_{k+1}^T p_k = 0$ , i.e.,  $r_{k+1} \perp p_k$ .
- **5.2.e.** Consider the optimal value  $\alpha_k$  for a given  $p_k$ . For what range of  $\alpha$  is  $f(x_k + \alpha p_k) < f(x_k)$ , i.e., consider  $\alpha = \sigma \alpha_k$  for  $0 \le \sigma \le \sigma_{max}$  and determine  $\sigma_{max}$ .

## Problem 5.3

Recall, we have the two convergence theorems for A symmetric positive definition for a stationary iteration  $x_{k+1} = x_k + P^{-1}r_k$  that depend on whether P is also symmetric positive definite.

- 1. In general, if  $M = P + P^T A$  is positive definite then the iteration converges.
- 2. A corollary says that if P is also symmetric positive definite then if M = 2P A is positive definite the iteration converges.

The corollary can be proven directly without appealing to the first theorem. This problem considers that proof.

#### 5.3.a

The following basic lemma that relates a spectral radius to the definiteness of M is a key to proving this result.

**Lemma.** Let  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix. The matrix M = 2I - B is positive definite if and only if  $\rho(I - B) < 1$ .

Prove the lemma.

#### 5.3.b

Prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be symmetric positive definite matrices. Also assume that  $P = CC^T$  where  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is nonsingular.

M = 2P - A is positive definite if and only if  $\rho(I - P^{-1}A) < 1$ .

# Problem 5.4

#### 5.4.a

Let  $A = D - L - U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a nonsingular matrix, where -L is the matrix of strictly lower triangular elements and -U is the matrix of strictly upper triangular elements. Recall the three methods and their preconditioners

• Gauss-Seidel (forward):  $P_{gs} = D - L$ 

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + P_{gs}^{-1}r_k = x_k + (D-L)^{-1}r_k$$

• Gauss-Seidel (backward):  $P_{bgs} = D - U$ 

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + P_{bgs}^{-1}r_k = x_k + (D - U)^{-1}r_k$$

• Symmetric Gauss-Seidel:  $P_{sgs} = (D - L)D^{-1}(D - U)$ 

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + P_{sas}^{-1}r_k = x_k + (D - U)^{-1}D(D - L)^{-1}r_k.$$

- **5.4.a**. Show that one iteration of Symmetric Gauss-Seidel is equivalent to one iteration of forward Gauss-Seidel followed by one iteration of backward Gauss-Seidel.
- **5.4.b.** Now assume  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix and consider solving the linear system Ax = b. Show that the Forward Gauss-Seidel iteration converges for any  $x_0$ .
- **5.4.c.** Again assume  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix and consider solving the linear system Ax = b. Show that the Symmetric Gauss-Seidel iteration converges for any  $x_0$ .

## Problem 5.5

(5.5.a) Suppose you are to solve Ax = b where A is known to be nonsingular via an iterative method. Which, if any, of the iterative methods, Jacobi, (forward) Gauss-Seidel, Symmetric Gauss-Seidel, Steepest Descent and CG, would converge if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}?$$

(5.5.b) In order to have a unique solution for Ax = b the matrix A must be nonsingular. If Gauss-Seidel is to converge we must have the spectral radius  $\rho(G_{gs}) < 1$  where  $G_{gs}$  is the iteration matrix defining Gauss-Seidel. Must  $G_{gs}$  be nonsingular? If so, explain why. If not, i.e., if  $G_{gs}$  can be singular, identify a vector in its null space.

# Problem 5.6

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric nonsingular matrix, and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector. The matrix  $P = C^2$  is therefore symmetric positive definite. Also, let  $\tilde{A} = C^{-1}AC^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{b} = C^{-1}b$ .

The preconditioned Steepest Descent algorithm to solve Ax = b is:

A, P are symmetric positive definite  $x_0$  arbitrary;  $r_0 = b - Ax_0$ ; solve  $Pz_0 = r_0$ 

do  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$  until convergence

$$w_{k} = Az_{k}$$

$$\alpha_{k} = \frac{z_{k}^{T}r_{k}}{z_{k}^{T}w_{k}}$$

$$x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_{k} + z_{k}\alpha_{k}$$

$$r_{k+1} \leftarrow r_{k} - w_{k}\alpha_{k}$$
solve  $Pz_{k+1} = r_{k+1}$ 

end

The Steepest Descent algorithm to solve  $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$  is:

 $\tilde{A}$  is symmetric positive definite  $\tilde{x}_0$  arbitrary;  $\tilde{r}_0 = \tilde{b} - \tilde{A}\tilde{x}_0$ ;  $\tilde{v}_0 = \tilde{A}\tilde{r}_0$ 

do  $k = 0, 1, \ldots$  until convergence

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\alpha}_k &= \frac{\tilde{r}_k^T \tilde{r}_k}{\tilde{r}_k^T \tilde{v}_k} \\ \tilde{x}_{k+1} &\leftarrow \tilde{x}_k + \tilde{r}_k \tilde{\alpha}_k \\ \tilde{r}_{k+1} &\leftarrow \tilde{r}_k - \tilde{v}_k \tilde{\alpha}_k \\ \tilde{v}_{k+1} &\leftarrow \tilde{A} \tilde{r}_{k+1} \end{split}$$

 ${\rm end}$ 

Show that given the appropriate consistency between initial guesses the preconditioned steepest descent recurrences to solve Ax = b can be derived from the steepest descent recurrences to solve  $\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$ .