

2.

(a) True. Isolating y'' yields

$$y'' + \underbrace{\frac{Q(x)}{x^2-4}}_{Q/P} y' + \underbrace{\frac{R(x)}{x^2-4}}_{R/P} y = 0, \quad (Q \ \& \ R = \text{polys})$$

and since $P(x_0) = P(2) = 0$, no Power series exists for Q/P or R/P @ $x_0 = 2$.

(b) False. $f(t) = t^t$ doesn't.

(c) False. using partial fractions,

$$F(s) = \frac{1}{2s^2 + 10s + 12} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{s+2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{s+3} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{(by table)} \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2t} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-3t} \text{ has } F(s)$$

as its Laplace.

(d) False. This only has a chance to be true if $x_0 = 0$ is an ordinary point of $\left(\begin{matrix} \text{Q/P} \\ \text{R/P} \end{matrix} \right)$ & R/P have power series about x_0 .
the ODE \uparrow ignore this!

(e) False. $f(t)$ and $g(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & t \neq 5 \\ \text{ANYTHING} & t = 5 \end{cases}$ have same Laplace.

can be literally anything (a \neq , $\pm \infty$, "DNE"... ANY thing!)

(f) False.

$$\bullet \mathcal{L}(f'') = \boxed{s^2 \mathcal{L}(f) - s f'(0) - f''(0)}$$

$$\bullet s \mathcal{L}(f') - f'(0) = s(s \mathcal{L}(f) - f'(0)) - f'(0)$$

$$= \boxed{s^2 \mathcal{L}(f) - s f'(0) - f'(0)}$$

not the same!

(g) False. The intersection of $(0, 5/2)$ and $[-1, 2]$ is $(0, 2]$, ~~but~~ but 2 is singular (since this intersection doesn't contain an open interval around 2).

↳ Note: Because the intersection is $(0, 2]$, both Q/p, R/p have power series centered at 2. Their radii of convergence just isn't > 0 .

(h) False. $\mathcal{L}(f') = s \mathcal{L}(f) - f'(0)$, not $s \mathcal{L}(f) - f''(0)$.

(i) ~~False. True! (whoops!)~~ False. (double whoops!)

Reindex the first series: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} x^n$

$$\text{So } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) a_{n+1} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(n+1) a_n x^n \quad a_{n+1} = n a_n \quad (*)$$

$$\Rightarrow (n+1) a_{n+1} = n(n+1) a_n \quad \text{for all } n.$$

↳ This is ~~False~~ ~~True~~ for another reason: In the conclusion, $n a_n = n(n+1) a_n \Leftrightarrow n a_n = n^2 a_n + n a_n \Leftrightarrow 0 = n^2 a_n$ for all n . This is true only if $a_n = 0$! so, ~~but~~ if

$\{a_n\}$ is a nonzero sequence satisfying $(*)$, the hypothesis is true but the conclusion is false.

see? True/False Questions make us think.

(j) False. • $\frac{Q}{P} = \frac{Q}{1-x^2}$ has power series at $x \neq \pm 1$
(b/c $Q = \text{poly}$)

• $\frac{R}{P} = \frac{R}{1-x^2}$ is the same (b/c $R = \text{poly}$)

\Rightarrow About $x_0 = 0$, the interval of convergence for

$\frac{Q}{P}$ is $(-1, 1)$ & same for $\frac{R}{P}$.

$$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{R.C. } (Q/P) = 1}$$

$$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{R.C. } (R/P) = 1}$$

Using "the Power series theorem,"

$$\text{R.C.} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{power series} \\ \text{sol'n of ODE} \end{array} \right) \geq \min \left(\text{R.C.} \left(\frac{Q}{P} \right), \text{R.C.} \left(\frac{R}{P} \right) \right)$$

$$= \min(1, 1)$$

$$= 1.$$

So, now such solution can have radius of convergence $< 1!$