

MAA 5617 "M & S 2" part 2 of "M & S"

Instructor: Bellavet Office 218 Lave Off Hrs $\begin{cases} \text{MWF } 12:30-2:15 \\ \text{Th } 12:30-1:15 \end{cases}$

Texts: Rudin Real & Complex & Taylor Intro to M & S
Prereq: MAA 5616 "M & S 1"

GRADES: 20%: in class Test Tentatively set for 10 Apr 85

30%: graded homework

$$A \geq 80\% \quad B \geq 62.5\% \quad C \geq 50\%$$

Homework Rules:

- (1) Each Problem on a separate page.
- (2) Do not use both sides of a page.
- (3) Additional pages clipped or stapled together.
- (4) Written in ink.
- (5) MUST BE YOUR OWN WORK

Each problem is worth 10 pts. Each rule (1), (2), (3) or (4) will cost 1 pt each if disobeyed. Rule (5) will cost everything. Homework is assigned at least 2 weeks before they are due. 3 problems will be due each Monday. Late homework will not be accepted. The lowest 10% of the grades on homework will be tossed out before computing your home work average.

First Homework Assignment Due 21 Jan 85

- *1. Show that if $f \in L_\infty[0,1]$ then T_f is given by $T_f(g) = \int_0^1 fg dm$. Show $\tilde{T}: L_\infty[0,1] \xrightarrow{\sim} (L_1[0,1])^*$ is 1-1, onto, and norm preserving where $\tilde{T}(f) = T_f^*$
- *2. Find $\Theta \in (L_\infty[0,1])^*$ s.t. $\Theta \neq T_f$ for any $f \in L_1[0,1]$ where $T_f(g) = \int_0^1 fg dm$.
- *3. Rudin Ch 4 Ex 1

Second Homework Assignment Due 28 Jan 85

- *4. Rudin Ch 4 Ex 6
- *5. Rudin Ch 4 Ex 16
- *6. Rudin Ch 5 Ex 11.

M&S II due Mon 18 Feb. 85

Consider two positive measures μ and ν on (\mathcal{X}, Σ) and suppose for some measurable f

$$(*) \quad \forall E \in \Sigma \quad \mu(E) = \int_E f d\nu$$

13. A. Show $f \geq 0$ a.e. $[\nu]$ and $\mu \ll \nu$
- B. Show $f \in L_1(\nu) \iff \mu$ is a finite measure
- C. Show $f \leq 1$ a.e. $[\nu] \iff \mu \leq \nu$
- D. Show $f \neq 0$ a.e. $[\nu] \iff \nu \ll \mu$.

14. A. Show for $g \geq 0$, $\int g d\mu = \int gf d\nu$
- B. Suppose g meas s.t. $\mu(E) = \int_E g d\mu$ then $f = g$ a.e. $[\nu]$
- C. If $\nu \ll \mu$ then $\nu(E) = \int_E f^{-1} d\mu$ (f^{-1} = $1/f$ not inverse image)

- Let λ, μ are finite measures on (\mathcal{X}, Σ) with $\lambda \ll \mu$
- A. Let $\nu = \lambda + \mu$ then $\lambda \ll \nu$ and $\nu \ll \mu$
 - B. By RN special case proved in class $\exists g, f \in L_1(\nu)$
s.t. $\forall E \in \Sigma \quad \lambda(E) = \int_E g d\nu ; \mu(E) = \int_E f d\nu$

$$\text{Show } \lambda(E) = \int_E g f^{-1} d\mu.$$

- C. Prove: RN then If λ, μ are finite measures on (\mathcal{X}, Σ) with $\lambda \ll \mu$, then there is a unique element $f \in L_1(\mu)$ so that $\forall E \in \Sigma \quad \lambda(E) = \int_E f d\mu$.
- D. Suppose λ, μ are σ -finite measures on (\mathcal{X}, Σ) with $\lambda \ll \mu$ positive
show there is a positive non-negative measurable f
s.t. $\forall E \in \Sigma \quad \lambda(E) = \int_E f d\mu$.

All functions are real-valued and measurable on the real line, $dm = \text{Lebesgue measure}.$

1. Prove both the following statements (They are simple results from one or another convergence theorem) and for one of them, find a counterexample to show that the underlined hypothesis is needed.

A. If f is a measurable function and $\underline{\int f \geq 0}$, then

$$\int_0^\infty f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n f dm.$$

B. If $\{f_n\}$ and f are measurable functions, $f_n \rightarrow f$ point-wise, $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq f_3 \geq \dots \geq 0$ and $\underline{f_i \in L^1}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n dm = \int f dm.$$

2. Let S, T be non empty sets of positive reals and let $S + T = \{s + t \mid s \in S, t \in T\}$

A. Show $\sup(S + T) = \sup S + \sup T.$

In constructing Lebesgue integration, we defined, for $\underline{f \geq 0}$, f measurable

$$\int f dm = \sup \left\{ \int \phi dm \mid 0 \leq \phi \leq f, \phi \text{ simple} \right\}$$

B. Using the definition above and part A it is possible to show one of the following inequalities, determine which one and prove it,

If $f, g \geq 0$

$$\int (f + g) dm \begin{cases} \leq \int f dm + \int g dm, \\ \geq ? \end{cases}$$

Do any ___ out of the below problems

1. Suppose $\bar{\mu}$ is a positive measure obtained by extending μ , a measure on an algebra \mathcal{A} , via outer measure; show:

A) $\bar{\mu}$ is complete

B) $\bar{\mu}(E) = 0$ iff $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists$ sequence $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup_n A_n \supset E$ and $\sum_n \mu(A_n) < \epsilon$

2. Let μ be a σ -finite positive measure show for measurable $f : f = 0$ a.e. $[\mu]$ iff $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ for all measurable E .

3. Show that a positive σ -finite measure is semi-finite and give an example to show that the converse is false.

4. Suppose $f \geq 0$, $f \in \Sigma$ measurable, μ a positive measure on Σ . Define $\nu(E) = \int_E f d\mu$ for $E \in \Sigma$. Show ν is a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to μ . Show ν is finite iff $f \in L_1(\mu)$.

5. Suppose $f \in L_1(0,1)$, $(f_n) \subset L_1(0,1)$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise. Show that $\|f_n\| \rightarrow \|f\|$ implies $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$.

Definition of a measure

1. The Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem.
2. An outer measure on a set \mathbb{X} .
3. A countable set.
4. $\inf A$, when $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}$.
5. When a measure $(\mathcal{S}, \Sigma, \mu)$ is σ -finite.
6. A borel set in \mathbb{R}^n .
7. $f_n \rightarrow f$ in measure.
8. When a measure is complete.
9. The function f^- when $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
10. The ε -is-arbitrary argument.

Show

A. For $f: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable

Show $\|f\|_p = \int |\mathbf{f}|^p dm$.

B. $\sup(A+B) \geq \sup A + \sup B$ when $\emptyset \neq A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$

C. $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ $\exists p \in (0, \infty)$, show $\|f\|_p < \infty$.

D. Find a sequence of functions f_n in $L^1([0, 1])$ st. $f_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise but $\|f_n\|_1 \rightarrow \infty$.

E. Given a measurable $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [\mathbb{R}, \infty]$

st. $m(\{x: |f(x)| \text{ is } \infty\}) = 0$ Show

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$ $\exists N$ s.t. $m(\{x: |f(x)| \geq M\}) < \varepsilon$

F. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dm(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x+t) dm(x)$$

6

measurable

Either produce a function $f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the properties

- (a) f is strictly increasing
- (b) $\exists M \in [a,b]$, $m(M) > 0$ and $x \in M \Rightarrow f'(x) = 0$
- (c) $\forall C$ measurable $C \subset [a,b]$, $m(f(C)) \geq m(C)$

or show that none exists.

Simple functions
step functions with the properties
X intervals

X intervals

$$(a) f_n: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(b) \forall x \in [0,1], \lim_n f_n(x) \text{ converges}$$

$$(c) \forall S \subset [0,1] \text{ with } m(S) = 1,$$

(f_n) does not converge uniformly on S

or show none exists.

3. Either produce a measurable function $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the properties ?

- (a) $f'(x)$ exists on a subset of $[0,1]$ with measure one
- (b) f' is discontinuous on a dense subset of $[0,1]$

or show none exists.

4. Is the product of two absolutely continuous functions also absolutely continuous? Verify your assertion

1

If $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X

(A) Prove $\int f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int f d\mu$

(B) Is $\mu(X) < \infty$ necessary in part A? Verify your assertion.

2

Show $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n e^{-2x} dx = 1$

Hint: Show $(1+y)^y \leq e$ for $y \geq 0$ $y > 0$

Assume there is $\phi \in C[0, 1]$ such that

$$(*) \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^s f(rs) dr ds = \int_0^1 f(t) \phi(t) dt$$

for all simple functions $f(t)$ on $[0, 1]$.

A. Show $(*)$ is true for any $f(t) \in C[0, 1]$.

$$\text{B. Show } \phi(t) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

$\{2\pi k + r\}$ comes arb close to an integer

if not $\epsilon > 0$ s.t. $|2\pi k + r - n| > \epsilon$
for all n, k

$$\text{or } |2\pi + \frac{r}{k} - \frac{n}{k}| > \frac{\epsilon}{k}$$

$$\text{Approx } 2\pi \approx \frac{h}{k} \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$\left| 2\pi - \frac{h}{k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2k} \quad \left| \frac{r}{k} - \frac{j}{k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2k}$$

$$\left| 2\pi + \frac{r}{k} - \frac{n+j}{k} \right| \leq \frac{1}{k}$$

$$\text{thus } |2\pi k + r - (h+j)| \leq 1$$

The sequence $\{2\pi k \bmod 1 : k=0, 1, \dots\}$
is dense in $[0, 1]$

$$2\pi k = n(k) + r(k) \quad \# \pi = \frac{n(k)}{2k} + \frac{r(k)}{2k}$$

$$\pi - \frac{n(k)}{2k} = \frac{r(k)}{2k}$$

$$\left| \pi - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \epsilon$$

6. Either produce a measurable function $f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the properties:

- (a) f is strictly increasing;
- (b) there is $N \subset [a,b]$ with $m(N) > 0$,
so that $x \in N \Rightarrow f'(x) = 0$; and
- (c) for each measurable $C \subset [a,b]$,
 $m(f(C)) \geq m(C)$.

or show that none exists.