

Case IV: All others,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\|f+g\|_p > 0$ ,  $\|f\|_p, \|g\|_p < \infty$ . Now

$$\|f+g\|^p = \|f\|_p \|f+g\|^{p-1} + \|g\|_p \|f+g\|^{p-1}$$

$$\|f+g\|_p^p \leq \|f\|_p^p \|f+g\|_{p(p-1)}^{p-1} + \|g\|_p^p \|f+g\|_{p(p-1)}^{p-1} \quad \text{since } P'(P-1) = P$$

$$\|f+g\|_p^p \leq (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p)^p \|f+g\|_p^{p-1} \quad \text{dividing by } \|f+g\|_p^{p-1} \text{ completes the result.}$$

Consider equality. If  $\|f+g\|_p = 0$ , equality occurs iff  $f = g = 0$  a.e. Otherwise if  $\|f\|_p, \|g\|_p < \infty$  using equality for Hölder's we must have  $\{\|f\|_p, \|f+g\|_p^{p-1}\}$  and  $\{\|g\|_p, \|f+g\|_p^{p-1}\}$  are dependent. This happens  $\Leftrightarrow \{\|f\|_p, \|f+g\|_p^{p-1}\} \subseteq \{\|g\|_p, \|f+g\|_p^{p-1}\}$  are dependent. Since  $\|f+g\| \neq 0$  a.e., we have  $\|f\| = \lambda \|f+g\| \notin \|\mathcal{G}\| = \mu \|f+g\|$  so if  $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\}$  are dependent and  $\mathcal{F}\bar{\mathcal{G}} \geq 0$  a.e. Either  $\mathcal{F} \gg \mathcal{G}$  so that either  $f = \lambda g$  or  $g = \lambda f$ .

Remarks. If  $0 < p < 1$ , Minkowski's inequality does not hold. However, if  $a, b \geq 0$ , since  $2^p(a^p + b^p) \geq (a+b)^p \leq (2\max\{a, b\})^p \leq 2^p(\max\{a, b\})^p \leq 2^p(a^p + b^p)$ ,

$$\|f+g\|_p^p \leq 2^p(\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p).$$

$$\text{Thus } \|f+g\|_p \leq 2(2\max\{\|f\|_p^p, \|g\|_p^p\})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}} \max\{\|f\|_p, \|g\|_p\} \leq 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}} (\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p). \quad \text{Hence } L_p \text{ is always a vector space.}$$

$(\mathbb{X}, \Sigma, \mu) = (\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \text{counting measure})$  call it  $L_p^n$   
 $(\mathbb{X}, \Sigma, \mu) = (\mathbb{Z}_1, 2, \dots, n, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}), \text{counting measure})$  call it  $L_p^n$   
 $(\mathbb{X}, \Sigma, \mu) = (\{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}), \frac{1}{n} \text{ counting measure})$  call it  $L_p^n$

This last notation comes from the fact that it is also  $L_p(\mu)$  for

$$(\mathbb{X}, \Sigma, \mu) = (\mathbb{E}_0, 1), (\mathbb{E}_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}, \mathbb{E}_{[\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}]}, \dots, \mathbb{E}_{[\frac{n-1}{n}, 1]}), n,$$

For  $L_p^n$ ,  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty \Rightarrow \| \cdot \|_p \leq \| \cdot \|_q \quad \& \quad \| \cdot \|_p^n = \| \cdot \|_q^n$   
 For  $L_p^n$ ,  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty \Rightarrow \| \cdot \|_p \geq \| \cdot \|_q \quad \& \quad \| \cdot \|_p^n = \| \cdot \|_q^n$   
 For  $L_p^n$ ,  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty \Rightarrow \| \cdot \|_p \geq \| \cdot \|_q \quad \& \quad \| \cdot \|_p^n \geq \| \cdot \|_q^n$   
 To see the last two note that  $\|f\|_p = (\sum_i |f(i)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Hence if  $\|f\|_p = 1$ ,  $\sum_i |f(i)|^p \leq 1$ , hence  $|f(i)|^p \leq 1$  for each i.  $\sum_i |f(i)|^q \leq (\sum_i |f(i)|^p)^q = (\|f\|_p)^q$   
 $\leq \|f\|_p^q$ . So,  $\sum_i |f(i)|^q \leq \sum_i |f(i)|^p = 1$  and  $\|f\|_q \leq 1$ . It follows that  $\|f\|_p / \|f\|_q \|_q = \|f\|_q / \|f\|_p \leq 1$  or  $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p$  (if  $\|f\|_p \neq 0$ ).  
 (The case  $\|f\|_p = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$  a.e.  $\Rightarrow \|f\|_q = 0$ .)

and some atoms, i.e. partly atomic measures of interest.

2.4

 $r$  between  $p \neq q$ 

Suppose  $f \geq 0$  is measurable,  $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$  so that  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{q}$   
 $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  [Convention  $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ ], then  $\|f\|_r \leq \|f\|_p^\theta \|f\|_q^{1-\theta}$

Hence we always have  $p < r < q \Rightarrow L_p(\mu) \cap L_q(\mu) \subset L_r(\mu)$   
 in which case  $\|f\|_r \leq \max\{\|f\|_p, \|f\|_q\}$ .

proof:  $\|f\|_r = \left( \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1-\theta}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-\theta}}$ ,  $\|f\| = \|f\|^{\theta} \|f\|^{1-\theta}$ , by generalized Hölders

$$\text{But } \|f\|^{\theta} \|f\|_p = \left( \int (|f|^p)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left[ \left( \int |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{\theta} = \|f\|_p^\theta.$$

Thus the inequality is true.

### Duality

If  $X$  is a vector space with a topology making vector addition and scalar multiplication are continuous. (A normed space is one example. If  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $L_p(\mu)$  the topology of all open sets of the form  $\{f+g : \|g\|_p < \epsilon\}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $f \in L_p(\mu)$ , is another such). We define  $X^*$  or  $X'$  to be all continuous linear functionals on  $X$ .

### Theorem:

- A. If  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then  $[L_p(\mu)]' = L_q(\mu)$  with the duality  $g \in L_q(\mu) \sim F_g : L_p(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by  $F_g(f) = \int fg \, d\mu$
- B. If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $[L_1(\mu)]' = L_\infty(\mu)$  with duality  $g \in L_\infty(\mu) \sim F_g : L_1(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by  $F_g(f) = \int fg \, d\mu$
- C. Always  $L_\infty(\mu) \subset [L_1(\mu)]'$ , and  $L_1(\mu) \subset [L_\infty(\mu)]'$ , but in general these inclusions are proper and  $\mu$  finite
- D. If  $L_\infty(\mu)$  is finite dimensional,  $L_1(\mu) = [L_\infty(\mu)]'$
- E. For  $0 < p < 1$ , the problem is more difficult for examples  
 $[L_p]'$  =  $\{0\}$  (i.e. no non-constant continuous linear functionals)  
 but  $[L_p]'$  =  $L_\infty$  to give two examples

Outline of proof: (Details in Royden). Suppose  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  then for each  $g \in L_q(\mu)$   $F_g : L_p(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by  $F_g(f) = \int fg \, d\mu$  is a continuous linear functional. Now  $F_g$  is obviously linear, to see that  $F_g$  is continuous we use Hölder's inequality and the following general principle (This shows  $L_p(\mu) \subset L_q(\mu)$ ).

Interpolation is becoming an increasingly important tool in Analysis, which got its start on Banach spaces. We have already seen examples of operators  $T$  which have norm one as operators from  $L_p(\mu) \rightarrow L_q(\mu)$  and  $L_\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$ . Later (and sometimes with more work) we have been able to show  $T$  has norm one from  $L_p(\mu) \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ . This is actually a special case of interpolation. Unfortunately this is not a neat subject and there are lots of non-overlapping theorems with wildly differing proofs.

The spaces  $\mathbb{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  be Banach spaces which are subspaces (i.e. continuously embedded into  $V$  a (Hausdorff) topological vector space. For example  $\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{Y} = L_p(\mu), L_q(\mu)$  and  $V =$  space of measurable functions with the topology of convergence in measure.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y} &= \{f \in V : \exists g \in \mathbb{X} \text{ s.t. } f = g + h \in \mathcal{Y} \\ &\quad \cdot \|f\| = \inf \{ \|g\|_X + \|h\|_{\mathcal{Y}} : g \in \mathbb{X}, h \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ with } f = g + h\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{X} \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{f \in V : \|f\| = \max \{ \|f\|_X, \|f\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \} < \infty\}.$$

Lemma  $\mathbb{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}, \mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}$  are Banach spaces.

Pf: Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a C.S. in  $\mathbb{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ , then  $\{f_n\}$  is a C.S. in  $\mathbb{X}$  & in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Thus  $f_n \rightarrow g \in \mathbb{X} \subseteq V \nsubseteq f_n \rightarrow h \in \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ , hence  $g = h$ . Let  $f$  be this candidate function now.

$$\|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \max \{ \|f_n - f\|_X, \|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Now let  $\{f_n\}$  be a C.S. in  $\mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}$ . By passing to a subsequence we may assume  $\|f_n - f_m\|_{\mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}} \leq 2^{-m}$  for  $m \geq n$ . Let  $f = f_n - f_m$ , where  $\|f\|_{\mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}} \leq 2^{-m}$ . Hence  $\|f\|_{\mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}} \leq \min \{ \|f\|_X, \|f\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \}$ . Let  $f_j = \varphi_j \circ f$  with  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{C})$ . Now  $g = \varphi_j + \varphi_j \circ f_m \in \mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}$  for all  $j$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}} &\leq \|f_j\|_{\mathbb{X} + \mathcal{Y}} = \left( \|f_j\|_X + \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \right) = \left( \varphi_j \circ f_m + \varphi_j \circ f_m \right) = \varphi_j \circ f_m + \varphi_j \circ f_m \leq 2^{-m+1} + 2^{-m+1} = 2^{-m+1}. \end{aligned}$$